Thermal remote sensing of ice-debris landforms using ASTER
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Abstract

Remote sensors face challenges in characterizing mountain permafrost and ground thermal conditions or mapping rock glaciers and debris-covered glaciers. We explore the potentials of thermal imaging and in particular thermal inertia mapping in mountain cryospheric research, focusing on the relationships between ground surface temperatures and the presence of ice-debris landforms on one side and land surface temperature (LST) and apparent thermal inertia (ATI) on the other. In our case study we utilize ASTER daytime and nighttime imagery and in-situ measurements of near-surface ground temperature (NSGT) in the Mediterranean Andes during a snow-free and dry observation period in late summer. Spatial patterns of LST and NSGT were mostly consistent with each other both at daytime and at nighttime. Daytime LST over ice-debris landforms was decreased and ATI consequently increased compared to other debris surfaces under otherwise equal conditions, but NSGT showed contradictory results, which underlines the complexity and possible scale dependence of ATI in heterogeneous substrates with the presence of a thermal mismatch and a heat sink at depth. While our results demonstrate the utility of thermal imaging and ATI mapping in a mountain cryospheric context, further research is needed for a better interpretation of ATI patterns in complex thermophysical conditions.

1 Introduction

Rock glaciers and debris-covered glaciers are distinct types of ice-debris landforms and elements of the mountain cryosphere in which the high ice contents are not visible at the surface, creating challenges to remotely-sensed mapping of these features (Janke, 2001; Paul et al., 2004; Bolch et al., 2008; Kargel et al., 2005; Brenning, 2009; Shukla et al., 2010). Similarly, remote sensors have been of limited use in the characterization of permafrost and ground thermal conditions in mountain areas. However, thermal remote sensing provides a set of variables that are useful for characterizing thermal and
thermophysical surface characteristics of the natural and built environment (Watson, 1975; Chen et al., 2008; Peña, 2009). Its potentials are yet to be fully exploited in geomorphological and cryospheric research, and applications in mountain environments are still relatively rare (Van De Kerchove et al., 2009; Bertoldi et al., 2010), especially in the case of thermal inertia mapping (Piatek et al., 2007; Hardgrove et al., 2010).

We therefore explore the utility of remotely-sensed land surface temperature (LST) and derived apparent thermal inertia (ATI) for mapping and analyzing surface thermal conditions in a mountain area and thermally characterizing and for discriminating rock glaciers and debris-covered glaciers. We demonstrate this approach utilizing ASTER daytime and nighttime data in a study area in the Andes of Central Chile, where in-situ near-surface ground temperature (NSGT) measurements are available for comparison with remotely-sensed LST (Bodin et al., 2010; Apaloo et al., 2011).

This paper starts by providing thermophysical and cryological background information in Sect. 2. Section 3 presents the remote sensing methods, in-situ measurements and the statistical study design and analysis methods used in this study, and provides an introduction to the study area and relevant meteorological conditions. The results of statistical data analyses relating LST, ATI and NSGT observations to site characteristics are presented in Sect. 4, focusing in particular on differences between ice-debris landforms and other debris surfaces. These empirical findings are discussed in Sect. 5 in the context of heat transfer processes between the atmosphere, the surface debris layer, and ground ice.

2 Background

2.1 Remote sensing of LST

Satellite-based thermal infrared (TIR) data can be used to estimate LST over large and inaccessible areas. TIR is the optical region of the spectrum that comprises the wavelengths of emitted radiation or heat, which ranges from 8 to 14 µm (longwave infrared).
Earth surface features emit radiation as a function of their internal temperature (i.e., kinetic temperature) and their ability to emit radiation (i.e., emissivity). Emitted radiation is an external manifestation of the feature's energy state and it can be converted into radiant temperature of Earth surface features or LST (Lillesand et al., 2004).

LST is the external temperature of the Earth surface features (Weng and Quattrochi, 2006), and is one of the key parameters combining the results of all surface-atmosphere interactions and energy fluxes between the atmosphere and the ground. It is strongly dependent on the thermal properties of the material (Wan, 1997). LST is closely related to the atmospheric temperature of the layer immediately above the surface (Nichol, 1996; Hafner and Kidder, 1999; Weng and Quattrochi, 2006), although this relationship is not exact (Hartz et al., 2006; Peña, 2009).

A critical thermal property of the material that controls the temporal behaviour of LST is thermal inertia or the response of a material to temperature changes. Earth surface features with high thermal inertia respond slowly to the solar pulse and as a consequence they tend to present a more uniform LST throughout the day and night than features with low thermal inertia (Watson, 1975; Lillesand et al., 2004). The utility of satellite-based TIR data for differentiating the LST of two land cover types can be limited because image acquisition time does not necessarily capture the moment when these land cover types exhibit their maximum thermal difference. In these cases, the retrieval of thermal inertia is often a more useful approach because it differentiates both land cover types from the perspective of the temporal behaviour in LST. From satellite-based daytime and nighttime TIR data it is possible to retrieve thermal inertia estimates, as outlined in the following section.

### 2.2 Thermal Inertia Mapping

Modeling ground heat conduction from remote sensing data can be achieved by considering that ground thermal properties are independent of depth (Price, 1977, 1985; Xue and Cracknell, 1995; Franck et al., 1999) and solving the heat conduction equation with the surface energy balance estimated from LST as an upper boundary condition.
Solving the conduction equation shows that the thermal response of a homogeneous ground is controlled by a lumped thermal property called thermal inertia, TI, which is given by

\[
TI = \sqrt{\rho c k}
\]  

where \( \rho \) is the bulk density, \( c \) is the specific heat capacity and \( k \) is the thermal conductivity.

Thermal inertia as a single thermal material property determines the surface temperature amplitude of a periodically heated half-space. This relationship can be used to estimate thermal inertia from daytime and nighttime LST, which is referred to as apparent thermal inertia (ATI). It is potentially useful for discriminating a wide variety of geological and geomorphological surface characteristics (Watson, 1975; Hardgrove et al., 2010). Thermal inertia is closely related to physical substrate properties as it correlates positively with density, moisture content, silica content, and negatively with the iron content (e.g., desert varnish) of a substrate’s surface (Watson, 1975).

Different formulas for calculating ATI have been proposed in order to account for different measurement times and surface properties such as albedo (Li et al., 2004; Verhoef, 2004; Chen et al., 2008). In this study, we choose a representation that is based on the daily LST amplitude and accounts for spatially varying albedo and solar radiation. We therefore represent ATI by

\[
ATI = \frac{(1 - \gamma)S_0}{\Delta T}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is surface albedo, determined from the wavelengths of reflected radiation of the spectrum, \( S \) is incoming solar radiation and \( \Delta T \) is the maximum daily temperature difference. In our study the solar correction factor accounts for topographic radiation differences whereas previous studies modeled latitudinal variation of solar radiation (Nasipuri et al., 2006; Van doninck et al., 2011).

The relation between ATI and thermal inertia takes a linear form with scaling and offset factors, and the validity of ATI is limited to the case where linear coefficients are
constant across the studied area (Price, 1985). There is a high potential in using such simple formulation in dry climates where latent heat flux is insignificant and the sensible heat flux is spatially invariant during the summer time.

2.3 Ice-debris landforms and coarse blocky substrates

Rock glaciers and debris-covered glaciers are different types of ice-debris landforms in high mountain areas that exhibit different internal thermal properties and interact differently with the atmosphere (Haeberli et al., 2006; Bodin et al., 2010; Berthling, 2011). Debris-covered glaciers are typically part of the ablation area of glaciers in dry, continental mountain areas with high talus production. They present a supraglacial debris layer typically up to 1–1.5 m thick and often exhibit melt-out depressions as the expression of vertical and lateral ice melt (Bodin et al., 2010). Rock glaciers are considered to be the geomorphological expression of creeping ice-debris bodies under permafrost conditions (Haeberli et al., 2006). Their ice-rich inner part is protected relatively well against external temperature fluctuations by a seasonally thawing debris layer, the active layer, which is typically 3–5 m thick. However, this is not a static system as the active layer thickness is likely to increase over time as a consequence of climatic warming and the creep towards lower elevations (Haeberli, 2001; Trombotto and Borzotta, 2009). For convenience, we refer to debris-covered glaciers and rock glaciers jointly as “ice-debris landforms” (Berthling, 2011).

TIR imaging and especially thermal inertia mapping of ice-debris landforms is still a relatively novel approach that may provide insights into surface energy fluxes of these cryospheric features with variable debris cover thicknesses. ASTER-derived daytime LST on debris-covered glacier has been shown to be several degrees lower than in adjacent areas not underlain by massive ice (Taschner and Ranzi, 2002), and it may serve as a proxy for debris cover thickness, at least for thin debris covers < 0.6 m (Mihalcea et al., 2008). It further has been suggested that ATI differs between the surfaces of ice-debris landforms and surrounding areas (Piatek et al., 2007). A quantitative assessment of this conjecture is presented in this study. Bare, dry soil and cloudless
skies are required to apply this approach (Watson, 1975), and these conditions are perfectly met in the summer-dry Andes during the snow-free period.

3 Methods and data

Our research approach is based on remotely-sensed and in-situ data of ground thermal conditions and the use of statistical models for data analysis. Knowledge of the meteorological conditions at and before the image acquisition date and their climatic context is further required to understand atmospheric controls on the thermal state of the ground surface. This section starts with a general overview of relevant climatic and geomorphic conditions in the study area (Sect. 3.1), and continues by reporting on image acquisition (Sect. 3.2) and procedures used for processing remote sensing data (Sect. 3.3). Meteorological conditions during image acquisition (Sect. 3.4) and in-situ measurements are presented next (Sect. 3.5). Finally, the statistical study design and data analysis methods are introduced (Sect. 3.6).

3.1 Study area

The study area is located in the Western Main Cordillera of Central Chile at the latitude of Santiago and comprises the Laguna Negra and Casa de Piedra catchments as well as adjacent areas above 3000 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1). Rock glaciers and (debris-covered) glaciers are widely distributed in this area (Brenning, 2005a, b; Bodin et al., 2010a). The long dry summer season with infrequent cloud cover and the resulting dry soils provide ideal conditions for thermal imaging and thermal inertia mapping (Watson, 1975).

This study focuses on the area between 3000 and 3900 m a.s.l. The 0°C isotherm altitude (ZIA) of mean annual air temperature (MAAT) is located at ~3600 m a.s.l., and the ZIA rises seasonally to an average elevation of 4200 m a.s.l. in summer (Carrasco et al., 2005). Most areas up to 3900 m a.s.l. become snow-free until year-end, and only
small snow patches related to snow redistribution last longer and may persist (Bodin et al., 2010; Apaloo et al., 2011). Vegetation is completely absent above ~3200 m a.s.l. Only small patches of high Andean steppe vegetation exist above ~3000 m a.s.l.

Strong downwasting and widening of thermokarst depressions on debris-covered glaciers has been observed in the study area (Bodin et al., 2010a). Exposures in melt-out depressions show debris-cover thicknesses of ~1 to ~1.5 m on the surfaces of both debris-covered glaciers in the study area, which show a transition into uncovered glaciers in their upper parts.

### 3.2 Image acquisition

Two ASTER (Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer) scenes of the study area were obtained for this study from the Earth Remote Sensing Data Analysis Center, one acquired at nighttime and one at daytime. ASTER was selected not only because of its high spatial resolution, but also its wide spectral range of the shortwave infrared and thermal infrared spectra, which were used for deriving albedo, LST, and ATI.

ASTER is an imaging instrument aboard the Terra satellite, launched in 1999, which is part of NASA’s Earth Observing System. The ASTER sensor is comprised of 14 spectral channels ranging from the visible to thermal infrared spectrum (0.52–11.65 µm), including 3 bands in the visible and near-Infrared spectrum (VNIR, 15 m spatial resolution), 6 in the shortwave infrared spectrum (SWIR, 30 m), and 5 in thermal infrared (TIR, 90 m).

In consideration of the accuracy and reliability of the study, a pair of nighttime and daytime ASTER scenes was selected that minimizes snow and cloud cover, was acquired within the smallest possible time period and during a period with stable meteorological conditions. Only images acquired prior to 23 April 2008 were eligible because the ASTER’s SWIR detector has been defective since then (ASTER Science Office, 2009). As a result, the daytime scene selected was acquired on 30 March 2008 at 14:44 local solar time, and the nighttime scene on 1 April 2008 at 3:31 local solar time.
Cloud cover was 1 and 2 %, respectively, but did not affect the study area, and snow cover was at its seasonal minimum extent. Meteorological conditions are described in Sect. 3.4 in detail.

Both ASTER scenes acquired are geometrically corrected and radiometrically calibrated level 1B products. For the following processing steps, the data was orthorectified based on 10 pairs of manually selected control points and ASTER G-DEM (source: Earth Remote Sensing Data Analysis Center; resolution 1””) using PCI Geomatica 10 OrthoEngine. The imagery was atmospherically corrected using the FLAASH (Fast Line-of-Sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral Hypercubes) module of ENVI, which incorporates the standard MODTRAN (Moderate Resolution Atmospheric Transmission) model atmosphere and aerosol types to represent the scene to be corrected. FLAASH reduces the haze (or scattered-path radiance) and adjacency effects that distort the image pixel radiance, and at the same it models the at-surface irradiance. The outputs are reflectances free of atmospheric distortions, i.e. apparent surface reflectances.

### 3.3 Derivation of albedo and thermal variables

In order to estimate daytime and nighttime LST ($LST_{\text{day}}$, $LST_{\text{night}}$) and ATI from ASTER data, albedo and emissivity first need to be calculated. Surface albedo was derived from the reflection bands (bands 1–9) of the ASTER daytime dataset. The apparent surface reflectances of most of the reflection bands (i.e., narrowband albedos) were converted to broadband albedo using

$$a = 0.484a_1 + 0.335a_3 - 0.324a_5 + 0.551a_6 + 0 + 0.305a_8 - 0.367a_9 - 0.0015$$

(3)

where $a$ is the broadband albedo, and $a_1, \ldots, a_9$ are the narrowband albedos (Liang, 2000). Albedo values obtained for uncovered glaciers, lake surfaces and high Andean steppe vegetation within or near our study area agree well with typical values reported in the literature for comparable surface types. Vegetation-free surfaces such as morainic debris, rock glaciers and talus slopes had agreeable average albedo values around 0.09.
LST was derived from the TIR bands using the Normalized Emissivity Method (Gillespie, 1986; Realmuto 1990). Apparent surface temperatures, \( T_b \) (K), were first calculated for each TIR band \( b \) using an assumed maximum emissivity \( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} = 0.965 \):

\[
T_b = \frac{C_2}{\lambda} \left( \ln \left( \frac{C_1 \varepsilon_{\text{max}}}{\pi R_b \lambda^5_b + 1} \right) \right)^{-1}
\]

where \( C_1 = 3.74151 \times 10^{-16} \) and \( C_2 = 0.0143879 \) mK are constants from Planck’s Law, \( \lambda_b \) (\( \mu \)m) is the wavelength of emitted radiance for a thermal band, and \( R_b \) (W m\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) \( \mu \)m\(^{-1}\)) is the emitted radiance for a thermal band. The highest of these was then selected as the LST under the assumption that the true maximum emissivity occurs in the band for which the maximum \( T_b \) is calculated:

\[
\text{LST} = \max_b(T_b)
\]

Based on \( \text{LST}_{\text{day}} \) and \( \text{LST}_{\text{night}} \) calculated in this way from daytime and nighttime scenes, we calculated ATI as a practical proxy for thermal inertia based on Eq. (2) and utilizing remotely-sensed estimates in Eqs. (3) and (4) of the variables involved (Fig. 2):

\[
\text{ATI} = \frac{(1 - a) \text{PISR}}{\text{LST}_{\text{day}} - \text{LST}_{\text{night}}}
\]

where PISR is the potential incoming shortwave radiation on the day of image acquisition up to the time of image acquisition, derived from ASTER G-DEM as described in Sect. 3.6.

### 3.4 Meteorological conditions

Image acquisition was near the end of the dry summer season. At the nearby Embalse El Yeso weather station (EEY; 33°40′ S, 70°05′ W, 2475 m a.s.l.), 503 mm water equivalent (w.e.) of precipitation were registered during the 12 months preceding image acquisition, which is close to the 1961–1992 climatic average, suggesting a normal snow
extent (data source: Dirección General de Aguas, DGA). The March 2008 mean temperature at EEY was 15.1 °C (climatic average: 13.6 °C). The last precipitation events at EEY occurred during the March 6–10 period, totalling 34 mm w.e. (climatic average of March: 7 mm w.e.), presumably leaving only a temporary snow cover for a few days. Daily mean temperatures during this period averaged 13.4 °C at EEY and increased significantly during the following days, peaking at 17.0 °C at EEY on 13 March (daily maximum 21.4 °C).

Sunshine duration at EEY was at its local astronomical/topographic maximum of 9.0–9.5 h per day during the two-week period preceding the last image acquisition, with the exception of 24 March, with only 2.6 h sunshine, and 31 March, with 7.0 h of sunshine. From 20 March on, daily maximum temperatures at EEY decreased steadily from ~23–25 °C to 18.0 °C on 29 and 30 March, while minimum temperatures dropped from ~10–11 °C to 6–7 °C during the same period. Daily amplitudes ranged between ~10 and 12 °C. The daily maximum on 30 March (daytime image acquisition) was 18.0 °C (minimum: 6.0 °C), followed by a less variable day (17.2 °C maximum, 7.2 °C minimum) at EEY, which is consistent with the reduced sunshine duration indicating some cloud cover during that day. Minimum temperature on 1 April (nighttime image acquisition) was 5.6 °C, with a maximum temperature of 18 °C and 9.0 h sunshine, resembling very much the air temperature conditions on the day of the daytime image acquisition. The observed minimum temperatures are close to the mean value for April 2008 (5.6 °C), while the maximum is closer to the average March 2008 daily maximum of 19.0 °C (April: 16.2 °C) at EEY, reflecting again the cloud-free conditions on the acquisition dates.

Overall, the image acquisition days can be characterized as typical of this time of the year in terms of temperature distribution, cloud-free skies, and prolonged lack of precipitation. A slight perturbation of these conditions occurred on the day between the image acquisitions, and is related to approximately two hours of daytime cloud cover at EEY.
3.5 Field observations

We relate LST and thermal inertia to NSGT measurements at 37 locations on debris surfaces and ice-debris landforms in the Punta Negra valley (Fig. 1; details in Apaloo et al., 2011). Hourly NSGT measurements were obtained using one- and two-channel Onset Hobo data loggers installed at ~5 cm depth below the ground surface. Locations were chosen to provide a balanced, roughly stratified sample of different classes of elevation, modeled potential incoming solar radiation (PISR, see Sect. 3.6), and presence of long-lasting snow patches, most sites being paired with respect to the latter local control. Various site conditions of the logger sites, located between 3028 and 3776 m a.s.l., were recorded in the field. This included the presence of a coarse blocky substrate (0/1-variable COARSE; 1 = coarse material), or whether the site was located on an ice-debris accumulation (0/1-variable RDG; 1 = on ice-debris accumulation). Rock glaciers and debris-covered glaciers were not distinguished in the statistical analysis of logger data because of sample size limitations.

Since suitable ASTER imagery was not available during the NSGT observation period, a time period with similar meteorological conditions as at the acquisition time was used, as described below; we refer to this period (27–30 March 2009) as the “reference period”. Clearly, both the temporal mismatch between image acquisition and in-situ measurements and, even more so, the difference in spatial scale will introduce uncertainties that may weaken correlations between remotely-sensed and in-situ observations.

The following thermal attributes were extracted from the NSGT time series for statistical analysis:

- Mean annual NSGT from 1 March 2009 until 28 February 2011 (MANSGT);
- average daily minimum and maximum NSGT (NSGT\textsubscript{min}, NSGT\textsubscript{max}, NSGT\textsubscript{mean}) and mean daily NSGT amplitude (NSGT\textsubscript{amp}) during the four-day reference period.
In addition, air temperature was measured at 32 of the 37 locations at 50 cm above the ground.

The reference period was selected by comparing sunshine duration and air temperatures at EEY at the end of March and beginning of April 2009 and 2010 with the conditions observed during the image acquisition period. The selected four-day period from 27 to 30 March 2009 had similar sunshine duration (EEY: astronomical-topographic maximum of 9.1–9.2 h on three days, 80 h on one day) and a similar temperature amplitude (average: 12.2°C), although minimum and maximum air temperatures at EEY (8.8 and 21.0°C, respectively, on average) were ~ 3°C warmer than during the 30 March to 1 April 2008 image acquisition period. NSGT variations at the logger sites during and prior to the reference period are shown in Fig. 3.

During the reference period, average daily minimum NSGT at the logger sites ranged from −0.8 to +12.1°C (average daily maxima: 6.2–31.9°C), and air temperatures at 50 cm above ground reached daily minima of 0.2–6.4°C (daily maxima: 9.7–24.3°C) on average. The cooler EEY temperatures during the acquisition period suggest that the nighttime ZIA of the free atmosphere was likely around 3200–3400 m a.s.l. during image acquisition.

Geomorphological and cryospheric mapping, including presence/absence information on rock glaciers and debris-covered glaciers in the area, was furthermore conducted in the field and based on orthorectified IKONOS imagery (panchromatic resolution: 1 m × 1 m; GeoEye/Pacific Geomatics Ltd.). All rock glaciers included in this study are considered to be intact, and all but a few smaller rock glaciers below 3200 m a.s.l. are likely active based on morphological criteria (Brenning, 2005a,b; Bodin et al., 2010a). Differential GPS measurements on two morphologically active features confirmed their activity (Bodin et al., 2010b).

### 3.6 Statistical analysis

Our statistical analyses are of exploratory character, i.e. they aim at discovering novel relationships rather than confirming an existing hypothesis. Linear mixed-effects
models that account for grouped sampling were used for this purpose, and the false-
discovery rate (FDR) was controlled in performing multiple hypothesis tests.

Two different samples are considered. The first one corresponds to the logger sites
described in Sect. 3.5, which allows us to correlate the remotely-sensed variables
with NSGT variables as well as local site conditions observed in situ. This has the
disadvantage that logger sites constitute a rather small sample of point observations
with a limited spatial representativity, while the remotely-sensed variables were de-

derived by combining spectral bands measured at resolutions ranging from 15 m × 15 m
to 90 m × 90 m.

The second sample avoids these problems by sampling grid cells directly from the
remotely-sensed imagery, but this approach is limited to the assessment of elevation
and PISR-related influences and comparisons between sites with rock glaciers
or debris-covered glaciers versus rock debris surfaces. First, grid cells located within
digitized ice-debris landforms were randomly sampled, using an upper elevation limit of
3900 m a.s.l. Debris-surface grid cells were then matched to these samples as controls
based on slope angle (tolerance ± 5°), PISR on the acquisition date (± 10 %), albedo
(± 5 %) and elevation (± 50 m). Where multiple matches were available, the most sim-
ilar one (according to a weighted sum of the mentioned four variables) was selected.
Matching ensures that radiative and air temperature-induced differences in daily LST
amplitudes are controlled and do not affect the comparison of ATI between ice-debris
landforms and debris surfaces, which is one of our main concerns. The final matched
random sample consisted of 1000 grid cells, 379 of which corresponded to rock glacier
and 121 to debris-covered glacier areas.

Elevation, slope angle and potential incoming solar radiation for the acquisition date
of the daytime image were derived from ASTER G-DEM elevation data (Hayakawa
et al., 2008). In the matched-sample analysis, exposed bedrock areas were masked
using a combination of topographic criteria and bedrock areas digitized from a topo-
graphic map (Instituto Geográfico Militar, scale 1 : 50 000). Areas with albedo \( a < 0.05 \)
or \( a > 0.20 \) or ATI > 0.60 were removed in order to avoid artifacts.
Linear mixed-effects models were used to analyze both samples. LST\text{day}, LST\text{night}, LST\text{amp} and ATI were used as response variables in both samples, and in the case of the logger sites also NSGT\text{day}, NSGT\text{night}, NSGT\text{amp} and MANSGT. Elevation above 3000 m a.s.l. (ELEV\text{3000}) and PISR on the acquisition date (relative to the area-wide average; rPISR = PISR/mean PISR – 1) were incorporated in models for LST, but not for ATI, which was solar-corrected (Eq. 2). All-day PISR was used for this in the case of analyses of LST\text{night}, and PISR up to the acquisition time (3 p.m. LT) otherwise (variable rPISR\text{p}). In addition, 0/1-variables for the presence of rock glaciers (RGL) and debris-covered glaciers (DGL; 1 = presence) were included in the case of matched-sample data, and 0/1-variables for ice-debris landforms (RDG; 1 = presence of rock glacier or debris-covered glacier) and for the presence of coarse blocky material (COARSE) were tested on the logger data set. No attempt was made to distinguish between rock glacier and debris-covered glacier sites in the analysis of logger data because of the small sample size. Descriptive statistics of the response variables and site characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

The grouping of logger sites was used as a random-effect term on the intercept in the case of the logger sample, while the grouping in matched pairs defined a random effect on the intercept in the matched-sample analysis. In the matched-sample analysis, a spherical spatial autocorrelation structure with nugget effect was used initially to account for possible residual spatial dependence, but the fitted nugget autocorrelation was consistently near 1, which means that no spatial autocorrelation was present after accounting for the paired grouping. Spatial autocorrelation was therefore omitted from the final models.

The potential utility of ATI for discriminating rock glaciers and other ice-debris landforms was assessed using the area under the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve, or AUROC, which takes values between 0.5 (no discrimination) and 1.0 (perfect discrimination).

Given the small sample size and large number of statistical hypothesis tests performed for exploratory purposes, we applied a correction for multiple testing that...
controls the FDR of the family of tests. The FDR is the proportion of false positive hypothesis tests among all positive test outcomes. We controlled the FDR at the $\leq 10\%$ level, i.e. we expect that of all positive test outcomes reported in this study, no more than 10% were incorrectly classified as positive. The Simes procedure was used for this correction (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

All statistical analyses were conducted in the software R (version 2.11.1; R Development Core Team, 2010) with its contributed packages “nlme” (Pinheiro et al., 2009) and “ROCR” (Sing et al., 2009), and terrain analysis and geodata processing were conducted with SAGA GIS (version 2.0.4; Conrad, 2006) and the “RSAGA” package for R (Brenning, 2008).

4 Results

After assessing to what extent the remotely-sensed observations of thermal and thermophysical variables are related to in-situ measurements (Sect. 4.1), we then explore and interpret empirical relationships of these variables with respect to geomorphic and climatic site characteristics using statistical models (Sect. 4.2).

4.1 Relationships between remotely-sensed and in-situ variables

Remotely-sensed and in-situ measurements of daytime and nighttime surface temperatures at the logger sites ($N = 37$) correlated reasonably well ($\rho = 0.83$ at nighttime and 0.49 at daytime; Table 2). The strongest association between remotely-sensed and in-situ variables measured was found for $LST_{\text{night}}$, which is strongly correlated ($\rho > 0.80$) with $NSGT_{\text{night}}$ and $MANSGT$, and slightly less strongly with $NSGT_{\text{day}}$ (Table 2). The strong correlation of $LST_{\text{night}}$ is explained by the spatial homogeneity of surface temperature during night as controlled by kinetic temperature only, which yield a better correspondence between point measurements (loggers) and area measurements (ASTER pixels). The spatially much more variable $LST_{\text{day}}$, in contrast, was
moderately correlated with these three in-situ temperature variables ($\rho \approx 0.50$). LST$_{\text{amp}}$ and ATI were only weakly and not significantly correlated with in-situ thermal variables. The weak (but significant) positive (!) correlation between LST$_{\text{night}}$ and NSGT$_{\text{amp}}$ is likely a random association. Although the fixed NSGT readings at 3 a.m. and 3 p.m. used for calculating NSGT$_{\text{night}}$ and NSGT$_{\text{day}}$ do not exactly represent the time of minimum and maximum NSGT (Fig. 3), neither these correlations nor any of the further statistical analyses in this study appear to be sensitive to whether these fixed readings or actual NSGT minima and maxima are used.

The correlations between LST and NSGT during the day and at night reflect to a large extent the presence of general altitudinal trends in temperature. When looking only at local temperature variation by removing a linear altitudinal trend, much weaker, non-significant positive correlations remain (Table 3). The weakness and non-significance of this relationship can partly be attributed to the small sample size and decorrelation of remotely-sensed and in-situ data due to temporal and scale differences. However, the consistently positive correlations suggest that remotely-sensed LST does provide insights into local spatial patterns of NSGT other than those related to a simple altitudinal lapse rate. As an ad hoc example, a 1 °C difference in $d$LST$_{\text{night}}$ predicts a 0.5 °C difference in NSGT$_{\text{night}}$ according to a linear mixed-effects model relating NSGT$_{\text{night}}$ to ELEV$_{3000}$ and $d$LST$_{\text{night}}$, which has a standard deviation of 0.9 °C. While this trend estimate has a large uncertainty (standard error 0.3 °C), it gives us an idea of the contribution that remotely-sensed LST can make to explain local variation in the thermal state of the dry ground surface in mountain areas during the snow-free period.

### 4.2 Relationships with site characteristics

Daytime and nighttime LST in the matched sample were strongly related to elevation as a proxy for atmospheric temperatures and rPISR$_p$ as a proxy for radiative controls (Table 4). rPISR$_p$ influenced LST$_{\text{day}}$ much more strongly than rPISR did for LST$_{\text{night}}$, which is plausible because daytime surface temperatures are controlled mainly by absorption of incoming solar radiation while nighttime temperatures are controlled by emission
of heat. In the case of a one-IQR (interquartile range) difference in solar radiation, a 3.1 °C difference in LST_{day} would be predicted compared to a 0.9 °C difference at night, all other variables being equal. The LST amplitude mirrored the LST_{day} situation as it most strongly depended on the more variable daytime temperature. Over the entire study area, model-derived LST lapse rates were in good agreement with a dry adiabatic lapse rate of air temperature.

Empirical relationships found for the logger sites in the Punta Negra valley appear to differ in some important aspects; however, the observations for this smaller sample are also subject to substantial uncertainties and random variation related to site selection and instrumentation. At the logger sites, the model-derived LST and NSGT lapse rates were close to the dry adiabatic lapse rate of −1 °C/100 m at nighttime but were clearly stronger at daytime (∼ −1.5–2 °C/100 m). Near-surface air temperatures at 50 cm above ground showed a similar daytime lapse rate during the reference period (−1.50 °C/100 m, standard error 0.09 °C/100 m).

Ice-debris landforms in the matched-sample analysis had a lower LST_{day} (∼ 1–1.5 °C lower) and therefore also a smaller LST_{amp} (∼ 1–2 °C smaller). In the case of rock glaciers, this resulted in an ATI being 13 % higher than in non-rock glacier areas under otherwise equal conditions (Table 4). These differences were somewhat weaker in debris-covered glacier areas compared to rock glaciers, and ATI on debris-covered glaciers was not significantly different from general debris surfaces under otherwise equal conditions. LST at the logger sites showed a (non-significant) negative daytime anomaly on ice-debris landforms, as well as a similar negative anomaly on coarse blocky surfaces (on ice-debris landforms as well as outside of these). However, it should be noted that the local observation of the presence/absence of coarse blocky material at the logger’s point location may not be representative of the area covered by the corresponding ASTER grid cell.

While the logger-site LST observations on ice-debris landforms also showed a (non-significant) negative anomaly for daytime (and nighttime) LST, the observed (marginally significant) positive NSGT anomaly at daytime (+3.66 °C) was inconsistent with this.
This positive daytime NSGT anomaly on ice-debris landforms was reproducible under 5-fold cross-validation resampling and therefore cannot be attributed to influential outliers, even though the positive anomaly drops to (non-significant) +2.61 °C (standard error 1.12 °C) if two samples showing strongest disagreement between \( \text{LST}_{\text{day}} \) and \( \text{NSGT}_{\text{day}} \) are removed. Several comparisons with alternative reference periods during February/March 2009/2010 also indicate that this anomaly appears to be a persistent pattern during the 2009 summer/early fall period even though it tended to be less pronounced than during the selected reference period. It therefore had an effect on MANS GT under otherwise equal conditions, even when controlling for differences in snow cover duration (Apaloo et al., 2011).

The observed differences in mean ATI between the surfaces of ice-debris landforms and other debris surfaces can also be expressed with regards to the capability of the ATI to discriminate between these surface types. The resulting AUROC values show, overall, a “fair” discrimination (Table 5), but this would not be sufficient to delineate ice-debris landforms based on an ATI threshold alone.

Generally speaking, all results related to NSGT measurements reproduced very well in comparison with similar alternative reference periods during February/March of 2009/2010. Coefficient estimates and correlation coefficients were well within the uncertainties given by estimated standard errors.

5 Discussion

5.1 Spatial patterns revealed by thermal imaging

Remotely-sensed and in-situ observations of spatial patterns of daytime and nighttime surface temperatures in the high Andes agree reasonably well in terms of their correlation as well as statistically estimated topoclimatic influences. The utility of thermal imaging based on ASTER data may therefore not be limited to debris-covered glaciers with a shallow (< 40 cm) debris cover (Mihalcea et al., 2008), but it also shows potential
for analysing spatial patterns in periglacial environments in snow-free and especially dry conditions (Van De Kerchove et al., 2009; Bertoldi et al., 2010).

Nevertheless, in-situ point observations and spatially aggregated remote-sensing data provided contrasting results for the daytime temperature difference between ice-debris landforms and their surroundings, under otherwise equal site conditions. At this time we can only speculate about the causes of this disagreement, such as scale differences between ASTER data and in-situ measurements or a possible bias introduced by site selection and the installation of instrumentation in heterogeneous surface materials and complex local topography (e.g., dark desert varnish on more stable rock surfaces; different grain size distributions, Hardgrove et al., 2010). Interestingly, similar disagreements between point-scale and spatially aggregated results have been found elsewhere with much larger sample sizes (Gubler et al., 2011: effect size of coarse surfaces +1.66°C at the intra-footprint scale and −1.66°C at the inter-footprint scale).

The spatial patterns of LST are in good agreement with what would be expected in this type of environment. The estimated lapse rate of ∼ −1°C/100 m was close to the dry adiabatic lapse rate both at daytime and nighttime, which is consistent with the consistently dry ground and air conditions in this climate during the summer months, and contrasts with weaker lapse rates encountered in more humid mountains (Rolland, 2002; Gubler et al., 2011). Daytime NSGT lapse rates < −1°C/100 m in at the logger sites might be related to a greater exposure of the highest “level” of the stepped Punta Negra valley (above ∼ 3500 m a.s.l.) to westerly air flows, leading to an enhanced cooling effect from the free atmosphere. By contrast, thermally-induced air flow that would weaken lapse rates appeared to be absent or weak. Temperature inversions also appeared to be absent, as evidenced by exploratory analyses showing linear altitudinal trends in daytime and especially nighttime LST and NSGT, supporting also that the use of linear lapse rates in this study was appropriate, in contrast to studies in other periglacial environments (Lewkowicz and Bonnaventure, 2011).

Topographically controlled exposure to solar radiation explained around 3°C of temperature variation on a dry summer day (under a one-IQR difference in PISR), which
reflects the strong effects of radiative heating in this generally cloudless environment during the summer months (Schrott, 1991).

5.2 Thermophysical characteristics of ice-debris landforms

This first, exploratory study that quantitatively examines differences in ATI between ice-debris landforms and their surroundings detected significant differences in ATI between intact rock glaciers and general debris surfaces under otherwise equal conditions. Although reduced LST\textsubscript{day} is the main factor influencing ATI, the observed increase in nighttime LST that accompanies the stronger decrease in daytime LST on rock glaciers is consistent with an interpretation in terms of differing thermal inertia as a thermophysical material property (Watson, 1975).

However, ATI in this study and in cryospheric studies in general is a complex property (Fig. 4). A thermal gradient exists between ground surface and material at greater depth, in particular ground ice, which constitutes a thermal mismatch and a seasonal heat sink. Seasonal heat sinks at the lower boundary condition are not considered in the original ATI expression, which assumes only daily and not annual periodic heating. ATI in cryospheric conditions is therefore controlled by thermal inertia and the thickness of the surface layer (Bandfield and Feldman, 2008). Daytime (nighttime) latent heat absorption (release) at the top of ground ice and conductive cooling (warming) of the ground surface by this heat sink is small in the case of debris-layer thicknesses > 1 m (Mihalcea et al., 2008; Mattson et al., 1993; Nicholson and Benn, 2006), but a contribution to a daytime LST\textsubscript{day} reduction (nighttime increase) may still be possible.

While direct observations of ground ice temperatures and heat fluxes is not available in this area, the low elevation of rock glaciers with respect to the regional ZIA of MAAT (Brenning, 2005b; Bodin et al., 2010) and borehole observations on such rock glaciers in other parts of the semiarid Andes (Monnier et al., 2011) suggest that ground ice in rock glaciers may likely be at the melting point. On the other hand, while the mentioned effects would be expected to be more pronounced on debris-covered glacier surfaces, our LST data did not show marked differences compared to rock glaciers.
Differences in thermal conductivity and heat capacity between an ice-free surface layer and a second, ice-rich layer may also influence heat transfer and NSGT at daytime in particular, resulting in a modified ATI. Such effects have been measured for groundwater tables at 1–3.5 m depth (Alkhaier et al., 2009) and may have contributed to ATI differences observed in this study. Further research of these phenomena is therefore warranted for a debris-ground ice stratification.

The presence of coarse blocky material in the surface layer of ice-debris landforms is another possible explanation for observed ATI and LST$_{\text{day}}$ differences between ice-debris landforms and other debris surfaces. This influence was controlled for in the analysis of logger-site data; it is discussed separately in Sect. 5.3. However, coarse blocky layers may only partly explain the mentioned differences because coarse surface layers may also be present in other areas such as talus slopes. Additional causes for observed ATI and LST$_{\text{day}}$ differences may be related to confounding with several factors including rock type, weathering and (less likely here) soil moisture, each of which has complex relationships with the overall material density, heat capacity and conductivity.

5.3 Thermophysical characteristics of coarse blocky layers

Coarse blocky substrates, which are often present on ice-debris landforms but also on talus slopes, have been described by several authors as presenting distinct thermal characteristics and thermophysical properties (Fig. 4). In particular, cold air circulation through the snow cover during winter has been presented as an explanation for undercooled coarse debris in marginal permafrost environments, and low thermal conductivity has been used to explain a strong thermal offset between ground surface and top of permafrost in coarse blocky material (Harris and Pedersen, 1998; Delaloye and Lambiel, 2004; Gruber and Hoelzle, 2008). While low thermal conductivities would reduce the thermal inertia of openwork boulder layers with poor thermal coupling between particles (Eq. 1), well-coupled, matrix-supported blocky layers may exhibit the contrary effect. In these substrates, large boulders connecting the ground surface with
greater depths offer a higher thermal conductivity and density and therefore increase thermal inertia relative to finer material or openwork boulders (Fig. 4; Bandfield, 2007; Bandfield and Feldman, 2008).

In our study, sites with coarse blocky surface layers were found to have (non-significantly) reduced daily NSGT and LST amplitudes and increased ATI, suggesting that in this particular area reduced thermal conductivities and/or densities may have been present in coarse blocky substrates. While the empirical evidence for this phenomenon is limited in this study, this shows that additional in-situ analyses of near-surface sediment structure are required in order to better interpret LST patterns.

5.4 Utility of ATI for characterizing ice-debris landforms

Compared to the direct use of LST to delineate ice-debris landforms (Taschner and Ranzi, 2002), ATI mapping practically eliminates the need to account for the strong altitudinal trend present in LST data. Our results show the general feasibility of ATI mapping in mountain terrain, and demonstrate the potentials of this approach in periglacial and glacial environments. However, while thermal inertia is usually interpreted based on the assumption of a homogeneous semi-infinite half space, a more complex situation is often found in these environments due to the presence of ground ice at variable depths and possible vertical material sorting (Fig. 4; Bandfield, 2007; Bandfield and Feldman, 2008). Physically-based modeling of typical layering features in combination with geophysical investigations would provide further valuable insight into how these structures are reflected in ATI maps.

The strong spatial variation in daily maximum surface temperatures further poses a challenge as it is often related to small topographic features below the scale of ASTER thermal imagery or widely available DEMs. A solar-corrected representation of ATI was therefore used in this study (Eq. 2), which helped reduce confounding with topographic effects on daytime LST in complex terrain. Methods using the drop in surface temperature between sunset and sunrise instead of the daily temperature amplitude (Verhoef, 2004) may also be of particular interest in mountain areas because of...
their reduced dependence on local topography.

While previous studies emphasized the potential utility of ATI for mapping ice-debris landforms on Earth (Southeastern Alaska) and potentially on Mars (Piatek et al., 2007), the present study is the first to produce quantitative assessment of the discrimination that can be achieved with this method. In our essentially vegetation-free study area, ATI proved to be of only very limited utility for mapping rock glaciers and debris-covered glaciers. The (visually) more promising results shown by Piatek et al. (2007) can likely be attributed to the influence of vegetation, a darker upper soil horizon or moist soils on ATI in the area chosen by these authors as a terrestrial analog of Mars. Our findings are more likely transferable to dry mountain areas on Earth, or to Mars. Given the weak (but potentially useful) discrimination of ice-debris landforms provided by ATI, we suggest combining this variable with terrain attributes and other remotely-sensed variables (Brenning, 2009; Brenning and Azócar, 2010).

6 Conclusions

Remotely-sensed LST and ATI provide insights into land surface processes and thermophysical surface characteristics that complement local in-situ observations. In the present study, ASTER-derived daytime and nighttime LST showed similar spatial patterns as in-situ observations of NSGT, although the comparison of measurements obtained at different spatial scales creates several challenges. It could be demonstrated that the ASTER-derived ATI of rock glaciers differed significantly from the inertia of other vegetation-free debris surfaces in the study area, and that ice-debris landforms exhibit reduced daytime LST under otherwise equal conditions.
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Table 1. Descriptive statistics of remotely-sensed and in-situ variables and site characteristics at the logger sites and in the matched random sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Logger sites median (IQR)</th>
<th>Matched sample median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remotely-sensed variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST&lt;sub&gt;day&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</td>
<td>12.2 (7.1)</td>
<td>14.9 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST&lt;sub&gt;night&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</td>
<td>-1.2 (5.5)</td>
<td>-1.1 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST&lt;sub&gt;amp&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</td>
<td>16.3 (7.3)</td>
<td>16.3 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATI (–)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albedo (–)</td>
<td>0.091 (0.029)</td>
<td>0.093 (0.025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In-situ variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSGT&lt;sub&gt;day&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</td>
<td>19.3 (8.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSGT&lt;sub&gt;night&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</td>
<td>4.5 (5.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSGT&lt;sub&gt;amp&lt;/sub&gt; (°C)</td>
<td>13.3 (8.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANSGT (°C)</td>
<td>2.9 (4.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</td>
<td>3466 (462)</td>
<td>3479 (331)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rPISR (–)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.24)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rPISR&lt;sub&gt;p&lt;/sub&gt; (–)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope angle (°)</td>
<td>20.5 (16.9)</td>
<td>15.1 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Correlation of remotely-sensed variables with in-situ variables at the logger sites. Spearman correlation coefficients and tests, non-significant associations in square brackets; FDR controlled at $\leq 10\%$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>LST$_{\text{day}}$</th>
<th>LST$_{\text{night}}$</th>
<th>LST$_{\text{amp}}$</th>
<th>ATI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSGT$_{\text{day}}$</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>[0.24]</td>
<td>[0.03]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSGT$_{\text{night}}$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>[0.14]</td>
<td>[–0.14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSGT$_{\text{amp}}$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>[0.22]</td>
<td>[0.14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANS GT</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>[0.18]</td>
<td>[–0.11]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Correlation of detrended remotely-sensed variables with detrended in-situ variables at the logger sites. Variables were detrended by resting each variable’s linear trend with elevation. Spearman correlation coefficients and tests, non-significant associations in square brackets; FDR controlled at ≤ 10%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>dLST\textsubscript{day}</th>
<th>dLST\textsubscript{night}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dNSGT\textsubscript{day}</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNSGT\textsubscript{night}</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dMANSGT</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Linear mixed models relating remotely-sensed and in-situ ground thermal variables to site characteristics at the logger sites and in the matched random sample. Values shown are model coefficient estimates and, in parentheses, their standard errors. Non-significant coefficients in square brackets, FDR of all tests controlled at ≤ 10 %, no tests on the intercept.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response variable</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>ELEV$_{3000} \times 100$</th>
<th>rPISR$_p^a$</th>
<th>RGL</th>
<th>DGL</th>
<th>RDGL</th>
<th>COARSE$_b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote-sensed variables (matched sample)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST$_{day}$</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.32)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.94)</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
<td>(0.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST$_{night}$</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>3.87$^a$</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>[0.02]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.11)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.28)</td>
<td>(0.08)</td>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST$_{amp}$</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>-1.97</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.36)</td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(1.10)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>(0.41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATI</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>[0.008]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.0004)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote-sensed variables (logger sites)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST$_{day}$</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[-1.92]</td>
<td>[-1.25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.42)</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
<td>(3.32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.14)</td>
<td>(1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST$_{night}$</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>[1.26]$^a$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[-0.27]</td>
<td>[-0.70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.39)</td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.88)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>(0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST$_{amp}$</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>[-0.16]</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[-1.83]</td>
<td>[-0.70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.59)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>(3.70)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.25)</td>
<td>(1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATI</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>[0.002]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[0.047]</td>
<td>[0.037]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.031)</td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-situ variables (logger sites)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSGT$_{day}$</td>
<td>26.31</td>
<td>-1.92</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>[-1.26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.57)</td>
<td>(0.26)</td>
<td>(3.69)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.30)</td>
<td>(1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSGT$_{night}$</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>[1.17]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[0.70]</td>
<td>[-1.01]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>(1.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.58)</td>
<td>(0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSGT$_{amp}$</td>
<td>17.18</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[2.91]</td>
<td>[-0.53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.78)</td>
<td>(0.30)</td>
<td>(4.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.48)</td>
<td>(1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANSCT$_c$</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
<td>[1.45]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[0.72]</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.37)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.90)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.30)</td>
<td>(0.28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ rPISR$_p$ was used for all response variables except LST$_{night}$ NSGT$_{night}$ and MANSCT, for which rPISR$_p$ was used.  

$^b$ In-situ observation at the logger site; not necessarily representative of entire ASTER grid cell.  

$^c$ Compare Apaloo et al. (2011) for more detailed results relating MANSCT to site characteristics.
**Table 5.** AUROC values expressing the ability of ATI to discriminate between different ice-debris accumulations and other debris surfaces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>RGL</th>
<th>DGL</th>
<th>RDG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matched sample</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logger sample</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Study area with ice-debris landforms and data logger locations.
Fig. 2. Maps of (a) $LST_{\text{day}}$, (b) $LST_{\text{night}}$, (c) ATI in the Punta Negra valley. Grey background areas correspond to masked areas.
Fig. 3. Hourly NSGT time series at logger sites for location outside (solid lines) and within ice-debris landforms (dashed lines) during the 24–30 March 2009 period. The upper panel shows average NSGTs of all data loggers, the lower panels individual logger time series as deviation from each logger’s mean NSGT. The reference period is delimited by continuous vertical lines; dotted lines indicate times of daytime and nighttime NSGT readings (3 p.m., 3 a.m.).
Fig. 4. Effects of substrate type, thermal mismatch and presence of a heat sink on thermo-physical properties, thermal conditions and ATI under dry, snow-free conditions in summer: a schematic overview. ATI is subject to the combined effects of thermal inertia as a thermo-physical property and seasonal as well as diurnal heat transfer processes between the ground surface and greater depths.