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Abstract

Increasing evidence for an elaborate subglacial drainage network underneath modern Antarctic ice sheets suggests that basal meltwater has an important influence on ice stream flow. Swath bathymetry surveys from previously glaciated continental margins display morphological features indicative of subglacial meltwater flow in inner shelf areas of some paleo ice stream troughs. Over the last few years several expeditions to the Eastern Amundsen Sea embayment (West Antarctica) have investigated the paleo ice streams that extended from the Pine Island and Thwaites glaciers. A compilation of high-resolution swath bathymetry data from inner Pine Island Bay reveals details of a rough seabed topography including several deep channels that connect a series of basins. This complex basin and channel network is indicative of meltwater flow beneath the paleo-Pine Island and Thwaites ice streams, along with substantial subglacial water inflow from the east. This meltwater could have enhanced ice flow over the rough bedrock topography. Meltwater features diminish with the onset of linear features north of the basins. Similar features have previously been observed in several other areas, including the Dotson-Getz Trough (Western Amundsen Sea embayment) and Marguerite Bay (SW Antarctic Peninsula), suggesting that these features may be widespread around the Antarctic margin and that subglacial meltwater drainage played a major role in past ice-sheet dynamics.

1 Introduction

Response of the Antarctic ice sheets to changing climate conditions is one of largest uncertainty in the prediction of future sea-level (IPCC, 2007). Much of that response will depend on the behaviour of large ice streams, the main conduits of ice flux from the inner portions of the ice sheets to the coast (Bentley, 1987; Bennett, 2003). Understanding how and why ice streams behaved in the past can improve predictions of future changes (Stokes and Clark, 2001; Vaughan and Arthern, 2007).
The West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) is considered especially vulnerable, because most of the WAIS is grounded below sea level (Hughes, 1973) and, therefore, its margins can directly interact with the oceans (Joughin and Alley, 2011). About 25–35 % of the WAIS is currently draining into the Amundsen Sea, mostly through the Pine Island and Thwaites glaciers (Drewry et al., 1982; Rignot et al., 2008). These ice streams are potential weak points in the ice sheet because they occupy troughs that become steadily deeper towards the WAIS interior and are buttressed only by relatively small ice shelves (Hughes, 1973; Vaughan et al., 2006). Theoretical studies have concluded that ice grounding lines are unstable on such reverse gradients, and therefore once retreat starts it may proceed rapidly (Weertman, 1974; Schoof, 2007), as recently under the Pine Island Ice Shelf (Jenkins et al., 2010).

Interest in the Amundsen Sea sector has increased since studies showed that Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers are presently thinning significantly (Wingham et al., 1998; Shepherd et al., 2001) and that their flow velocity has increased to over 3000 myr\(^{-1}\) (Rignot and Thomas, 2002; Scott et al., 2009), while their grounding lines have retreated considerably (Joughin et al., 2010; Tinto and Bell, 2011). These changes appear to be caused by strong melting under their floating extensions, driven by the intrusion of relatively warm Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) onto the continental shelf (Jacobs et al., 1996, 2011; Jenkins et al., 1997; Hellmer et al., 1998; Rignot and Jacobs, 2002; Shepherd et al., 2004; Pritchard et al., 2012). As a consequence, these ice streams now account for an ice loss of \(\sim 50–85 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}\) (Rignot et al., 2008; Pritchard et al., 2009) and already contribute to current sea-level rise (Shepherd and Wingham, 2007; Rignot et al., 2011).

While those observations document ice stream change over the last few decades, detailed marine geological studies of previous glaciated seafloor have established a framework of past ice sheet extent, flow pattern, and grounding line retreat in the Amundsen Sea sector since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) at \(\sim 23–19\) kyr before present (BP). The regional bathymetry shows a large cross-shelf trough system that extends from the present Thwaites and Pine Island Ice Shelves to the outer continental...
shelf (Fig. 1; Nitsche et al., 2007). Detailed studies of this trough system revealed that it was occupied by a paleo ice stream and document an episodic retreat soon after the LGM on the outer and middle continental shelf (Graham et al., 2010; Jakobsson et al., 2011, 2012).

The grounding line of the paleo-Pine Island Ice Stream shifted from the outer shelf to the central shelf by \(\sim 16400 \text{ cal yr BP}\), followed by another landward shift that left the central shelf covered by an ice shelf between \(\sim 12300\) to \(\sim 10600 \text{ cal yr BP}\). An episode of ice shelf collapse that is believed to have been triggered by warm deep water incursion onto the shelf prompted rapid grounding line retreat towards the inner shelf (Kirshner et al., 2012).

The retreat history and dynamics of the paleo ice stream in inner Pine Island Bay is less well understood. Available radiocarbon dates from sediment cores located \(\sim 93 \text{ km}\) away from the modern grounding line of Thwaites Glacier and \(\sim 112 \text{ km}\) away from that of Pine Island Glacier, respectively, suggest minimum ages for grounded ice retreat of \(\sim 10350\) and \(\sim 11660 \text{ cal yr BP}\) (Kirshner et al., 2012; Hillenbrand et al., 2012). And cosmogenic surface exposure ages on erratics from the flanks of Pine Island Glacier in the Hudson Mountains yielded a record of ice thickness changes since the LGM (Johnson et al., 2008).

Published bathymetry data from Pine Island Bay revealed a complex seafloor dominated by deep bedrock basins with local patches of a generally thin sedimentary cover (Kellogg and Kellogg, 1987a; Lowe and Anderson, 2002). These data also led to the first identification of subglacial meltwater channels on the Antarctic continental shelf (Lowe and Anderson, 2003). Both, the presence of subglacial meltwater and the variability of subglacial substrate could have significantly influenced the behavior of the ice streams (Bennett, 2003). A detailed understanding of the origin of the subglacial features, their configuration and their substrate could thus provide critical information on the dynamics of these ice streams.

Here we present extensive new high-resolution swath bathymetry with almost complete coverage of inner Pine Island Bay (Fig. 1). These data reveal networks of
channels eroded by subglacial meltwater, possible ice flow mechanisms and subglacial water sources, with implications for the paleo-flow history of Pine Island and Thwaites Ice Streams.

2 Data and methods

Since 1999, several expeditions have obtained swath bathymetry data in the Pine Island Bay area (Table 1). In particular, unusually favorable sea ice and weather conditions in early 2009 and 2010 permitted the acquisition of high-quality data for most of Pine Island Bay directly seaward of the Pine Island Glacier Ice Shelf. Operating equipment included various Seabeam, Kongsberg, and Atlas Hydrosweep swath sonar systems (Table 1). Data were corrected for sound velocity based on numerous CTD and XBT casts. The raw soundings were processed and edited on board using MB-system (Caress and Chayes, 1996) on R/V/IB Nathaniel B. Palmer and RRS James Clark Ross, and CARIS/HIPS (Hydrographic Information Processing System) on R/V Polarstern and IB Oden.

We combined the different swath bathymetry data and generated a new bathymetry grid of Pine Island Bay at 35 m resolution using mbgrid from MB-system. The final grid was imported into ESRI’s ArcGIS geographic information system for further analysis.

Simultaneously with its multibeam bathymetry survey, R/V Polarstern acquired PARASOUND subbottom profiler data. The PARASOUND system is a hull-mounted subbottom profiler that transmits two primary frequencies at around 18 kHz to produce a parametric secondary pulse with frequencies selectable between 2.5–5.5 kHz (Grant and Schreiber, 1990). We used a 4 kHz signal and processed it including signal correlation, basic filtering (2–6 kHz band-pass), amplitude normalization and noise reduction. Maximum penetration depths were digitized and linked to the GPS navigation (Rackebrandt, 2006).

Multichannel seismic profiling in proximity to the ice shelf edge of the Pine Island Glacier was conducted from R/V Polarstern in 2010 with a source array consisting of
two generator-injector (GI) air guns shot every 6 s, and recorded with a 600 m long analogue hydrophone streamer of 96 channels. We applied standard seismic data processing including a predictive deconvolution filter for the removal of the receiver and source ghost signal and a bandpass filter of 15–100 Hz.

3 Results

The compilation of swath bathymetry data resulted in a comprehensive 35 m-grid that covers large parts of Pine Island Bay (Fig. 2). The grid includes most of the main paleo-ice stream trough while data gaps remain in the shallow areas to the northeast of the trough and around Iceberg B22A, which was formerly an ice tongue extending from Thwaites Glacier.

The overall seafloor morphology varies significantly over the mapped area. Three areas with different seabed characteristics can be distinguished: the seafloor morphology of the northernmost section of the study area (area 1; north of ~74° S) is characterized by moderate relief with water depths in the range 500–1100 m, which gradually shoals seaward and is dominated by linear features (Fig. 2). This pattern continues northward towards the mid-shelf, where it changes into mega-scale glacial lineations (MSGL) interrupted by grounding zone wedges as described by Graham et al. (2010) and Jakobsson et al. (2012). The area adjacent to the southeast (area 2), between 74.8° S and 74° S, is characterized by a very rugged terrain with shallow ridges and deep (1400–1650 m) basins. The innermost section (area 3) directly in front of the Pine Island Ice Shelf has a moderate relief with water depths between 500 and 1000 m and with clearly defined parallel, linear features orientated along the trough axis and with a few ridges and mounds orientated oblique to the trough axis.
3.1 Linear features

Pine Island Bay is characterized by various types of linear subglacial features (Fig. 3). The central part of area 1 is dominated by drumlin-shaped ridges (drumlinoïds), which are mainly elongated, tear-shaped features including some “whaleback” ridges and crag-and-tail features (Fig. 3a). These mostly parallel or sub-parallel features are 25–80 m high, 300–1500 m wide and between 1500 and 8000 m long. They taper from south to north, thus indicating paleo ice flow in that direction (Bennett and Glasser, 1996). Crossing acoustic sub-bottom profiles demonstrate that the sedimentary cover of these bedforms is either very thin or absent (Figs. 4, 5). Therefore, the crag-and-tail features and drumlins must have been formed in a hard substrate such as stiff till or rock. The absence of thick sediment cover is consistent with evidence from seismic profiles showing that the seafloor south of ca. 73.5° S is mainly underlain by acoustic basement interpreted as crystalline bedrock (Lowe and Anderson, 2002, 2003; Uenzelmann-Neben et al., 2007), which has also been observed in inner sections of several other Antarctic paleo-ice stream troughs (e.g. Wellner et al., 2001; Larter et al., 2009; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002). Sediment cores from area 1 recovered short sequences (<2.2 m) of mud intercalated with diamicton (site PC40; Lowe and Anderson, 2003) and silty diamicton (site PC41, Lowe and Anderson, 2002), respectively.

The central area 2 contains fewer drumlin-shaped features. Instead the data show widespread striations or grooves, mainly on ridges and bedrock highs (e.g. Fig. 3b, c, d). Most of the striations and grooves are 2–10 m deep and 50–150 m wide and incise into the underlying substrate. They generally follow the orientation of the main trough and are likely to have been created by ice flowing across topographic high points (Bennett and Glasser, 1996).

The smoother, innermost area 3 is also dominated by linear features, which are 10–20 m high, 200–400 m wide and 2–8 km long (Fig. 3e). In contrast to the features observed in the other areas, many of these lineations appear to be depositional and their elongation ratio of > 10 : 1 is comparable to MSGL (Stokes and Clark, 2001). Several
other features are drumlin-shaped, i.e. elongated, but shorter. Unfortunately, we do not have good-quality acoustic subbottom data from this area to verify that the features are formed in a sedimentary substrate. However, multichannel seismic data acquired in the southern part of the area show that at least part of area 3 consists of $>100$ m of sedimentary strata (Fig. 6). Following examples from other Antarctic shelf areas we interpret these features as glacial lineations and drumlins that are more typical for sedimentary substrate (e.g. Wellner et al., 2001; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002).

The comprehensive data coverage allows us to map the orientation of these linear features in detail. The parallel and sub-parallel features are oriented from $285°–290°$ in the inner part of area 3 (Fig. 2) to $350°–360°$ in outer area 1, and progressively change in orientation through area 2 ($330°–340°$). These directions correspond to the general orientation of the paleo-ice stream trough system.

### 3.2 Basins

The dominant morphological features of area 2 are slightly elongated, deep (up to 1650 m), and several kilometer wide seafloor depressions that are enclosed by more than 300 m shallower seafloor (800–1100 m water depth). Often the edges of these basins are marked by steep slopes, which are intersected by numerous channels (Fig. 3c, d). Their maximum water depths increases from 1000–1100 m close to the present ice shelf to over 1650 m further offshore (Fig. 2). Some of the basins have been described by Lowe and Anderson (2003), who interpreted them as features initially eroded into bedrock by ice and later modified by meltwater flow. Similar basins have been observed in several other inner shelf locations around Antarctica (Wellner et al., 2006) including the Dotson-Getz Trough (Larter et al., 2009), and Marguerite Bay (Anderson and Fretwell, 2008).

The deepest parts of some basins show linear or drumlin-shaped features (Fig. 3d), which indicate that these basins were filled with grounded ice during previous glaciations. Acoustic sub-bottom profiles from the inner shelf generally show sediment cover $<5$ m thick, but some of the deepest basins exhibit localized, 10–40 m thick sediment
pockets (Fig. 4; Rackebrandt, 2006). Existing seismic lines crossing the northern basins even indicate over 75 ms (> 50 m) of sediment fill in some of them (Uenzelmann-Neben et al., 2007). New seismic profiles in proximity of the ice shelf edge of Pine Island Glacier show a basin with at least 30 m of sediment fill (Fig. 6). Numerous sediment cores have been collected from the basins of area 2 and typically recovered long (up to 10 m) sequences consisting of unconsolidated mud and sandy mud, occasionally with a diamicton at the core base (e.g. site PS69/295-1; Ehrmann et al., 2011).

### 3.3 Channels

The detailed bathymetry shows two types of channels in Pine Island Bay. The first type connects the deep basins and is characterized by a 200–400 m deep, 1–2 km wide, and 10–15 km long undulating geometry (Figs. 2, 3c, d, f, g). The second type is smaller and varies significantly in size and geometry throughout the study area with depths between 10–200 m, widths of 150–1500 m, and lengths between 2 and 25 km (Fig. 3a–e). Most channels of both types are anastomosing with undulating and meandering thalwegs. The general orientation of these channels varies. While some appear to connect to the main channels, others are almost perpendicular to the paleo-ice flow indicated by glacial lineation (Fig. 7, blue lines). The undulating and meandering geometry of the channels and their oblique orientation with regard to the general trough direction indicate that they were not formed by grounded ice flow. Instead, Lowe and Anderson (2003) suggested formation by subglacial meltwater flow, which seems to be consistent with the lithology of sediment core PC46 (Fig. 2; Lowe and Anderson, 2003). This core targeted one of the channels in area 2 and recovered predominantly sands and gravels that may originate from the bed-load of former meltwater flows (Lowe and Anderson, 2003). Similar channels have been observed in several locations around Antarctica (e.g. Wellner et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2009; Anderson and Fretwell, 2008), on previously glaciated margins in the Northern Hemisphere (e.g. Booth and Hallet, 1993; Jorgensen and Sandersen, 2006), and onshore in one of the Dry Valleys in the Transantarctic Mountains (Lewis et al., 2006).
3.4 Thickness of unconsolidated sediments

Figure 4 shows the maximum subbottom penetration depth of the PARASOUND signal in areas 2 and 3, which indicates the presence or minimum thickness of unconsolidated sediments. Most of the track does not show any sedimentary cover, confirming earlier interpretations that the inner Pine Island Bay seafloor consists mostly of a hard substrate, presumably bedrock. However, some channels and basins are characterized by significant sediment infill (> 5 m) (Figs. 4c, 5). It is interesting to note that other channels are barren of unconsolidated sediments. Given that the PARASOUND signal is unlikely to penetrate consolidated stiff till, much of these sediments must be of post glacial origin (e.g. sands, silts and muds). It is possible that turbidity currents or other mass transport mechanisms have concentrated sediment in the deeper basins by scouring material from other channels. However, the available acoustic subbottom data are still too sparse to clearly verify such a pattern.

4 Discussion

This new and extensive high-resolution bathymetry compilation from Pine Island Bay allows a more detailed analysis and interpretation of ice flow and subglacial meltwater drainage than in previous studies (Lowe and Anderson, 2002, 2003; Kellogg and Kellogg, 1987b).

4.1 Paleo ice flow

Linear morphological features observed in Pine Island Bay are typical of Antarctic paleo-ice stream troughs (e.g. Canals et al., 2000; Dowdeswell et al., 2007; Wellner et al., 2006; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002). Their orientation can be interpreted as the direction of past ice flow (Clark, 1993; Boulton and Clark, 1990). In area 3, i.e. in front of the present Pine Island Ice Shelf, the past ice flow was in the same direction as the present flow of Pine Island Glacier. The flow direction turned slightly northward in area 2, i.e.
at the confluence with the paleo-ice stream extending offshore from Thwaites Glacier, and then followed the outline of the main trough (Fig. 7, black lines).

A few lineations at the southern edge of the data between 104° W and 106° W indicate that streaming ice flow also occurred in the area between Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers, which indicates that the ice streams during the LGM were wider than today.

The flow lines joining the main flow north of ~74.5° S document the confluence of Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers, and farther north the convergence with Smith Glacier (Graham et al., 2010; Nitsche et al., 2007). This flow convergence would have resulted in an increase in flow speed, which is suggested by the higher elongation ratios of lineations and other subglacial bedforms at this point when compared to those of features further south.

Previous studies on paleo-ice stream troughs on the Antarctic continental shelf showed that the innermost shelf usually consists of rugged terrain similar to the central part of Pine Island Bay, i.e. area 2, and that this morphology often changes in the mid-shelf region towards a smoother topography and well-defined, more elongated subglacial lineations (MSGL) (e.g. Pudsey et al., 1994; Anderson et al., 2001; Anderson and Fretwell, 2008; Wellner et al., 2001, 2006; Larter et al., 2009; Lowe and Anderson, 2002). This change usually coincides with the transition from exposed bedrock on the inner shelf towards sedimentary substrate on the middle-outer shelf, with the rugged terrain being a result of subglacial bedrock erosion, indicating that the sediment cover was largely stripped off by erosion (Wellner et al., 2006). Based on these differences in seafloor morphology and underlying seismic character, Lowe and Anderson (2002) distinguished two zones in Pine Island Bay. They describe their innermost zone 1 as being dominated by crystalline bedrock and the transition to ice streaming starting in zone 2.

While area 1 of this paper basically coincides with zone 2 of Lowe and Anderson (2002), the new bathymetry compilation reveals a distinct change in the seafloor morphology in front of the present ice shelf, and thus allows us to subdivide their zone 1 into areas 2 and 3. Area 3 in front of the Pine Island Ice Shelf is less rugged; and the
shape of several features indicates a depositional origin rather than bedrock erosion (Figs. 2, 3). The absence of deep basins in this area suggests that they were either not excavated, e.g. due to different substrate properties or, if such basins were formed in the past, they have been filled with sediment while the grounding line of the ice stream was located farther north.

Lowe and Anderson (2002, 2003) argued that south of the transition from sedimentary strata on the middle shelf to acoustic basement on the inner shelf at ∼ 73.5° S sedimentary substrate is largely absent. The only exception is a small patch of ∼ 100 m thick, layered sediments overlying acoustic basement on the up-stream flank of a crystalline bedrock outcrop that was recorded directly west of the Pine Island Glacier front by Kellogg and Kellogg (1987a). Lowe and Anderson (2003) assumed that these sediments are deposits related to subglacial meltwater flow and form a sea-ward thinning wedge. Seismic profiling obtained with R/V Polarstern from inner Pine Island Bay near the front of the Pine Island ice shelf (Fig. 6), however, reveals > 300 m thick layered sedimentary strata extending over at least 10 km. The near-horizontal strata indicate basin-wide regular vertical deposition more indicative of basin fill than a wedge. Moreover, our multibeam data from this part of area 3 clearly show subglacial lineations (Fig. 3e). This observation may imply that either the near-surface sediments are subglacial till or, if the assumption of Lowe and Anderson (2003) is correct, Pine Island Glacier must have overridden the subglacial meltwater sediments after their deposition. The lithology of core PS75/159-1 (Fig. 2) does not confirm the presence of till or the presence of meltwater deposits, but it does document the presence of unconsolidated sediments near the seabed (Gohl, 2010).

Based on observations of present ice flow and models of shear stress, Joughin et al. (2009) concluded that the main trunk of the modern Pine Island Glacier is resting on a mixed bed of soft sedimentary strata alternating with a hard substrate, possibly consisting of crystalline bedrock. This conclusion was recently supported by analyses of bed roughness under Pine Island Glacier and its tributaries (Rippin et al., 2011). The presence of crystalline bedrock and sedimentary beds in inner Pine Island Bay...
demonstrates that such a mixed bed also existed there. The sedimentary substrate possibly enabled or facilitated fast ice flow (e.g. Studinger et al., 2001). This finding implies that bed conditions and resulting flow of the paleo Pine Island Ice Stream varied much more spatially than previously thought.

The general scarcity of sediment cover in areas 1 and 2 also suggests rapid post-LGM grounding-line retreat from the middle to inner shelf, assuming that subglacial sediment transport rates were as high as envisaged (e.g. Alley et al., 1989; Nygård et al., 2007). Although several morphological shoals cross these areas, there is no geomorphological indication of a prolonged still stand of the grounding line, which would have resulted in the formation of a sedimentary ridge or grounding zone wedge as observed farther north in the Pine Island Trough (e.g. Lowe and Anderson, 2002; Graham et al. 2010; Jenkins et al., 2010; Jakobsson et al., 2011, 2012). Rapid deglaciation is also consistent with the radiocarbon chronology of sediment cores that indicates fast grounding-line retreat from a grounding zone wedge north of the study area at ~12 cal kyr (Kirshner et al., 2012) and from inner Pine Island Bay before ~10.3–11.7 cal kyr (Kirshner et al., 2012; Hillenbrand et al., 2012).

While there is evidence that grounded ice retreated rapidly from the mid-shelf to the inner shelf, retreat might have been slower across area 3, allowing sediments to be deposited in inner Pine Island Bay. This could have been a result of ice-shelf buttressing caused by local pinning of grounded ice on islands and peninsulas surrounding inner Pine Island Bay. Modern observations indicate that the grounding line of Pine Island Glacier has retreated by ~30 km up-stream since 1973 (Jenkins et al., 2010), with ~20 km of this retreat occurring rapidly from 1992 to 2007 (Jenkins et al., 2010; Shepherd et al., 2001; Rignot, 1998) and probably retreated within 93 km of its current position as early as ~11 kyr (Hillenbrand et al., 2012). A grounding line deep within inner Pine Island Bay for much of the Holocene could have provided a source for the observed sediments in this area. In addition, some of the sediments in the 300 m thick sequence could have been deposited during previous glaciations and escaped excavation during
the last glacial period. Determining the origin and age of these sediments will require longer sediment cores than can be achieved with standard gravity and piston cores.

### 4.2 Meltwater flow

Previous studies that first reported some of the seafloor basins and channels in Pine Island Bay, interpreted them as meltwater features (Lowe and Anderson, 2002, 2003). The detailed mapping of these basins and channels allows reconstruction of the associated flow network (Fig. 7). The undulating character of many channels and their deep incision into bedrock strongly suggests a subglacial formation of these features. The extensive network of channels and basins indicates the former presence of abundant subglacial meltwater. In general, low basal shear stress over sedimentary substrate facilitates fast ice flow, whereas high basal shear stress over hard, rugged bedrock results in slow flow (Bell et al., 1998; Wellner et al., 2001). However, abundant subglacial meltwater in innermost Pine Island Bay could have lubricated the paleo-ice stream bed and allowed fast ice flow across stretches of rugged bedrock topography (cf. Kamb et al., 2001; Bell, 2008).

Basins and channels are most abundant in areas 1 and 2. However, in area 3 and north of area 1 no large channels are present, although there are a few smaller ones (Fig. 3e). Subglacial meltwater channels with dimensions greater than the spatial resolution of multibeam swath bathymetry systems have been observed in sedimentary substrate underlying modern Antarctic ice streams (e.g. King et al., 2004). Large channels have also been reported near the mouth of the Belgica Trough (Noormets et al., 2009) and on the mid-shelf in the Ross Sea (Wellner et al., 2006), but overall there is a lack of such features in sediments covering the mid and outer shelf sections of all known Antarctic paleo-ice stream troughs (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005). One mechanisms to explain their absence is that water could be transported through the sediment, i.e. by Darcian flow within the subglacial till, which has a higher permeability than the acoustic basement (Kamb, 2001). Although low till permeability might not be sufficient to transport large amounts of water quickly, microscopic studies on tills from Antarctic paleo-ice
stream troughs have revealed ductile deformation structures in soft till overlying dewatered stiff till (Reinardy et al., 2011). This observation suggests significant basal meltwater transfer within the subglacial sediment bed. Alternatively, the water is distributed and transported in a broad, thin layer at the ice-sediment interface (Walder and Fowler, 1994), which is apparently occurring at the bed of some modern ice streams (e.g. Peters et al., 2007). In these cases, constant reworking of sediment could prevent the establishment of a permanent channel system. Since overriding grounded ice probably overprinted previously formed sedimentary features on the Antarctic continental shelf throughout the last glacial period, the subglacial sedimentary bedforms observed today in soft substrate areas are likely to be only the last snapshot of basal conditions just before the grounded ice retreated (e.g. Smith et al., 2007; King et al., 2009). For smaller amounts of meltwater, transport in the sediment layer is the most likely scenario, while we would expect larger, episodic floods to create meltwater channels in sediments underneath grounded ice. If such floods happened earlier during the last glacial period or during previous glaciations their deposits could have been reworked by grounded ice before ice stream retreat.

4.3 Potential sources of meltwater

Although we can measure the size of the basins and channels, it is unclear when, to what extent, and how long they were filled with meltwater. It is unlikely that all large basins were completely filled with meltwater. Instead the basins were probably partially filled with meltwater at the bottom and with ice above, and different basins might have contained meltwater at different times. However, this would still require a significant amount of sub-glacial meltwater to form such an extensive channel network.

The most common source of subglacial water is melting at the bottom of the ice sheet due to a combination of ice thickness and geothermal heat flux at the bed (Llubes et al., 2006; Joughin et al., 2004). This could be enhanced by a higher geothermal gradient underneath parts of the WAIS (Shapiro and Ritzwoller, 2004). Joughin et al. (2009) modeled a basal melt rate of $1.7 \text{ km}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for the present day Pine Island Glacier.
system, which is significantly higher than previous, conservative estimates of 0.24–0.5 km$^3$ yr$^{-1}$ by Lowe and Anderson (2003). If the water flowed at a continuous rate through the 0.49 km$^2$ cross-section of one of the major channels (Fig. 3f), it would trickle through at 0.1 mm s$^{-1}$, and remain on the order of a few mm s$^{-1}$, if the channel was mostly filled by ice. Although sufficient to transport the meltwater generated by pressure melting, it seems unlikely that such low flow rates could erode the observed channel network.

Joughin et al. (2009) calculated a higher melt rate of 3.5 km$^3$ yr$^{-1}$ for the current Thwaites Glacier. If the potential meltwater production of the Thwaites Glacier was also larger than that of Pine Island Glacier in the past, we would expect a major contribution from the Thwaites system to the meltwater network in Pine Island Bay. Indeed, the new bathymetry data show several large channels originating from the grounding line of Thwaites Glacier, indicating significant meltwater contributions from this system. In addition to subglacial meltwater supply from the Thwaites system, the channel network indicates that meltwater also entered the paleo-ice stream trough from the Velasco Glacier and Northern Hudson Mountains northeast of the main trough (Figs. 2, 7), where no large ice stream is currently located. There, thicker ice and a modified ice flow pattern during periods of maximum glaciation could have created basal conditions that also favored subglacial melting.

An alternative or additional source of meltwater could be related to subglacial volcanic activity. The hinterland of the Amundsen Sea contains numerous volcanoes including the Hudson Mountains just east of Pine Island Bay (LeMasurier, 1990). Studies have shown that volcanoes, in the Marie Byrd Land volcanic province were active during the Pleistocene when this area was covered with an ice sheet (Blankenship et al., 1993; Wilch et al., 1999). An unusual reflector in radar data suggests that a volcanic eruption in the Hudson Mountains occurred as recently as 2200 yr ago (Corr and Vaughan, 2008). A volcanic eruption has the potential to produce large amounts of meltwater and thus could trigger a large flood event (Bennett et al., 2009; Roberts, 2005). It also may cover a larger area with volcanic ashes that reduced albedo and
increased surface melting with the possibility for water penetrating through the glacier to its bed and forming highly erosive moulins like in Greenland today (Sundal et al., 2011; Eyles, 2006).

Overall, it seems more likely that the observed large basins and channels were formed by episodic flow events rather than continuous flow and over many glacial cycles. But the question remains as to when these basins and channels formed and when they were last subject to subglacial water storage and drainage.

### 4.4 Timing of flow events

The deep (> 300 m) incision of the large channels and the formation of the deep bedrock basins suggest that these features formed as a result of many flow events over the period of many glacial cycles, possibly since the Mid-Miocene (Lowe and Anderson, 2003). Although we do not know the precise nature of the bedrock, the lack of visible reflections in available seismic data indicates suggests that these are not sedimentary but rather igneous or metamorphic rocks, which are less erodible and were reported from several outcrops in the Pine Island Bay region (e.g. Sheen et al., 2012; Debese et al., 2012).

The development of similar features over many glacial cycles has also been suggested for the neighboring Getz and Dotson areas (Smith et al., 2009b; Graham et al., 2009) and Marguerite Bay (Anderson and Fretwell, 2008). A larger number of smaller channels may have been active in early glaciations, but over time some channels and basins captured more of the flow and, as a result, have become larger, capturing more and more of the subglacial meltwater flow.

So far no dates have been reported that would directly allow the identification and timing of different flow events. Based on thick coarse-grained layers found in a sediment core from a smaller channel (PC46; Fig. 2) Lowe and Anderson (2003) suggested that a major outburst flood occurred at the end of the last glaciation since these units are not overlain by post LGM sediments. However, Smith et al. (2009b) concluded that similar layers recovered from subglacial meltwater channels in front of the Dotson-Getz
ice shelves might have been re-deposited from the steep sides of the channels by gravitational down-slope processes. One of their studied cores recovered LGM till, but no sediments of possibly fluvial origin, giving evidence for channel formation before the last grounded ice advance.

As sediment core PC46 was taken from a smaller side channel (Fig. 2), it is not clear how representative that core is for the entire flow network. No sediment cores have been recovered from the main channels despite several attempts, but numerous cores successfully targeted the basin infills of area 2. Some of these basins contain several 10s of meters of sediment and the up to 10 m-long cores recovered unconsolidated fine-grained glacimarine sediments of probable Holocene age (Ehrmann et al., 2011), but no thick units of coarse-grained fluvial sediments similar to those in core PC46, which can be expected to have been deposited by meltwater flows at the LGM or during the last deglaciation. We cannot rule out the possibility that any LGM meltwater flow deposits are present within the basins at larger subbottom depth, i.e. beyond the reach of standard gravity and piston coring. However, the recovery of a basal diamicton possibly representing a subglacial till at site PS69/295-1 (Ehrmann et al., 2011), combined with the lack of a sediment indicating recently active channel-basin networks (Figs. 4, 5), suggest that such flows were mainly active during pre-LGM times and that grounded ice-sheet advance during more recent glacial periods eroded the coarse material and transported it offshore.

Kirshner et al. (2012) argued that a widespread draping silt unit within Pine Island Bay (Unit 1) may have been sourced by plumes of sediment-laden water flowing from beneath the Pine Island ice stream based on its unique character (well sorted silt with virtually no IRD or microfossils) and the rapid and dramatic change from more proximal glacimarine sedimentation to this style of sedimentation at ∼ 7000 cal yr BP.

4.5 Comparison with other meltwater networks from the Antarctic shelf

The subglacial meltwater features in Pine Island Bay are not unique. Similar features have been observed in other parts of the inner Antarctic continental shelf (Wellner
et al., 2006) including Marguerite Bay (Anderson and Fretwell, 2008; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002), the Dotson-Getz Trough (Larter et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009b), and the Western Antarctic Peninsula (Domack et al., 2006; Evans et al., 2004).

There is also abundant terrestrial evidence that subglacial meltwater is an important factor in ice dynamics (e.g. Eyles, 2006; Boulton and Hagdorn, 2006). Eyles (2006) reported that the 500 m – wide and 70 m – deep Ouimet Canyon, near Thunder Bay, Ontario, was cut by subglacial meltwater into the surrounding bedrock. While smaller than the major channels in Pine Island Bay (e.g. Fig. 3f), its geometry makes it a good analogue. In Antarctica, similar terrestrial meltwater features discovered in the Transantarctic Mountains have been interpreted to have formed between 12.2 and 14.4 Myr ago (Lewis et al., 2006; Sugden and Denton, 2004).

There is increasing evidence for active subglacial lakes below the Antarctic ice sheets (Bell et al., 2007; Fricker et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2009a). Satellite altimetry data show changes in surface elevations that indicate draining of some lakes and refilling of others (Wingham et al., 2006; Fricker et al., 2007). Fricker and Scambos (2009) identified a series of 20–30 km – wide subglacial lakes close to the grounding line of Mercer and Whillans ice streams (Siple Coast, West Antarctica). These dimensions are of the same order as the large basins described in this paper, although the bedrock geology might be different. Analogue to the mechanisms described by Fricker and Scambos (2009), subglacial meltwater draining into inner Pine Island Bay could have collected in one basin until a water pressure threshold was reached. Then the water pressure lifted the ice barrier in the connecting channels and the water drained into the next basin downstream. More generally, the observed bedrock structures in Pine Island Bay seem likely to channelize meltwater flow between basins at the expense of sheet flow at the ice-bed interface.

Details on scales of a few 100 m and less are usually not resolved in radar data. Therefore, high-resolution swath bathymetry data that are capable of resolving such features on the continental shelves of formerly glaciated margins can provide valuable
information about subglacial meltwater flow and help to understand drainage processes at the base of contemporary ice sheets.

5 Conclusions

This compilation of old and new swath bathymetry data from Pine Island Bay provides a coherent and detailed image of a formerly glaciated inner continental shelf allowing more complete mapping and analysis of bedforms than previously available from discrete swath tracks. The comprehensive map reveals details that are critical for the understanding of past ice flow behavior, subglacial processes and their spatial variability.

Our compilation confirms the general zonation of erosional subglacial bedforms in crystalline bedrock on the inner shelf and subglacial depositional features on sedimentary substrate on the mid-shelf as previously identified by Lowe and Anderson (2002). However, we also identified a zone directly near the Pine Island Ice Shelf front characterized by a smooth topography and consisting of up to 300 m thick sedimentary substrate. This finding documents that sedimentary substrate on the inner shelf of Pine Island Bay is more widespread than previously thought. The complex pattern of rugged crystalline basement alternating with smooth sedimentary substrate in inner Pine Island Bay is consistent with observations under the modern Pine Island Glacier. The seafloor topography and sediment presence of inner Pine Island Bay indicate that post-LGM floating and partially grounded ice may have persisted in the area directly in front of the modern ice front for a longer time than in other parts of the Pine Island Trough system.

The orientation and location of the complex subglacial meltwater network of channels suggest significant amounts of meltwater not only from Pine Island Glacier, but also from the Thwaites Glacier and from the Hudson Mountains. The amount of meltwater currently generated underneath the Pine Island and Thwaites Glaciers would probably not be sufficient to generate the observed channel-basin network if discharged
continuously. More likely this network was generated over several glacial cycles by episodic flow events caused by storage and release of meltwater through subglacial lakes, with possible additional contributions from subglacial volcanic eruptions.

Comparison of the basin dimensions with those of modern subglacial lakes suggests that the active systems might be connected by channel networks resembling those in Pine Island Bay. The increasing number of paleo-meltwater features discovered by high-resolution swath bathymetry on different parts of the Antarctic continental margin provides a detailed, comprehensive view of subglacial flow systems that should allow further consideration of their hydrodynamic processes and related ice dynamics.

A better understanding of the timing and nature of subglacial meltwater flow in Pine Island Bay requires more targeted sediment sampling from the large basins and channels (even beyond the range of standard piston coring) and improved subbottom or high-resolution seismic coverage of these features.
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Table 1. Expeditions with multibeam data used for this compilation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>Ship</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NBP9902a</td>
<td>NB Palmer</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>SeaBeam 2112</td>
<td>J. Anderson (Rice U.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBP0001a</td>
<td>NB Palmer</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>SeaBeam 2112</td>
<td>S. Jacobs (LDEO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT-XXIII/4</td>
<td>Polarstern</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>HydroSweep DS2</td>
<td>K. Gohl (AWI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBP0702a</td>
<td>NB Palmer</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>EM 120</td>
<td>S. Jacobs (LDEO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR179</td>
<td>James C. Ross</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>EM 120</td>
<td>R. Larter (BAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSO0708b</td>
<td>Oden</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>EM 120</td>
<td>M. Jakobsson (U. Stockholm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBP0901a</td>
<td>NB Palmer</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>EM 120</td>
<td>S. Jacobs (LDEO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT-XXVI/3</td>
<td>Polarstern</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>HS DS2</td>
<td>K. Gohl (AWI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a From Antarctic and Southern Ocean Data Portal (http://www.marine-geo.org/).
b From Oden Mapping Data Repository (http://oden.geo.su.se/).
Fig. 1. Bathymetry map of the Amundsen Sea shelf with the red rectangle marking the location of the Pine Island Bay study area in Figs. 2 and 4 (based on Nitsche et al., 2007). PIT marks the Pine Island Trough system and GT the Dotson-Getz Trough System.
Fig. 2. High-resolution bathymetry map of Pine Island Bay with artificial sun-illumination from the NE. Many of the larger gaps in the data set are due to icebergs at the time of data collection. Dashed red lines mark boundaries between morphological distinct areas 1 to 3. Red boxes mark detailed views shown in Fig. 3. Red dots mark sediment core locations. The inset map shows lithology of sediment core PS75/159. Background image is from the Landsat Image Mosaic of Antarctica (LIMA).
Fig. 3. (a–e) detailed examples of morphological features including glacial lineations (GL), basins, channels, drumlins, and grooves. (f) Anastomosing along profile and (g) cross-section of large channel marked in (d).
Fig. 4. (a) Sediment thickness derived from PARASOUND data, modified from Rackebrandt (2006) in color. Black line indicates R/V Polarstern ANT-XXIII/4 ship track with areas, where no significant sediment thickness was identified. Multibeam bathymetry data with artificial sun-illumination are shown as background. Details in insets (b), (c), and (d) show that thicker sediment deposits occur mainly in channels, but not in every channel. Location of Fig. 5 is marked by red line.
Fig. 5. PARASOUND example showing ~20 m of sediment fill in the channels and no sediments on slopes and ridges. See Fig. 4 for location.
Fig. 6. Seismic profile recording a sedimentary basin of at least 300 m thickness off the ice shelf edge of Pine Island Glacier. Blue lines mark a set of horizons within the sedimentary strata. See Fig. 2 for location.
Fig. 7. Digitized lineations indicating ice flow (black), minor (blue) and major meltwater channels (red with arrows). The extent of swath bathymetry data is shown as gray shaded area. Light and dark gray shaded areas represent ice shelves and land, respectively, from the Antarctic Digital Database v6 (http://www.add.scar.org/).