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This manuscript is dealing with an important topic of research, especially because it has been recently confirmed that the Patagonian Icefields are among the worldwide glacier areas with higher contribution to sea level rise due to increasingly negative mass balances. In spite of the above and understanding that this manuscript is not aiming to provide a comprehensive literature review, the paper lack of critical references. Also there are explaining factors not properly considered. In general I think this manuscript needs a lot of work due to major reviews.

In my point of view, the paper has the following problems:

1.- The poor reference list considering previous research. Most of the work presented in this manuscript has been done by other authors at regional or local levels (Davies and Glasser, 2012; Aniya and Naruse 2012; Rivera et al, 2012a; Raymond et al, 2005 among many others).

2.- The connection with climate is weak and it is not considering several recent papers describing this issue; like regional trends, the use of NCAR reanalysis data, automatic weather stations, radiosonde data or satellite images (Carrasco et al, 2002 and 2008; Bown and Rivera 2007; Rasmussen et al 2007; Falvey and Garreaud 2009, Garreaud et al, 2013; Aravena and Luckman, 2009; Monahan and Ramage, 2010; De Angelis et al, 2007) among many others. For example regarding precipitation changes, there is a clear contradiction between this manuscript and the recent one by Garreaud et al, 2013.

3.- The weak explanation and consideration of calving as a driving factor. For example, the Tidewater calving cycle described for some of the SPI glaciers is not even considered, as well as other hydrological and bathymetric factors (Rivera et al, 2012 a; 2012b; Sugiyami et al, 2011).

4.- The volumetric changes are said to be connected to ice thickness. This is true, but these data are very poorly available in Patagonia, therefore most of the volumetric changes are measured using ice elevation changes or gravity changes. This topic is poorly included in this manuscript. Please have a look to Chen et al, 2007, Jacob et al, 2012; Dietrich et al, 2010. Regarding ice thickness, there are few data as I said, but some papers are available (Zamora et al, 2009; Rivera and Casassa, 2002).

5.- The used glacier names are not all of them widely accepted, and many are just known by mountaineers. This is explained by the use of touristic sources for naming glaciers. I think it is better to keep the names available in the recent bibliography or databases.
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