

1 Post-LIA glacier changes along a latitudinal transect in the 2 Central Italian Alps

3
4 **R. Scotti¹, F. Brardinoni¹, G. B. Crosta¹**

5 [1]{Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della
6 Scienza 4, 20126 Milano, Italy}

7 Correspondence to: F. Brardinoni (Francesco.brardinoni@unimib.it)

8 9 **Abstract**

10 The variability of glacier response to atmospheric temperature rise in different topo-climatic
11 settings is still matter of debate. To address this question in the Central Italian Alps we compile a
12 post-LIA (Little Ice Age) multitemporal glacier inventory (1860-1954-1990-2003-2007) along a
13 latitudinal transect that originates north of the continental divide in the Livigno mountains, and
14 extends south through the Disgrazia and Orobie ranges, encompassing continental-to-maritime
15 climatic settings. In these sub-regions we examine area change of 111 glaciers. Overall, total
16 glacierized area has declined from 34.1 to 10.1 km², with a substantial increase in the number of
17 small glaciers due to fragmentation. Average annual decrease (AAD) in glacier area has risen of
18 about an order of magnitude from 1860-1990 (Livigno: 0.45; Orobie: 0.42; and Disgrazia: 0.39 % a⁻¹)
19 to 1990-2007 (Livigno: 3.08; Orobie: 2.44; and Disgrazia: 2.27 % a⁻¹). This ranking changes
20 when considering glaciers < 0.5 km² only (i.e., we remove the confounding caused by large glaciers
21 in Disgrazia), so that post-1990 AAD follows the latitudinal gradient and Orobie glaciers stand out
22 (Livigno: 4.07; Disgrazia: 3.57; and Orobie: 2.47 % a⁻¹). More recent (2007-2013) field-based mass
23 balances in three selected small glaciers confirm post-1990 trends showing consistent highest retreat
24 in continental Livigno and minimal area loss in maritime Orobie, with Disgrazia displaying a
25 transitional behaviour. We argue that the recent resilience of glaciers in Orobie is a consequence of
26 their decoupling from synoptic atmospheric temperature trends. A decoupling that arises from the
27 combination of local topographic configuration (i.e., deep, north-facing cirques) and high winter
28 precipitation, which ensures high snow-avalanche supply, as well as high summer shading and
29 sheltering. Our hypothesis is further supported by the lack of correlations between glacier change
30 and glacier attributes in Orobie, as well by the higher variability in ELA₀ positioning, post-LIA
31 glacier change, and inter-annual mass balances, as we move southward along the transect.

1

2 **1 Introduction**

3 Mountain glaciers are prominent players in the hydrologic and geomorphic functioning of
4 glacierized drainage basins. They are effective agents of landscape evolution (Montgomery, 2002;
5 Brardinoni and Hassan, 2006) and modulate present hydrologic, sedimentary, and geochemical
6 fluxes along the receiving fluvial systems. In consideration of the current generalized conditions of
7 atmospheric temperature rise, despite the relatively small contribution of most of mid-latitude
8 mountain glaciers to sea-level change (e.g., Zemp, 2006; Radić and Hock, 2011), a quantitative
9 appraisal of their retreat and an improved understanding of the spatial variability in relation to
10 different climatic settings hold critical implications for: (i) water supply to hydropower plants (e.g.,
11 Barnett et al., 2005; Schaeffli et al., 2007; Huss, 2011), and to agricultural and civil compartments
12 (e.g., Braun et al. 2000; Piao et al., 2010; Huss, 2011; Hagg et al., 2013); (ii) mountain tourism
13 (e.g., Scott et al., 2007; Beniston, 2012); and (iii) the assessment of relevant natural hazards (e.g.,
14 Huggel et al., 2004; Frey et al., 2010).

15 Composite glacier sensitivity to recent and ongoing climate changes has been reported through
16 models based on empirical glacier mass balances from selected case studies (Oerlemans and
17 Fortuin, 1992). Accordingly, low-elevation glaciers under maritime conditions, with high
18 accumulation and mass turnover, would display higher sensitivity to climate fluctuations compared
19 to their counterparts located in drier, continental settings. Similar findings have been reported by
20 Hoelzle et al. (2003), who reconstructed the mass balance of more than fifty glaciers around the
21 world on the basis of front retreat information during the entire 20th century. More recently, results
22 from remotely-sensed multitemporal (2 to 5 decades) glacier inventories conducted across
23 maritime-to-continental climatic transects have proved this question to be still open. For example,
24 while Pan et al. (2012), when comparing six mountain systems in China, ranging from monsoonal-
25 temperate to extreme-continental climatic conditions, could not draw a conclusive picture on glacier
26 response in relation to climate properties, other authors in the Canadian Cordillera have even shown
27 that maritime glaciers in the Coast Mountains retreat less than continental counterparts in the
28 Rockies (De Beer and Sharp, 2007; Bolch et al., 2010a).

29 Within a given climatic setting, glacier dynamics are typically size dependent, with large glaciers
30 retreating, on average, at slower pace than smaller ones (e.g., Paul et al., 2004; Bolch et al., 2010a;
31 Diolaiuti et al., 2012a; Tennant et al., 2012; Scotti, 2013; Carturan et al., 2013b). The latter, in turn,
32 display high variability of area change, a variability that has been related to the local topographic

1 heterogeneity of the hosting landscape (e.g., Kuhn, 1995; Paul et al., 2004; Abermann et al., 2009;
2 DeBeer and Sharp, 2009; Hagg et al., 2012; Tennant et al., 2012; Carturan et al., 2013b). In fact,
3 region-wide inventories have been customarily conducted from Landsat imagery (30-m grid ~ 0.001
4 km²) with automated procedures of detection, which, if on one side allow a rapid cover of entire
5 mountain ranges, cannot capture the area variation of very small glaciers (e.g., < 0.01 km²: Paul et
6 al., 2004, 2011; Carturan et al., 2013b; and < 0.05 km²: Bolch et al., 2010a; Tennant et al., 2012),
7 and most likely are less accurate than high-resolution aerial photographs (e.g., 0.5-m grid). This is a
8 critical shortcoming since small glaciers (e.g., < 0.5 km²) in the European Alps represent more than
9 80 % in number and 15 % in area of the whole glacier population (Paul et al., 2011), with much
10 higher percentages in most sub-regions located south of the continental divide (e.g., Scotti, 2013
11 and this study).

12 In this physiographic context, there is a general lack of systematic studies tracking the area change
13 of medium-to-small sized mountain glaciers from the Little Ice Age (LIA) to the beginning of the
14 21st century, a minimal temporal scale for constraining relevant interactions (coupling vs
15 decoupling) between climate and glacier fluctuations (Zemp et al., 2011). In fact, most of the
16 relevant literature on the Italian Alps is of extremely difficult access (i.e., published in Italian, e.g.,
17 Caccianiga et al., 1994; Pelfini et al., 2002; Bonardi et al., 2012; Curtaz et al., 2013; Lucchesi et al.,
18 2013), has examined post-LIA area change for single glaciers (Carturan et al., 2013a, 2013c), or for
19 a limited number of case studies (e.g., seven (Federici and Pappalardo, 2010)), or has considered
20 much shorter time intervals (e.g., Maragno et al., 2009; Diolaiuti et al., 2011, 2012a, 2012b;
21 Carturan et al., 2013b).

22 In order to fill this research gap and improve our understanding of alpine glacier response to
23 climatic forcing in relation to climate spatial heterogeneity, we conduct post-LIA multitemporal,
24 high-resolution, glacier inventories in three sub-regions of the Central Italian Alps. These are home
25 to medium-to-small glaciers, located along an idealized latitudinal transect that encompasses
26 maritime, transitional, and continental glaciers, ranging in size from 0.002 to 2.3 km². Along this
27 transect, we aim to: (i) characterize glacier properties; (ii) calculate changes in glacierized area and
28 evaluate acceleration/deceleration trends; (iii) elucidate correlations between area changes and
29 environmental properties including glacier and terrain topographic attributes, and precipitation; and
30 (iv) evaluate the spatial variability of glacier response to climatic forcing.

31

1 **2 Study area**

2 We focus on the glaciers of the Livigno, Disgrazia and Orobie sub-regions, located along a north-
3 to-south transect within the Central Italian Alps (Fig. 1). The Livigno sub-region sits in the northern
4 side of the Alpine continental divide (Inn-Danube River basin) and reaches 3303 m a.s.l. at Piz
5 Paradisin. The area is dominated by a SW-NE trending valley that is chiefly underlain by ortogneiss
6 and paragneiss of the Austroalpine basement. The Disgrazia sub-region is placed south of the
7 Alpine continental divide and feeds the Masino and Mallero River valleys (Adda-Po River basin).
8 The largest glaciers flow down radially from the higher peak of Monte Disgrazia massif (3678 m
9 a.s.l.) that is built by Malenco Metaophiolites (mainly serpentinites). The Orobie are an E-W
10 trending mountain range representing the southernmost glacierized area within Lombardy. It is
11 located in the Southalpine tectonic domain that consists of metamorphic lithologies (paragneiss,
12 phyllites and micaschists) covered by thick sedimentary deposits (conglomerates, marls and
13 limestones). The highest peak is Pizzo di Coca (3052 m a.s.l.) and only two other summits exceed
14 3000 m a.s.l.

15 The climate of the Central Italian Alps above 2000 m a.s.l. is classified as Tundra Climate (ET)
16 according to the Köppen-Geiger scheme (e.g., Peel et al., 2007). In the three selected sub-regions
17 precipitation (rainfall and snowfall) exhibits high spatial variability in terms of total annual values
18 (Fig. 1b) and seasonal distribution (Ceriani and Carelli, 2000). In the northernmost mountain range
19 (Livigno) annual precipitation ranges locally between 790 and 1200 mm with a winter minimum in
20 February and a single summer maximum in August (e.g., Cancano weather station, 1950 m a.s.l.)
21 (Fig. 2a). The opposite extreme can be observed in the southernmost mountain range (Orobie)
22 where two precipitation peaks in June and October (Scais WS, 1500 m a.s.l.) contribute to annual
23 precipitation values ranging between 1620 and 1770 mm (Figs. 1b and 2c). The Disgrazia region is
24 located at an intermediate latitude, exhibits a transitional behavior in terms of total annual values (range
25 1210-1370 mm), and mimics the Orobie seasonal distribution (Alpe Gera WS, 2125 m a.s.l.) (Figs. 1b
26 and 2b). The foregoing high spatial variability in total annual precipitation is confirmed and
27 enhanced by field data of glacier winter mass balances (Bonardi et al., 2014). Specifically, the Lupo
28 glacier (Orobie) despite its 500-m lower elevation, shows more than three times (2.9 m w.eq.) the
29 accumulation observed at the Campo Nord glacier (0.9 m w.eq.) (Livigno).

30 Mean Annual Air Temperature (MAAT) is 1.7 °C at Cancano (Livigno), 1.3 °C at Alpe Gera
31 (Disgrazia) and 6.3 °C at Scais (Orobie). December and August are respectively the coldest and hottest
32 months at Cancano and Scais while at Alpe Gera the monthly extremes happen in January and July.

1 The progressive climatic shift from oceanic (Orobie) to continental (Disgrazia and Livigno) was
2 detected as the main cause of the lower treeline elevation observed in the Orobie range (2260 m a.s.l. for
3 trees \geq 3m) compared to the Disgrazia (2420 m) and Livigno (2480 m) areas (Lucini, 2000; Caccianiga
4 et al., 2008).

5

6 **3 Data collection and methods**

7 In order to constrain the recent trend of glacier retreat, we reconstructed the extent of glacier,
8 glacierets and perennial snow fields (here all termed "glaciers") starting from the last maximum
9 advance associated with the Little Ice Age (LIA) and proceeding with those from 1954, 1990, 2003
10 and 2007 (Fig. 3). The detection of the LIA maximum was conducted by integrating: (i) field
11 mapping of moraines and trim-lines; (ii) remotely-based interpretation of aerial photographs and
12 DSM (digital surface models) shaded-relief rasters; and (iii) historical information including maps,
13 paintings, photographs, reports and scientific literature. The LIA moraine ridges in the region are
14 usually very well preserved but in some glaciers the interpretation is more challenging, therefore in
15 order to quantify the planimetric accuracy of the mapping we assumed a conservative buffer of \pm 10
16 m around the digitized glacier boundaries.

17 The shape and position of LIA moraines in the study areas and surrounding regions resembles that
18 of other regions in the Alps where examples of LIA glacier reconstructions exist (e.g., 1987;
19 Maisch, 1992; Maisch et al., 2000). Moraine ages have been determined by means of
20 dendrochronology (e.g., Pelfini, 1999), geopedology (e.g., Caccianiga et al., 1994; Trobio glacier in
21 the Orobie), as well as lichenometry (e.g., Orombelli, 1987; Ventina glacier in the Disgrazia) and
22 combination of these methods (e.g., Pelfini et al., 2002; Disgrazia/Sissone glaciers). These studies
23 significantly improved the confidence of our reconstruction and helped setting the generic date of
24 the last LIA maximum glacial advance in the Disgrazia, Livigno, and Orobie sub-regions to 1860
25 A.D. (Pelfini and Smiraglia, 1992). This constitutes our benchmark against which we have
26 computed historical area fluctuations.

27 The glaciers' limits in 1954 have been stereographically interpreted on paper copies of black and
28 white aerial photographs (nominal scale 1:45,000) then manually drawn on digital orthophotos. In
29 this context, a careful visual inspection of available terrestrial oblique pictures was carried out in
30 order to improve mapping consistency and accuracy that was assessed to be \pm 5 m (e.g. Diolaiuti et
31 al., 2011).

1 The glacial extent of the third time step (1990) relies on the Lombardy glaciers inventory (Galluccio
2 and Catasta, 1992), a data set based on detailed field surveys conducted between 1988 and 1991.
3 Since most fieldwork was conducted in 1990 we have decided to set this year as reference. To
4 maximize consistency with the original data, the glacier limits, formerly on paper, have been
5 digitized in GIS environment and slightly revised on the basis of terrestrial and aerial oblique
6 photos. The planimetric uncertainty of this inventory (± 2 m) is due to the reading error of the map
7 used by the authors (scale 1:10,000) (Citterio et al., 2007; Diolaiuti et al., 2011, 2012a).

8 The most recent inventories of glacial extent have been reconstructed from 2003, 2007 and 2012
9 digital orthophotos. Despite the existence of a similar 2003 regional inventory (i.e., Diolaiuti et al.,
10 2012a), in order to minimize the degree of subjectivity due to multiple interpreters, we decided to
11 map independently all glaciers on 2003 orthophoto mosaic (0.5-m grid). This mosaic is
12 characterized by minimal snow cover over the glaciers and surrounding areas due to the extremely
13 high temperatures recorded throughout that summer (i.e., García-Herrera et al., 2010). The 2007
14 inventory was compiled via manual delineation of glacier limits on a high-resolution (0.5-m pixel)
15 orthophoto mosaic and a 2-m gridded Digital Surface Model (DSM, 2007). Thanks to the dry and
16 hot accumulation season, snow cover is very limited in the 2007 images too (Scotti et al., 2013).
17 Such conditions improved substantially our ability to identify glacier limits and constituted a hard
18 stress test for the survival of glacierets and perennial snow fields previously detected during field
19 surveys. Manual delineation of glacier limits on summer 2012 orthophotos (0.5-m pixel) was
20 limited to three sample glaciers (Campo Nord (Livigno), Vazzeda (Disgrazia) and Lupo (Orobie))
21 (Fig. 1b).

22 Despite the excellent quality of the orthophoto mosaics, in order to minimize problems related to
23 the delimitation of debris-covered glaciers, we conducted complementary GPS field surveys on
24 three sample glaciers that provided critical ground control for data extracted from remotely-based
25 inspection. We consider the planimetric uncertainty of the digitized 2003 and 2007 glacier limits
26 equal to ± 1 m, that is the uncertainty associated with the orthophoto mosaic as specified by the
27 manufacturer (e.g., Diolaiuti et al., 2012a).

28 The uncertainty associated with glacier area was evaluated for each glacier by setting a buffer of +/-
29 10m (LIA), +/- 5m (1954), +/- 2m (1990) and +/- 1m (2003, 2007 and 2012) on the digitized glacier
30 limits. Subsequently, to evaluate the uncertainty of estimated glacier change we used the root of the
31 squared sum of buffer areas along the study time series (e.g., Xu et al., 2013; Tennant and
32 Menounos, 2013).

1 In order to improve our understanding on the factors controlling the site specific variability of
2 glacier retreat we have collected a number of environmental attributes for the 2007 dataset. These
3 include glacier primary classification, contribution of snow avalanching to accumulation, surface
4 area (A), maximum elevation (E_{\max}), terminus elevation (E_{\min}), glacier relative relief (ΔE),
5 balanced-budget Equilibrium Line Altitude (ELA_0), elevation of the ridgecrest upslope of the
6 glacier (E_{ri}), mean slope gradient (S), main aspect (MA), summer clear-sky radiation (CSR) and
7 annual precipitation on the glacier (MAP) (Fig. 1b and Table 1).

8 The glacier primary classification and the definition of the avalanche contribution to glacier
9 accumulation are crucial to characterize the glacier types of the three study areas. The former
10 follows the Illustrated GLIMS Glacier Classification Manual (Rau et al., 2005); the latter, which we
11 define as Avalanche Area Accumulation Basin Ratio (ABR), is the ratio between the area occupied
12 by avalanche accumulation at the end of an average snowfall accumulation season and the area of
13 the accumulation basin (above the ELA_0). This classification scheme, which is based on decadal
14 field observations, consists of three classes: low ($ABR \leq 0.33$), moderate ($> 0.33 ABR \leq 0.66$) and
15 high ($ABR > 0.66$). The main topographic attributes (i.e., E_{\max} , E_{\min} , ELA_0 , E_{ri} and S) have been
16 extracted from the 2-m gridded DSM using zonal statistics in ArcGIS v.9.3 (Paul et al., 2009). The
17 terminus (E_{\min}) and the maximum glacier elevation (E_{\max}) are effective tools to define the lower and
18 upper limit of the glacial domain and their fluctuations are usually related with surface and volume
19 changes. The analysis of the elevation fluctuations was applied on a fixed sample of glaciers present
20 in all the inventories. This approach minimize the errors caused by the increase (or decrease) in
21 number of glaciers due to fragmentation (or extinction). The use of the entire dataset of each
22 inventory would have resulted in under or overestimation of the E_{\min} and E_{\max} change. The
23 maximum difference we have found comparing the two approaches is 45 % (e.g., underestimation
24 of the E_{\max} drop of Livigno glaciers from the LIA to 2007). The glacier relative relief (ΔE) is the
25 arithmetical difference between E_{\max} and E_{\min} and depends on glacier length and slope gradient (S).

26 The Balanced-Budget Equilibrium Line Altitude (ELA_0) (Meier and Post, 1962; Cogley et al.,
27 2011) is a widely used parameter in glacier and paleoclimatic reconstructions (e.g., Miller et al.,
28 1975; Benn and Lehmkuhl, 2000) and it is usually defined with the Balance-Budget Accumulation
29 Area Ratio (AAR_0) method (Meier and Post, 1962; Gross et al., 1978). While the high variability of
30 worldwide measured AAR_0 (from 0.22 to 0.72) in mass balance data warns about a straight forward
31 use of this parameter (WGMS, 2005; Zemp et al., 2007), we delineate ELA_0 (also termed local-
32 topography $_{lt}ELA_0$) as the median surface elevation of the glacier (i.e., considering a 0.50 AAR_0

1 (e.g., Hughes, 2009; Bolch et al., 2010b; Hughes, 2010; Carturan et al., 2013; Igneczi and Nagy,
2 2013)). This value appears to be particularly well suited for small glaciers (e.g., Braithwaite and
3 Raper, 2007, 2009; Kern and Laszlo, 2010) like the ones we are studying. Indeed, low glacier
4 relative relief (ΔE) that is typically associated with small glacier size, imparts very little change to
5 our ELA_0 values when using $AAR_0 = 0.5$, as opposed to 0.67 (originally proposed by Gross et al.
6 (1978)), hence providing a reasonable justification for assuming $E_{\text{median}} = ELA_0$. Since a number of
7 seminal paleoclimatic and landscape evolution studies have adopted an AAR_0 equal to 0.67 (e.g.,
8 Maisch et al., 2000; Kerschner et al., 2000; Bavec et al., 2004; Zemp et al., 2007 and Kerschner
9 and Ivy-Ochs., 2008), for completeness, we provide ELA_0 based on AAR_0 0.67 in the
10 supplementary material. This topography-based parameter, differs from the regional-climatic ELA
11 (i.e., ${}_{rc}ELA_0$), which relies on synoptic climatic data and on mass balances of a limited number of
12 selected glaciers (e.g., 14 glaciers for the European Alps, and only two belonging to the Italian
13 portion (Zemp et al., 2007)). The elevation of the ridgecrest upslope of the glacier (E_{ri}) is computed
14 as the median elevation of the 10 m-wide buffer drawn along the ridgecrest feeding the glacier
15 accumulation basin. The elevation difference between the E_{ri} and the ELA_0 is considered to be
16 correlated to both the degree of avalanching contribution to the glacier's mass balance and the
17 shading effect of the rock walls upslope of the glacier. The main aspect of the glacier, divided in 8
18 classes, was manually defined along the direction of the main flow axis, or for snow fields, the
19 general aspect of the mountain slope. The summer clear sky global radiation (June to September)
20 was calculated with ArcGIS Spatial Analyst (Dubayah and Rich, 1995) using a 20m resampled
21 version of the DSM. This parameter is directly affected by glacier aspect slope and by the shading
22 proprieties of the rock walls surrounding the glacier. Mean annual precipitation for each glacier is
23 derived from a 250-m gridded precipitation map (Fig. 1b) and represents a proxy snow
24 accumulation on the glacier.

25

26 **4 Results**

27 **4.1 Glacier proprieties**

28 In the presentation of the results we provide an overview of the glacier proprieties, as inventoried in
29 2007. We proceed from the northernmost Livigno sub-region, home to 16 glaciers (total glacier area
30 = $1.1 \text{ km}^2 \pm 0.02$), continue with the Disgrazia sub-region that hosts 37 glaciers ($7.3 \text{ km}^2 \pm 0.09$),
31 and conclude with the Orobie sub-region in which we identify 44 glaciers ($1.8 \text{ km}^2 \pm 0.05$). Along

1 this transect, we observe a remarkable increase in mean annual precipitation (MAP) as we move
2 from the interior ranges (Livigno; 790-1200 mm) towards the outer ranges (Orobie; 1620-1770 mm)
3 (Fig. 4). Concurrently, median ELA_0 (Fig. 4) and clear-sky radiation mirror the spatial variability of
4 local relief in that they slightly increase from the interior, plateau-like topography of Livigno (2833
5 m a.s.l.; 176 W m^{-2}), to the Disgrazia Massif (2890 m a.s.l.; 210 W m^{-2}), and drop abruptly in the
6 Orobie Range (2517 m a.s.l.; 145 W m^{-2}). The altitudinal distribution of ELA_0 displays an increase
7 in within-regional scatter with increasing MAP (i.e., moving from Livigno down south; Fig. 5a).
8 This variability is imparted by the combination of two spatial patterns in which ELA_0 rises
9 progressively: (i) from north- to south-facing glaciers, within the same mountain range (i.e.,
10 Disgrazia in Fig. 5b)); and (ii) for a given aspect category (e.g., N and NW in Fig 5b) moving from
11 the peripheral Orobie range inland to the Livigno mountains.

12 In the Livigno mountains, glacierets and cirque glaciers are dominant typologies, and face mainly
13 northwest to northeast (Figs. 5b and Table 2). Despite the presence of relatively high peaks across
14 the entire sub-region, glaciers today survive almost only in the southernmost portion of the range
15 (with one exception), where incidentally MAP is higher. Glacier size ranges between 0.003 and
16 0.37 km^2 (Val Nera Ovest glacier). Propensity to avalanche snow/ice supply (ABR) is high (11
17 cases) to moderate (4 cases), while slope (S) ranges between 19.6° and 33.0° (median 29.2°).

18 In the Disgrazia sub-region, besides the abundance of permanent snowfields, glacier types comprise
19 in decreasing order of frequency: cirque, niche, and simple/compound basin valley glaciers (Table
20 2). Glaciers face preferentially northwest and southeast, but thanks to the radial structure of the
21 massif, all aspects are well represented (Fig. 5b). Compared to the other study sub-regions, ice
22 masses are evenly distributed across the N-S transect, they are relatively larger, and range from
23 0.002 to the 2.31 km^2 (Disgrazia glacier). ABR is high, moderate, and low for respectively 24, 10,
24 and 3 glaciers. Median slope is comparatively lower (27.1°), and we observe the largest slope
25 variability ($18.1 - 45.0^\circ$).

26 Glaciers in the Orobie are located exclusively within north-to-northwest facing cirques. They are
27 clustered around a narrow latitudinal range, along the main ridge of the sub-region (Fig. 4), and are
28 particularly small in size, ranging between 0.002 and 0.22 km^2 (Lupo glacier) (Fig. 5b). The
29 peculiar morphometric setting made of high and steep rock walls, located immediately upslope of
30 each glacier, is confirmed by the high elevation difference (233 m) recorded between ELA_0 and
31 mean ridgecrest elevation (E_{ri}). Accordingly, all of Orobie glaciers exhibit a high ABR potential of

1 avalanche snow supply. Slope range is similar to that observed in Disgrazia (18.8 - 42.2°), while
2 median slope (29.1°) is higher and resembles that of Livigno.

3 **4.2 Area changes**

4 Since the LIA all of the 111 glaciers of the study sub-regions have gone extinct (14) or have
5 experienced a strong net areal reduction (97) for a combined area loss of 24 km² (Fig. 6a-c). At the
6 acme of LIA advance, the 15 glaciers of the Livigno cluster used to cover an area of 5.4 km² (Fig.
7 6a and Table 3). By 1954 a total of 21 glaciers (i.e., 3 of the initial 15 had fragmented into smaller
8 ones) occupy 2.5 km² (52.6 ± 14.6 %) for an average annual decrease (AAD) of about 0.031 ±
9 0.006 km² a⁻¹ (Table 3). In the same period, the 27 LIA glaciers of the Disgrazia Mountains
10 increased to 36 (Fig. 6b), but with an overall area loss of 43.6 ± 6.4 % and an AAD of about 0.102
11 ± 0.015 km² a⁻¹ (Table 3). Finally, in the Orobie sub-region by 1954 we record a 52.6 ± 14.6 % of
12 LIA surface reduction, which corresponds to an AAD of about 0.038 ± 0.010 km² a⁻¹ (Table 3).

13 The 1990 inventory depicts a much slower rate of areal contraction with values small enough to fall
14 within the envelope of uncertainty (Fig. 7). The glacierized area in the Livigno Mountains records
15 the stronger relative contraction (i.e., 9.5 ± 8.3 %) equal to 0.23 km² (AAD = 0.007 ± 0.006 km² a⁻¹)
16 (Table 3). Glaciers in the Disgrazia lost 3.5 ± 5.1 %, which corresponds to a net loss of 0.43 km²
17 (AAD = 0.012 ± 0.017 km² a⁻¹) (Table 3). Similarly, in the Orobie we observe a 3.5 ± 10.4 %
18 decrease, corresponding to a net loss of 0.11 km² (AAD = 0.003 ± 0.009 km² a⁻¹).

19 In the 1990-2003 period, glaciers exhibit consistent fast retreat throughout the three study areas
20 (Fig. 7). In increasing order, Disgrazia witnesses a decrease of 3.5 km² that corresponds to a 29.5 ±
21 2.0 % reduction (AAD = 0.271 ± 0.018 km² a⁻¹); Orobie exhibit a 1.2 km² decrease, which amounts
22 to a 35.0 ± 4.2 % contraction (AAD = 0.083 ± 0.010 km² a⁻¹); and Livigno glaciers lost 1 km², equal
23 to a 42.7 ± 3.3 % loss of the 1990 glacierized area (AAD = 0.075 ± 0.006 km² a⁻¹) (Table 3). During
24 the 2003-2007 interval we observe for the first time that glacier area loss increases northward, with
25 Livigno displaying highest area loss (16.9 ± 2.5 %) (AAD = 0.063 ± 0.009 km² a⁻¹), followed by
26 Disgrazia (12.8 ± 1.6 %) (AAD = 0.309 ± 0.037 km² a⁻¹), and Orobie (10 ± 3.6 %) (AAD = 0.057 ±
27 0.020 km² a⁻¹) (Table 3). Overall, considering the entire study period (1860-2007), glaciers of the
28 Livigno sub-region display the largest retreat recorded amongst the three study areas, losing a total
29 of 4.4 ± 0.5 km² (80.1 ± 9.8 % of the initial 1860 extension). Glaciers in the Disgrazia cluster lost a
30 total of 14.6 ± 1.3 km², (66.5 ± 5.9 %) and in the Orobie range they lost 4.9 ± 0.9 km² (73.2 ± 13.8
31 %).

1 Examination of AAD across size classes shows that relative change rate in glacier area in the 1860-
2 1954 period has been fairly low (0.46 % a⁻¹ in Disgrazia, 0.56 % a⁻¹ in Orobie and 0.57 % a⁻¹ in
3 Livigno) and complementary among small- and large-size classes (Table 4). Subsequently (1954-
4 1990), the <0.1 km² class displays the lowest reduction (Livigno: 0.02; Disgrazia: 0.16 % a⁻¹), and
5 in the Orobie even a modest increase (-0.09 % a⁻¹). In Disgrazia and Livigno the largest retreat rates
6 are observed in the intermediate classes (0.5-to-1 km² and 0.1-to-0.5 km² respectively), whereas
7 larger glaciers exhibit a slight area increase (Disgrazia: - 0.22 % a⁻¹ for the 2-to-5 km²; Livigno: -
8 0.04 % a⁻¹ for the 0.5-to-1 km²) (Table 4).

9 The strong glacier shrinkage recorded in the two more recent periods (1990-2003 and 2003-2007)
10 has affected especially small glaciers (i.e., <0.1 km² and 0.1-to-0.5 km²) and we observe
11 progressively slower retreat rates within the larger size classes (Table 4).

12 **4.3 Elevation changes**

13 The area changes detailed above correspond to changes in glacier ice elevation, both in terms of
14 E_{\min} and E_{\max} . The median E_{\min} of the 111 glaciers detected at the LIA maximum lies at 2480 m a.s.l.
15 and rises progressively throughout the 20th century to a maximum of 2628 m in 2007, which
16 translates to an average annual gain of 1.0 m a⁻¹. In the same period, median E_{\max} drops from 2893
17 to 2810 m a.s.l. (- 0.6 m a⁻¹). Data stratification into sub-regional domains reveals a considerable
18 spatial variability in E_{\min} and E_{\max} fluctuations. Both glacier attributes in the Livigno cluster are
19 characterized by a markedly lower variability compared to the Orobie and Disgrazia (Fig. 8). The
20 1860-2007 overall rise in E_{\min} is lowest in Livigno (0.7 m a⁻¹), intermediate in Orobie (1.0 m a⁻¹),
21 and highest (1.9 m a⁻¹) in the Disgrazia sub-region, where we note a sharp increase between 1860
22 and 1954 (Fig. 8). Conversely, Disgrazia exhibits the lowest drop in E_{\max} (- 0.6 m a⁻¹), followed by
23 Livigno (- 0.7 m a⁻¹), and Orobie (- 1.1 m a⁻¹), with the last characterized by two large drops in
24 1860-1954 and 1990-2003 (cf. median lines in Fig. 8).

25 Simultaneous analysis of elevation (E_{\min} and E_{\max}) and area changes through time is instructive in
26 that it allows inferring qualitatively characteristic trends of volumetric glacier shrinkage (Fig. 9).
27 Up until 1990 we observe a general decline in average annual decrease and a general convergence
28 of the E_{\min} and E_{\max} trend lines in Livigno and Orobie clusters, while in the Disgrazia both E_{\min} and
29 E_{\max} rise slightly (Fig. 9). This latter trend suggests that, on average, glacier ice lost at the terminus
30 was nearly completely replaced (i.e., at least in terms of area) by the increase in elevation of the
31 accumulation basin (Fig. 9b). From 1990 we start observing a progressive divergence of the E_{\min}

1 and E_{\max} trend lines (Fig. 9), an indication of net, generalized, glacier volume loss. While such trend
2 continues to the end of the study period in Livigno and Disgrazia, in the Orobie we observe an
3 opposite trend between 2003 and 2007, with E_{\min} and E_{\max} overlapping around a null elevation
4 change rate (Fig. 9c). This stability in elevation range, in conjunction with a minor decrease in
5 surface area, suggests volumetric shrinkage mainly caused by a reduction in glacier width.

6 **4.4 Area change with glacier attributes**

7 Analysis of changes in glacier area within the same sub-region allows to detect, and possibly rank,
8 the main environmental attributes driving glacier retreat. To this purpose, we analyze the mutual
9 correlations among the "1860-2007 area change" in relation to glacier size (GS), main aspect (MA),
10 mean slope gradient (S), minimum elevation (E_{\min}), maximum elevation (E_{\max}), glacier relative
11 relief (ΔE), mean annual precipitation (MAP), ridgecrest elevation (E_{ri}), and clear-sky radiation
12 (CSR) (Tables S3-S5).

13 Relative area change (AC %) in Livigno exhibits strong direct correlation with E_{ri} ($r = 0.77$), E_{\max} (r
14 $= 0.72$) and ΔE ($r = 0.65$), and moderate correlation with E_{\min} (inverse, $r = -0.46$), former glacier
15 size (GS, $r = 0.43$), and clear-sky radiation (CSR, $r = 0.43$) (Table S3). These correlations with
16 relative area change weaken progressively moving south to Disgrazia (i.e., E_{ri} ($r = 0.35$), E_{\max} ($r =$
17 0.45), ΔE ($r = 0.47$), and glacier size (GS, $r = 0.42$)) (Table S4), and virtually disappear in the
18 Orobie (i.e., E_{ri} ($r = -0.03$); E_{\max} ($r = -0.20$); ΔE ($r = 0.20$); and E_{\min} ($r = -0.40$)) (Table S5).

19 Despite the moderate glacier size-retreat correlations previously identified in the Livigno and
20 Disgrazia sub-regions, representing relative area changes as a function of former glacier size does
21 not aid constraining an empirical envelope of variability (Fig. 10).

22 In order to gain further insights on the elevation-retreat correlations identified above, we have
23 represented relative area change as a function of E_{ri} (Fig. 11). We hypothesize this variable to be a
24 useful proxy of the local climatic conditions (e.g., snowfall available for subsequent avalanche
25 inputs, shading effect and wind shielding) that characterize a glacier's source basin. Although we
26 reckon that E_{ri} is tightly related to other glacier elevation attributes i.e., E_{\max} and ΔE (Tables S3-S5),
27 unlike these, E_{ri} does not change with time, and as such would constitute a more reliable reference
28 across changing climate conditions. In addition, E_{ri} is a more statistically sound attribute, as it is not
29 based on a single datum of elevation (i.e., E_{\min} and E_{\max}).

30 The representation presented in Figure 11 shows that E_{ri} declines progressively along our north-to-
31 south transect. In the Livigno and Disgrazia sub-regions relative area change (AAD) varies

1 inversely with E_{ri} , and this relation is well-constrained for AAD up to 80%. Beyond this threshold
2 the degree of scatter increases. Stratification of glaciers according to south- and north-facing
3 categories allows constraining two distinct retreat-elevation envelopes, with the former glaciers
4 plotting about 300 m higher. Finally, in the Orobic mountains we see that the wide range of retreat
5 rates is completely unrelated to E_{ri} (Fig. 11c) and glacier size (Fig. 6c), suggesting that different
6 mechanisms must control contemporary glacier dynamics in this physiographic setting.

8 **5 Discussion**

9 **5.1 Equilibrium line altitude**

10 The equilibrium line of a glacier is a climate-dependent attribute that, when estimated at the
11 regional scale using climatic data and a limited set of glacier mass balances ($_{rc}ELA_0$; e.g., Ohmura
12 et al., 1992, Zemp et al., 2007), can mask the intrinsic spatial heterogeneity modulated by glacier
13 aspect and other local topographic variables (Dahl and Nesje, 1992). Such topographic effects can
14 be evaluated by comparing the local topography ELA_0 ($_{lt}ELA_0$) (i.e., the ELA_0 considered in this
15 study) with the regional climatic one ($_{rc}ELA_0$) (Dahl and Nesje, 1992; Lie et al., 2003; Zemp et al.,
16 2007). In this respect, the distributed $_{rc}ELA_0$ map of the Central European Alps presented by Anders
17 et al. (2010) (i.e., based on equations by Ohmura et al. (1992) and Zemp et al. (2007)) reports
18 values that are about 40, 130, and 380 m higher than the actual topography-based analogues for the
19 Disgrazia, Livigno, and Orobic respectively, suggesting that local topography, on average, has a
20 different weight in each sub-region.

21 Since the $_{rc}ELA_0$ approach typically tends to respectively underestimate and overestimate southerly
22 and northerly aspects (Zemp et al., 2007), the relatively small "climate-topography" mismatch in the
23 Disgrazia cluster should not surprise, given that in this area glaciers are distributed on all aspect
24 categories (Fig. 5b) and so aspect effects tend to cancel out. Following this logic, from a synoptic
25 climatic standpoint Orobic glaciers should not exist, as the $_{rc}ELA_0$ in this sub-region (~2900 m
26 a.s.l.) plots some 180 m above the median ridgecrest, hence confirming the characteristic topo-
27 climatic adjustment of these glaciers (on average). In this context, the comparison between Orobic
28 and Livigno (both characterized by dominantly north-facing glaciers) is instructive, as it removes
29 any potential confounding associated with slope aspect. In the Orobic, we observe a four-fold
30 increase in ELA_0 variability (> 800m) compared to Livigno (~300m) (Fig. 5b), a variability that
31 reinforces prior hints (section 4.4) on the potential decoupling between Orobic glaciers and synoptic

1 climatic conditions, and that we interpret as the effect of local morphometric properties of the
2 hosting cirques and niches. At these locations, peculiar conditions of snow avalanching, shading
3 and wind accumulation would be able to sustain glaciers but not significant ice flow, as this latter
4 would imply the existence of larger glaciers, characterized by higher glacier relative reliefs (ΔE).

5 **5.2 Area change of small glaciers**

6 Considering the characteristic limited size of our study glaciers, the high sensitivity of mid-to-small
7 glaciers (even though associated with high scatter) to climate change (i.e., Haeberli and Beniston,
8 1998; Paul et al., 2004; Jiskoot and Mueller, 2012; Tennant et al., 2012), and the relatively low
9 elevation of the study terrain (Fig. 4), it is not surprising that, at first glance, post-LIA Annual
10 Average Decrease (AAD) in Livigno (0.55 \% a^{-1}), Disgrazia (0.45 \% a^{-1}), and Orobie (0.50 \% a^{-1})
11 plot well above the estimated average of 0.33 \% a^{-1} for the European Alps (1850-2000, Zemp et al.,
12 2008). However, since this regional estimate relies chiefly on satellite imagery, it is likely to carry
13 high uncertainties on the area change of small glaciers, and therefore a direct comparison with our
14 sub-regional glacier inventories seems inappropriate. Comparisons with other sub-regions within
15 the Alps characterized by larger glacier and higher mountains, and where inventories of comparable
16 temporal and spatial resolution are available, highlight lower retreat rates in: (i) Les Ecrins (AAD =
17 0.38 \% a^{-1} ; MAP $\sim 1200\text{-}1400 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$), the French side of the Mont Blanc (AAD = 0.15 \% a^{-1} ; MAP
18 $\sim 1400\text{-}2000 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$), and the Vanoise (AAD = 0.39 \% a^{-1} ; MAP $\sim 900\text{-}1400 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$) (1820/50-
19 2006/09, Gardent and Deline, 2013); (ii) Val d'Aosta (0.39 \% a^{-1} ; MAP $\sim 800\text{-}2000 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$)
20 (1820/50-2005, Curtaz et al., 2012), and (iii) the Swiss Alps (AAD = 0.26 \% a^{-1} ; MAP $\sim 600\text{-}2600$
21 mm a^{-1}) (1850-2000, Zemp et al., 2008). Elsewhere, post-LIA retreat rates are higher (0.78 \% a^{-1}) in
22 the Spanish Pyrenees (MAP $\sim 1600\text{-}2000 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$) (1894-2001, Gonzales Trueba et al., 2008), about
23 the same (0.50 \% a^{-1}) in the Canadian Rocky Mountains (MAP $\sim 730\text{-}1970 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$) (1919-2006,
24 Tennant et al., 2012), and substantially lower (0.13 \% a^{-1}) in the Jotunheimen (Southern Norway,
25 MAP $\sim 1300\text{-}1650 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$) (1750-2003, Baumann et al., 2009).

26 In order to remove the possible confounding exerted by glacier size and conduct a more appropriate
27 evaluation of glacier area change at the local (i.e., three sub-regions comparison) and regional (e.g.,
28 against the alpine average) scales, we now consider the two smaller glacier size classes only i.e.,
29 <0.1 and $0.1\text{-}0.5 \text{ km}^2$) (DeBeer and Sharp, 2007). This adjustment yields a 1860-2007 AAD that
30 decreases progressively moving southward, from Livigno (0.62 \% a^{-1}) to Disgrazia (0.58 \% a^{-1}) to
31 Orobie (0.48 \% a^{-1}). These retreat rates are similar to: (i) data by Lucchesi et al. (2013), who report

1 an average AAD (1860-2006) of 0.50 \% a^{-1} for the Western Italian Alps, starting from LIA glaciers
2 of 0.5 km^2 (average size), a value similar to the combined average size of our study glaciers (i.e.,
3 0.4 km^2); and (ii) the estimated average of the European Alps (1850-2000, 0.51 \% a^{-1}) for the same
4 size class (Zemp et al., 2008). It is worth highlighting that this latter figure would have risen
5 significantly if post-2000 data were to be added, given that the 2001-2007 period was characterized
6 by intense glacier retreat (WGMS, 2009).

7 **5.3 Glacier retreat and temporal variability**

8 The availability in this study of 4 different periods (1860-1954-1990-2003-2007) in 3 sub-regions
9 allows us to detect the temporal and spatial variability of glacier change. Glaciers in the study area
10 underwent a low relative area decrease in the 1860-1954 period, remained almost stable up until
11 1990, and then started retreating at progressively faster rates in the 1990-2003 and 2003-2007
12 intervals (Figure 7), with greater retreat acceleration of the very small glaciers ($\leq 0.1 \text{ km}^2$). In this
13 temporal context, the Orobic sub-region represents the exception, in that the retreat rate across
14 1990-2003 and 2003-2007 stays constant with, in the latter period, an AAD value for glaciers ≤ 0.1
15 km^2 that is much lower than in Livigno and Disgrazia sub-regions (Table 4). The gradual increase
16 with time of the spread of the relative change in glacier area (Fig. 7b) is a warning that these results
17 need to be used with caution since the study intervals differ significantly in length. In particular,
18 potential decadal fluctuations in glacier area within the 1860-1954 and 1954-1990 periods would
19 have gone undetected (i.e., the re-advance phase of alpine glaciers in the 1970s and 1980s (Patzelt,
20 1985; Hoelzle et al., 2003; Citterio et al., 2007)).

21 In order to partly solve this issue and conduct a more sound comparison of our results with other
22 inventories, we consider the AAD values associated with the 1860-1990 and 1990-2007 periods.
23 One of the most striking results is the significant increase in AAD that one observes after 1990. In
24 particular, post-1990 AAD in Livigno, Disgrazia and Orobic is respectively 4.07, 3.57 and 2.47 %
25 a^{-1} , equal to 7.2, 6.6, and 6.1 times the pre-1990 rate. These values are gradually decreasing along
26 our latitudinal transect, indicating that glaciers in the most continental sub-region (Livigno) not only
27 depict a higher total post-LIA retreat, but also that such retreat has been much faster in recent years
28 compared to more maritime environments (i.e., Orobic mountains). Similar rates (i.e., 7.1) have
29 been reported only in the Spanish Pyrenees between 1894-1991 and 1991-2001 (Gonzales Trueba et
30 al., 2008), whereas in many other alpine regions the acceleration is still detectable but less intense

1 (i.e., 2.2 times in France between LIA and the 70's to 2006-09 (Gardent and Deline, 2013), and 2.9
2 times in Swiss Alps between LIA and 1973 to 1999 (Paul et al., 2004)).

3 The previously disclosed differences in glacier retreat pattern along our latitudinal transect are even
4 more apparent when increasing the temporal resolution to an inter-annual basis. To this end, we
5 present unpublished data from multiple GPS field surveys and glaciological mass balance
6 campaigns (2007-2013) on three sample glaciers: Campo Nord (GS = 0.30 km²; Livigno), Vazzeda
7 (GS = 0.23 km²; Disgrazia), and Lupo (GS = 0.22 km²; Orobie) glaciers (Table 5 and Figs. 1b and
8 3). Mass balances are combined with glacier limits updated to summer 2012 (Table 5 and Figs. 1b,
9 3, 12 and 13). In particular, the relevant winter and summer point mass balances, measured
10 averaging the data of two ablation stakes across the ELA₀ (Figs. 3, 12 and 13), even though referred
11 to three glaciers only, are useful to infer the mechanisms responsible for the differences in glacier
12 retreat observed along our transect (Table 4 and Figure 7). Since 2007, Campo Nord glacier depicts
13 an uninterrupted series of negative net balances for a total loss of 12.9 m w.eq and an area loss of
14 0.02 km². Lower mass losses are recorded at Vazzeda and Lupo glaciers (6.3 and 5.6 m w.eq), with
15 the former losing 0.03 km² and the latter showing no significant changes in glacier area (Figs. 12
16 and 13). Despite the small latitudinal difference from Campo Nord to Lupo glacier (about 40 km),
17 the mass balance turnover increases dramatically along the transect. At Lupo, years with high
18 winter accumulation are able to compensate for more consistent rates summer ablation
19 throughout the 2007-2013 period. This trend suggests a higher sensitivity of Orobie glaciers to
20 winter precipitation, as 2009, 2010, and 2011 were characterized by both above-average winter
21 precipitation and summer temperatures, which resulted in negative mass balances across most of the
22 European Alps (WGMS, 2011, 2013).

23 **5.4 Small, avalanche-dominated glaciers**

24 The tendency of small avalanche-dominated glaciers to be poorly coupled to synoptic temperature
25 changes has been reported in different studies. Kuhn (1995) discusses a conceptual model to explain
26 the mass balance of "very small" glaciers (i.e., glacier area < 10 ha, or 0.1 km²), suggesting that
27 snow drifted by wind and accumulated by avalanching activity would be crucial to sustain glaciers
28 below the r_c ELA₀. Furthermore, he suggests that glaciers in small cirques are partly de-coupled
29 from precipitation as in winters with heavy snow falls once the cirque is completely filled with
30 snow, this surplus would be conveyed below the glacier terminus via avalanching and thus lost to
31 accumulation. More recently, DeBeer and Sharp (2009) have shown that a sample of very small

1 glaciers ($<0.4 \text{ km}^2$) in the Monashee Mountains (British Columbia) displayed no observable change
2 in area during the 1951-2004 period, while the neighboring larger glaciers suffered a generalized
3 retreat. Accordingly, these small glaciers after an initial post-LIA retreat are now placed in locations
4 that would favor their preservation (i.e., in sheltered sites surrounded by high and steep rock walls).
5 The authors suggest that the enhanced mass inputs at these particular sites can compensate for the
6 decline in winter precipitation observed in the region.

7 Dahl and Nesje (1992), while reconstructing the paleo-ELA of a small glacier in western Norway,
8 attribute the resilience of small avalanche-dominated glaciers to patterns of winter precipitation, as
9 opposed to summer temperature. More recently, Carturan et al. (2013a) provide empirical data
10 supporting this explanation for the Montasio glacier ($GS = 0.07 \text{ km}^2$; $E_{\text{median}} = 1903 \text{ m a.s.l.}$), in the
11 Eastern Italian Alps. Accordingly, during the 2009-2011 period years with heavy winter snow-falls
12 (and related high snow avalanche inputs) would be able to generate a positive mass balance
13 sufficient to compensate one or more subsequent negative years. This interpretation is further
14 supported by the limited post-LIA area loss, which the authors estimate to be about 30%.

15 Even though most of the glaciers in our study sub-regions are small and avalanche fed (Table S 1),
16 only those of the Orobie cluster appear to be poorly coupled to the contemporary synoptic climatic
17 conditions and deviate from the other two (Fig. 7), hence from the average alpine trend (Zemp et
18 al., 2008). In consideration of the progressively lower decoupling inferred moving northward along
19 the study transect, we hypothesize that snow avalanching activity is efficiently increasing glacier
20 accumulation, hence dampening glacier retreat, only where precipitation is relatively high, as in the
21 Orobie case. In other words, we propose that the dynamics of these glaciers are (snow) *supply-*
22 *limited*, rather than limited by summer ablation.

23 Despite the lack of reliable long-term climatic series for each sub-region, the progressive north-to-
24 south decoupling of glacier change with respect to synoptic climatic conditions is supported by the
25 southward increase in variability of ELA_0 (Fig. 5a), post-LIA glacier change (Fig. 7), and inter-
26 annual mass balances of the monitored sample glaciers (Figs. 12 and 13). Further to this, the below
27 alpine average post-LIA retreat (for the same glacier size) and the lack of relations between glacier
28 change and glacier attributes found in the Orobie sub-region (Fig. 11c and Table S 5) are evidences
29 of enhanced glacier-climate decoupling.

30 It should be highlighted, however, that such decoupling exhibits a high degree of variability, as
31 exemplified by post-LIA area losses of the initial Orobie 45 ice bodies: ranging from as little as
32 33% (Aga glacier, comparable to the area shrinkage reported in Montasio), including respectively 6

1 and 12 glaciers that have recorded an area loss lower than 50 and 60 %, and up to 5 cases that have
2 reached extinction (Fig. 11c). It follows that generalizations and extrapolations on small, avalanche-
3 fed glaciers to other regions, based on a single glacier mass balance, should be conducted and
4 evaluated with caution. Further work in the Orobic is presently ongoing to investigate causal
5 linkages between climatic forcing, landscape (i.e., hosting cirques and niches) structure, and glacier
6 dynamics to better constrain the environmental conditions and the feedback mechanisms promoting
7 glacier survival in temperate, maritime, mountain settings.

8

9 **6 Summary and conclusion**

10 With a multitemporal, airphoto-based glacier inventory, combined with inter-annual, field-based
11 mass balances of selected small glaciers we can link glacier and terrain morphometric attributes,
12 climatic characteristics, and glacier response to climatic forcing. In particular, we examine post-LIA
13 glacier area and elevation changes, along a latitudinal transect, and across a 150-year time window.
14 Within a latitudinal distance of less than 60 km we move from small continental-like glaciers
15 surviving between 2800-3200 m a.s.l. with as little precipitation as 790 mm a⁻¹ (Livigno sub-region)
16 to maritime ones located between 2100-2500 m a.s.l. with as much as 1770 mm a⁻¹ (Orobic sub-
17 region). As one moves southward, this physiographic set up corresponds to: (i) a progressive
18 depression of ELA₀ values with a concurrent increase (doubling) of ELA₀ within-subregional
19 variability; and (ii) a weakening and/or disappearance of correlations between basic altitudinal
20 glacier attributes and 1860-2007 glacier area change.

21 We further show that post-1990 glacier area change is about an order of magnitude faster than
22 before, and that this trend accelerates even more in Livigno and Disgrazia between 2003-2007, in
23 line with the European Alps trend. By contrast, Orobic glaciers, which have been retreating
24 comparatively less since 1990, are basically stationary in the post-2003 period. This behaviour is
25 further confirmed and extended through 2013 by an overall (2007-2013) equilibrium mass balance
26 at Lupo glacier (Orobic), as opposed to persistent net deficits observed in Campo Nord (Livigno)
27 and Vazzeda (Disgrazia) glaciers. This equilibrium is achieved thanks to heavy accumulation
28 seasons that, during the seven years of monitoring, have been able to compensate for consistent
29 summer ablation losses and relevant dry winters. Therefore, we argue that the dynamics of Orobic
30 glaciers are currently supply-limited (i.e., their survival depends on the magnitude-frequency of
31 winter accumulations) rather than controlled by ablation. In other words, we hypothesize that the
32 recent resilience of glaciers in Orobic is a consequence of their decoupling from synoptic

1 atmospheric temperature trends (i.e., rise). A decoupling that originates from local topographic
2 conditions (i.e., deep, north-facing cirques), but most importantly from high winter precipitation,
3 which represents the distinctive attribute of the Orobic cluster. This combination of topo-climatic
4 conditions ensures high snow-avalanche supply, as well as high summer shading and sheltering. In
5 this context, we introduce the parameter E_{ri} (i.e., the elevation of the ridgecrest located upslope of a
6 given study glacier), which, when represented as a function of relative glacier area change, proves
7 to be an efficient proxy for discriminating climatically-coupled from decoupled settings.

8 The case of the Orobic, in which for the first time we identify a population of maritime,
9 climatically-decoupled small glaciers (i.e., beyond the documentation of a single glacier behaving
10 as an outlier), is in contrast with empirically-based mass balance models and comparative studies
11 according to which low-elevation glaciers under maritime conditions, with high accumulation and
12 mass turnover, would display higher sensitivity to climate fluctuations compared to their
13 counterparts located in drier, continental settings (e.g., Oerlemans and Fortuin, 1992; Hoelzle et al.,
14 2003, Benn and Evans, 2010). Interestingly, since winter precipitation is expected to rise by 15 to
15 30% in the future decades across the Central European Alps (e.g., CH2011, 2011; Beniston, 2012),
16 Orobic glaciers may continue to find favourable conditions for surviving much longer than
17 previously thought.

18

19 ***Acknowledgements***

20 We are grateful to colleagues and friends of the Servizio Glaciologico Lombardo (SGL), a non-
21 profit organization that has conducted direct monitoring of glaciers within the Lombardy region
22 since 1991. In particular, we would like to thank Andreina Bera, Luca Bonardi, Mario Butti, Davide
23 Colombarolli, Lara La Barbera, Mattia Ortelli, Paolo Rocca, Livio Ruvo, Andrea Toffaletti, Fabio
24 Villa and all the others who have helped through the years with mass balance-related fieldwork.
25 Massimo Ceriani (Regione Lombardia) and SGL warranted access to cartographic information and
26 imagery. Serena Lari kindly helped with GIS data processing. Reconstruction of LIA boundaries
27 benefited from interactions with Giuseppe Orombelli. Insightful and constructive reviews by
28 Philippe Deline and Michael Kuhn improved the original manuscript.

29

30

31

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

References

- Abermann, J., Lambrecht, A., Fischer, A., and Kuhn, M.: Quantifying changes and trends in glacier area and volume in the Austrian Ötztal Alps (1969–1997–2006), *The Cryosphere*, 3, 205–215, doi:10.5194/tc-3-205-2009, 2009.
- Anders, A. M., Mitchell, S. G., and Tomkin, J. H.: Cirques, peaks and precipitation pattern in the Swiss Alps: Connections among climate, glacial erosion and topography, *Geology*, 38, 239–242, doi:10.1130/G30691.1, 2010.
- Barnett, T. P., Adam, J. C., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions, *Nature*, 438/17, 303–309, 2005.
- Baumann, S., Winkler, S., and Andreassen, L. M.: Mapping glaciers in Jotunheimen, South-Norway, during the "Little Ice Age" maximum, *The Cryosphere*, 3, 231–243, doi:10.5194/tc-3-231-2009, 2009.
- Bavec, M., Tulaczyk, S. M., Mahan, S. A., and Stock, G. M.: Late Quaternary glaciation of the Upper Soča River Region (Southern Julian Alps, NW Slovenia). *Sediment. Geol.*, 165, 265–283, 2004.
- Beniston, M.: Impacts of climatic change on water and associated economic activities in the Swiss Alps, *J. Hydrol.*, 412–413: 291–296. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.06.046, 2012.
- Benn, D. I. and Evans, D. J. A.: *Glaciers and Glaciation*, Hodder Education, London, 802 pp., 2010.
- Benn, D. I. and Lehmkuhl, F.: Mass balance and equilibrium-line altitudes of glaciers in high mountain environments, *Quat. Int.*, 65/66, 15–29, 2000.
- Bolch, T., Menounos, B., and Wheate, R.: Landsat-based inventory of glaciers in Western Canada, 1985–2005, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 114, 127–137, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2009.08.015, 2010a.
- Bolch, T., Yao, T., Kang, S., Buchroithner, M. F., Scherer, D., Maussion, F., Huintjes, E., and Schneider, C.: A glacier inventory for the western Nyainqentanglha Range and Nam Co Basin, Tibet, and glacier changes 1976–2009, *The Cryosphere*, 4, 419–433, 2010b.
- Bonardi, L., Rovelli, E., Scotti, R., Toffaletti, A., Urso, M., and Villa, F. (Eds.): *I ghiacciai della Lombardia: evoluzione e attualità*, Servizio Glaciologico Lombardo, HOEPLI, Milano, Italy 2012.

- 1 Bonardi, L., Cola, G., Galluccio, A., La Barbera, L., Pagliardi, P., Scotti, R., and Villa, F.: La
2 risposta dei ghiacciai lombardi ai cambiamenti climatici in atto: analisi del periodo 2007-
3 2011, *Atti dei Convegni Lincei, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei*, 279, 183–192, available
4 at: <http://www.scienzelettere.it/book/48785.html>, 2014.
- 5 Braithwaite, R.J. and Raper, S.C.B.: Glaciological conditions in seven contrasting regions estimated
6 with the degree-day model, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 46, 296–302, 2007.
- 7 Braithwaite, R. J. and Raper, S. C. B.: Estimating equilibrium-line altitude (ELA) from glacier
8 inventory data, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 50, 127–132, 2009.
- 9 Brardinoni, F. and Hassan, M. A.: Glacial erosion, evolution of river long profiles, and the
10 organization of process domains in mountain drainage basins of coastal British Columbia, J.
11 *Geophys. Res.-Earth Surf.*, 111, F01013, doi:10.1029/2005JF000358, 2006.
- 12 Braun, L. N., Weber, M., and Schulz, M.: Consequences of climate change for runoff from Alpine
13 regions. *Ann. Glaciol.*, 31, 19-25, 2000.
- 14 Caccianiga, M., Ravazzi, C., and Zubiani, P.: Storia del Ghiacciaio del Trobio (Alpi Orobie,
15 Bergamo) e colonizzazione della vegetazione nelle aree liberate dopo la Piccola Età
16 Glaciale, *Natura Bresciana*, 29, 65–96, 1994.
- 17 Caccianiga, M., Andreis, C., Armiraglio, S., Leonelli, G., Pelfini, M., and Sala, D.: Climate
18 continentality and treeline species distribution in the Alps, *Plant Biosyst.*, 142, 66–78, doi:
19 10.1080/11263500701872416, 2008.
- 20 Carturan, L., Baldassi, A. B., Bondesan, A., Calligaro, S., Carton, A., Cazorzi, F., Dalla Fontana,
21 G., Francese, R., Guarnieri, A., Milan, N., Moro, D., and Tarolli, P.: Current behaviour and
22 dynamics of the lowermost Italian glacier (Montasio Occidentale, Julian Alps), *Geografiska*
23 *Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography*, 95, 79–96, doi:10.1111/geoa.12002, 2013a.
- 24 Carturan, L., Filippi, R., Seppi, R., Gabrielli, P., Notarnicola, C., Bertoldi, L., Paul, F., Rastner, P.,
25 Cazorzi, F., Dinale, R., and Dalla Fontana, G.: Area and volume loss of the glaciers in the
26 Ortles-Cevedale group (Eastern Italian Alps): controls and imbalance of the remaining
27 glaciers, *The Cryosphere*, 7, 1339-1359, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1339-2013, 2013b.
- 28 Carturan, L., Baroni, C., Becker, M., Bellin, A., Cainelli, O., Carton, A., Casarotto, C., Della
29 Fontana, G., Godio, A., Martinelli, T., Salvatore, M. C., and Seppi, R.: Decay of a long-term

- 1 monitored glacier: Careser Glacier (Ortles-Cevedale, European Alps), *The Cryosphere*, 7,
2 1819-1838, doi:10.5194/tc-7-1819-2013, 2013c.
- 3 Ceriani, M., Carelli, M.: Carta delle precipitazioni medie, minime e massime annue del territorio
4 alpino lombardo (registrate nel periodo 1891 - 1990), Scala 1:250.000, Regione Lombardia,
5 Direzione Generale Territorio ed Urbanistica, U.O. Difesa del Suolo, Struttura Rischi
6 Idrogeologici e Sismici, Milano, 2000.
- 7 CH2011, Swiss Climate Change Scenarios CH2011, C2SM, MeteoSwiss, ETH, NCCR Climate and
8 OcCC, Zurich, Switzerland, 88 pp, 2011.
- 9 Citterio, M., Diolaiuti, G., Smiraglia, C., D'Agata, C., Carnielli, T., Stella, G., and Siletto, G. B.:
10 The fluctuations of Italian glaciers during the last century: a contribution to knowledge
11 about Alpine glacier changes, *Geogr. Ann.*, 89, 164–182, 2007.
- 12 Cogley, J. G., Hock, R., Rasmussen, L. A., Arendt, A. A., Bauder, A., Braithwaite, R. J., Jansson,
13 P., Kaser, G., Möller, M., Nicholson, L., and Zemp, M.: Glossary of Glacier Mass Balance
14 and Related Terms, IHP-VII Technical Documents in Hydrology No. 86, IACS Contribution
15 No. 2, UNESCO-IHP, Paris, 2011.
- 16 Curtaz, M., Motta, E., Théodule, A., and Vagliasindi, M.: I ghiacciai valdostani all'alba del XXI
17 secolo: evoluzione recente e situazione al 2005, *Nimbus*, 69/70, 14–21, available at:
18 <http://www.nimbus.it/nimbus.htm>, (last access: July 2014), 2013.
- 19 Dahl, S. O. and Nesje, A.: Paleoclimatic implications based on equilibrium-line altitude depressions
20 of reconstructed Younger Dryas and Holocene cirque glaciers in inner Nordfjord, western
21 Norway, *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol.*, 94, 87–97, 1992.
- 22 DeBeer, C. M. and Sharp, M. J.: Recent changes in glacier area and volume within the Southern
23 Canadian Cordillera, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 46, 215–221, 2007.
- 24 DeBeer, C. M. and Sharp, M. J.: Topographic influences on recent changes of very small glaciers in
25 the Monashee Mountains, British Columbia, Canada, *J. Glaciol.*, 55, 691–700, 2009.
- 26 Diolaiuti, G. A., Maragno, D., D'Agata, C., Smiraglia, C., and Bocchiola, D.: Glacier retreat and
27 climate change: Documenting the last 50 years of Alpine glacier history from area and
28 geometry changes of Dosedè Piazzì glaciers (Lombardy Alps, Italy), *Progr. Phys. Geogr.*, 35,
29 161–182, 2011.

- 1 Diolaiuti, G. A., Bocchiola, D., D'agata, C., and Smiraglia, C.: Evidence of climate change impact
2 upon glaciers' recession within the Italian alps: the case of Lombardy glaciers, *Theor. Appl.*
3 *Climatol.*, 109, 429–445, 2012a.
- 4 Diolaiuti, G. A., Bocchiola, D., Vagliasindi, M., D'Agata, C., and Smiraglia, C.: The 1975–2005
5 glacier changes in Aosta Valley (Italy) and the relations with climate evolution. *Progr. Phys.*
6 *Geogr.*, 36, 764–785, 2012b.
- 7 Dubayah, R. and Rich, P. M.: Topographic solar radiation models for GIS, *Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Systs.*,
8 9, 405–419, 1995.
- 9 Federici, P. and Pappalardo, M.: Glacier retreat in the Maritime Alps area, *Geogr. Ann.*, 92A, 361-
10 373, doi:10.1111/j.1468-0459.2010.00401.x, 2010.
- 11 Frey, H., Haeberli, W., Linsbauer, A., Huggel, C., and Paul, F.: A multi level strategy for
12 anticipating future glacier lake formation and associated hazard potentials, *Nat. Haz. Earth*
13 *Syst. Sci.*, 10, 339–352, 2010.
- 14 Galluccio, A. and Catasta, G.: Ghiacciai in Lombardia, Servizio Glaciologico Lombardo, Bolis,
15 Bergamo, Italy, 1992.
- 16 García-Herrera, R., Díaz, J., Trigo, R. M., Luterbacher, J., and Fischer, E. M.: A Review of the
17 European Summer Heat Wave of 2003, *Crit. Rev. Env. Sci. Tec.*, 40, 267–306, 2010.
- 18 Gardent, M. and Deline, P.: I ghiacciai delle Alpi francesi: evoluzione dalla Piccola Età Glaciale al
19 2006-2009, *Nimbus*, 69/70, 46–52, available at: <http://www.nimbus.it/nimbus.htm>, 2013.
- 20 González Trueba, J. J., Martín Moreno, R., Martínez de Pisón, E., and Serrano, E.: 'Little Ice Age'
21 glaciation and current glaciers in the Iberian Peninsula, *The Holocene*, 18, 551–568, 2008.
- 22 Gross, G.: Der Flächenverlust der Gletscher in Österreich 1850–1920–1969. *Z. Gletscherkd.*
23 *Glazialgeol.*, 23, 131–141, 1987.
- 24 Gross, G., Kerschner, H., and Patzelt, G.: Methodische Untersuchungen über die Schneegrenze in
25 alpinen Gletschergebieten, *Z. Gletscherkd. Glazialgeol.*, 12, 223–251, 1978.
- 26 Haeberli, W. and Beniston, M.: Climate change and its impacts on glaciers and permafrost in the
27 Alps, *Ambio*, 27, 258–265, 1998.

- 1 Hagg, W., Mayer, C., Mayr, E., and Heilig, A.: Climate and Glacier Fluctuations in the Bavarian
2 Alps in the Past 120 Years, *Erdkunde*, 66, 121–142, doi: 10.3112/erdkunde.2012.02.03,
3 2012.
- 4 Hagg, W., Hoelzle, M., Wagner, S., Mayr, E., and Klose, Z.: Glacier and runoff changes in the
5 Rukhk catchment, upper Amu-Darya basin until 2050, *Global Planet. Change*, 11, 62–73,
6 doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.05.005, 2013
- 7 Hoelzle, M., Haeberli, W., Dischl, M., and Peschke, W.: Secular glacier mass balances derived
8 from cumulative glacier length changes, *Global Planet. Change*, 36, 295–306, 2003.
- 9 Huggel, C., Haeberli, W., Käab, A., Bieri, D., and Richardson, S.: Assessment procedures for
10 glacial hazards in the Swiss Alps, *Can. Geotech. J.*, 41(6), 1068–1083, 2004.
- 11 Hughes, P.D.: Twenty-first Century glaciers in the Prokletije Mountains, Albania, *Arc. Antarct.*
12 *Alp. Res.*, 4, 455–459, 2009.
- 13 Hughes, P.D.: Little Ice Age glaciers in the Balkans: low altitude glaciation enabled by cooler
14 temperatures and local climatic controls, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 35, 229–241, 2010.
- 15 Huss, M.: Present and future contribution of glacier storage change to runoff from macroscale
16 drainage basins in Europe, *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W07511, doi:10.1029/2010WR010299,
17 2011.
- 18 Ignéczi, Á. and Nagy, B.: Determining steady-state accumulation-area ratios of outlet glaciers for
19 application of outlets in climate reconstructions, *Quat. Int.* 293/268–274, 2013.
- 20 Jiskoot, H. and Mueller, M. S.: Glacier fragmentation effects on surface energy balance and runoff:
21 field measurements and distributed modelling, *Hydrol. Process.*, 26, 1862–1876, 2012.
- 22 Kern, Z., László, P.: Size specific steady-state accumulation-area ratio: an improvement for
23 equilibrium-line estimation of small paleoglaciers, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.*, 29/19–20, 2781–
24 2787, 2010.
- 25 Kerschner, H. and Ivy-Ochs, S.: Palaeoclimate from glaciers: examples from the Eastern Alps
26 during the Alpine Lateglacial and early Holocene, *Global Planet. Change*, 60, 58–71.
27 doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.034, 2008.
- 28 Kerschner, H., Kaser, G., and Sailer, R.: Alpine Younger Dryas glaciers as palaeo-precipitation
29 gauges, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 31, 80–84, 2000.

- 1 Kuhn, M.: The mass balance of very small glaciers, *Z. Gletscherkd. Glazialgeol.*, 31, 171–179,
2 1995.
- 3 Kuhn, M., Markl, G., Kaser, G., Nickus, U., Obleitner, F., and Schneider, H.: Fluctuations of
4 climate and mass balance: different responses of two adjacent glaciers, *Z. Gletscherkd.*
5 *Glazialgeol.*, 21, 409–416, 1985.
- 6 Lie, O., Dahl, S.O., and Nesje, A.: A theoretical approach to glacier equilibrium-line altitudes using
7 meteorological data and glacier mass balance records from southern Norway, *The Holocene*,
8 13, 365–372, doi: 10.1191/0959683603hl629rp, 2003.
- 9 Lucchesi, S., Fioraso, G., Bertotto, S., Mortara, G., Nigrelli, G., and Chiarle, M.: I ghiacciai delle
10 Alpi piemontesi centro-meridionali dalla Piccola Età Glaciale al 2006, *Nimbus*, 69/70, 22–
11 30, available at: <http://www.nimbus.it/nimbus.htm>, 2013.
- 12 Lucini, F.: Dinamica del limite superiore del bosco in Valfurva (SO): analisi dei parametri
13 stagionali, analisi dendrocronologia, relazioni con la storia glaciale recente, M.S. thesis,
14 University of Milano, Italy, 2000.
- 15 Maisch, M.: Die Gletscher Graubündens. Rekonstruktion und Auswertung der Gletscher und deren
16 Veränderungen seit dem Hochstand von 1850 im Gebiet der östlichen Schweizer Alpen
17 (Bündnerland und angrenzende Regionen), Teil A: Grundlagen- Analysen-Ergebnisse,
18 *Schriftenreihe Physische Geographie*, 33, Universität Zürich, Zürich, 324 pp., 1992.
- 19 Maisch, M., Wipf, A., Denneler, B., Battaglia, J., and Benz, C.: Die Gletscher der Schweizer Alpen:
20 Gletscherhochstand 1850, Aktuelle Vergletscherung, Gletscherschwund, Szenarien,
21 *Schlussbericht, NFP 31, VdF Hochschulverlag an der ETH, Zurich*, 373 pp., 2000.
- 22 Maragno, D., Diolaiuti, G., D'agata, C., Mihalcea, C., Bocchiola, D., Bianchi Janetti, E., Riccardi,
23 A., and Smiraglia, C.: New evidence from Italy (Adamello Group, Lombardy) for analysing
24 the ongoing decline of Alpine glaciers, *Geogr. Fis. Din. Quat.*, 32, 31–39, 2009.
- 25 Meier, M. F. and Post, A. S.: Recent variations in mass net budgets of glaciers in western North
26 America, *Int. Assoc. Hydrol. Sci. Pub.*, 58, 63–77, 1962.
- 27 Miller, G. H., Bradley, R. S., and Andrews, J. T., The glaciation level and lowest equilibrium line
28 altitude in the high Canadian arctic: maps and climatic interpretation, *Arctic Alpine Res.*, 7,
29 155–168, 1975.

- 1 Montgomery, D. R.: Valley formation by fluvial and glacial erosion, *Geology*, 30, 1047–1050,
2 2002.
- 3 Oerlemans, J. and Fortuin, J. P. F.: Sensitivity of glaciers and small ice caps to greenhouse
4 warming, *Science*, 258, 115–118, 1992.
- 5 Ohmura, A., Kasser, P., and Funk, M.: Climate at the equilibrium line of glaciers, *J. Glaciol.*, 38,
6 397–411, 1992.
- 7 Orombelli, G.: Aspetti morfologici e paleo glaciologici della Valmalenco, *Valmalenco Natura*, 1,
8 199–204, 1987.
- 9 Pan, B. T., Zhang, G. L., Wang, J., Cao, B., Geng, H. P., Wang, J., Zhang, C., and Ji, Y. P.: Glacier
10 changes from 1966–2009 in the Gongga Mountains, on the south-eastern margin of the
11 Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and their climatic forcing, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 1087–1101,
12 doi:10.5194/tc-6-1087-2012, 2012.
- 13 Patzelt, G.: The period of glacier advances in the Alps, 1965 to 1980. *Z. Gletscherkd. Glazialgeol.*,
14 21, 403–407, 1985.
- 15 Paul, F., Kääb, A., Maisch, M., Kellenberger, T., and Haeberli, W.: Rapid disintegration of Alpine
16 glaciers observed with satellite data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L21402,
17 doi:10.1029/2004GL020816, 2004.
- 18 Paul, F., Barry, R., Cogley, J. G., Frey, H., Haeberli, W., Ohmura, A., Ommanney, C. S. L., Raup,
19 B., Rivera, A., and Zemp, M.: Recommendations for the compilation of glacier inventory
20 data from digital sources, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 50, 119–126, 2009.
- 21 Paul, F., Frey, H., and Le Bris, R.: A new glacier inventory for the European Alps from Landsat TM
22 scenes of 2003: Challenges and results, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 52, 144–152, 2011.
- 23 Peel, M. C., Finlayson, B. L., and McMahon, T. A.: Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger
24 climate classification, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 11, 1633–1644, 2007.
- 25 Pelfini, M.: Dendrogeomorphological study of glacier fluctuations in the Italian Alps during the
26 Little Ice Age, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 28, 123–128, 1999.
- 27 Pelfini, M. and Smiraglia, C.: Recent fluctuations of glaciers in Valtellina (Italian Alps) and
28 climatic variations, *J. Glaciol.*, 38, 319–311, 1992.

- 1 Pelfini, M., Smiraglia, C., and Diolaiuti, G.: I Ghiacciai della Val Sissone (Valtellina, Alpi Retiche)
2 e la loro storia olocenica, *Il Quaternario*, 15, 3–9, 2002.
- 3 Piao, S. L., Ciais, P., Huang, Y., Shen, S., Peng, S., Li, J., Zhou, L., Liu, H., Ma, Y., Ding, Y.,
4 Friedlingstein, P., Liu, C., Tan, K., Yu, Y., Zhang, T., and Fang, J.: The impacts of climate
5 change on water resources and agriculture in China. *Nature* 467, 43–51, 2010.
- 6 Radić, V. and Hock, R.: Regionally differentiated contribution of mountain glaciers and ice caps to
7 future sea-level rise, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4, 91–94, doi:10.1038/NGEO1052, 2011.
- 8 Rau, F., Mauz, F., Vogt, S., Singh Khalsa, S. J., and Raup, B.: Illustrated GLIMS Glacier
9 Classification Manual: Glacier Classification Guidance for the GLIMS Inventory, Version 1,
10 GLIMS Regional Center “Antarctic Peninsula”, Institut für Physische Geographie, Albert
11 Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, 36 pp., 2005.
- 12 Schaefli, B., Hingray, B., and Musy, A.: Climate change and hydropower production in the Swiss
13 Alps: quantification of potential impacts and related modelling uncertainties, *Hydrol. Earth
14 Syst. Sci.*, 11, 1191–1205, 2007.
- 15 Scott, D., Jones, B., and Konopec, J.: Implications of climate and environmental change for nature-
16 based tourism in the Canadian Rocky Mountains: A case study of Waterton Lakes National
17 Park, *Tourism Manage.*, 28, 570–579, doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2006.04.020, 2007.
- 18 Scotti, R.: Spatial and temporal variability of glaciers and rock glaciers in the Central Italian Alps
19 (Lombardy region), Ph.D. thesis, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy, 2013.
- 20 Scotti, R., Brardinoni, F., Alberti, S., Frattini, P., and Crosta, G. B.: A regional inventory of rock
21 glaciers and protalus ramparts in the central Italian Alps, *Geomorphology*, 186, 136–149,
22 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.12.028, 2013.
- 23 Tennant, C. and Menounos, B.: Glacier Change of the Columbia Icefields, Canadian Rocky
24 Mountains, 1919–2009, *J. Glaciol.*, 59, 671–686, 2013.
- 25 Tennant, C., Menounos, B., Wheate, R., and Clague, J. J.: Area change of glaciers in the Canadian
26 Rocky Mountains, 1919 to 2006, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 1541–1552, doi:10.5194/tc-6-1541-
27 2012, 2012.
- 28 WGMS (World Glacier Monitoring Service): Glacier Mass Balance Bulletin No. 8 (2002–2003),
29 edited by: Haeberli, W., Noetzli, J., Zemp, Baumann, S., M., Frauenfelder, R., Hoelzle, M.,

1 and ICSU (WDS)/IUGG (IACS)/ UNEP/ UNESCO/ WMO, World Glacier Monitoring
2 Service, Zurich, Switzerland, 100 pp., 2005.

3 WGMS (World Glacier Monitoring Service): Glacier Mass Balance Bulletin No. 10 (2006–2007),
4 edited by: Haeberli, W., Gärtner-Roer, I., Hoelzle, M., Paul, F., Zemp, M., and ICSU
5 (WDS)/IUGG (IACS)/ UNEP/ UNESCO/ WMO, World Glacier Monitoring Service,
6 Zurich, Switzerland, 96 pp., 2009.

7 WGMS (World Glacier Monitoring Service): Glacier Mass Balance Bulletin No. 11 (2008–2009),
8 edited by: Zemp, M., Nussbaumer, S. U., Gärtner-Roer, I., Hoelzle, M., Paul, F. and
9 Haeberli, W., and ICSU (WDS)/ IUGG (IACS)/ UNEP/ UNESCO/ WMO, World Glacier
10 Monitoring Service, Zurich, Switzerland, 102 pp., 2011.

11 WGMS (World Glacier Monitoring Service): Glacier Mass Balance Bulletin No. 12 (2010–2011),
12 edited by: Zemp, M., Nussbaumer, S. U., Naegeli, K., Gärtner-Roer, I., Paul, F., Hoelzle,
13 M., and Haeberli, W., ICSU(WDS)/IUGG(IACS)/UNEP/UNESCO/WMO, World Glacier
14 Monitoring Service, Zurich, Switzerland, 106 pp., 2013.

15 Xu, J., Liu, S., Zhang, S., Guo, W., and Wang, J.: Recent Changes in Glacial Area and Volume on
16 Tuanjiefeng Peak Region of Qilian Mountains, China, PLOS ONE, 8, e70574,
17 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070574, 2013.

18 Zemp, M.: Glaciers and Climate Change - Spatio-temporal Analysis of Glacier Fluctuations in the
19 European Alps after 1850, Ph.D. thesis - Dissertation Zur Erlangung der
20 naturwissenschaftlichen Doktorwürde (Dr. sc. nat.), vorgelegt der Mathematisch
21 naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Universität Zürich, Switzerland, 2006.

22 Zemp, M., Hoelzle, M., and Haeberli, W.: Distributed modelling of the regional climatic
23 equilibrium line altitude of glaciers in the European Alps, *Global Planet. Change*, 56, 83–
24 100, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.002, 2007.

25 Zemp, M., Paul, F., Hoelzle, M., and Haeberli, W.: Glacier fluctuations in the European Alps 1850–
26 2000: an overview and spatiotemporal analysis of available data, in: *The darkening peaks:
27 Glacial retreat in scientific and social context*, edited by: Orlove, B., Wiegandt, E., and
28 Luckman, B., University of California Press, Berkeley, 152–167, 2008.

29 Zemp, M., Zumbühl, H. J., Nussbaumer, S. U., Masiokas, M. H., Espizua, L. E., and Pitte, P.:
30 Extending glacier monitoring into the Little Ice Age and beyond, *PAGES news*, 19, 67–69,
31 2011.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

Table 1. Glacier variables considered.

Glacier variable	String	Unit
Size	GS	km ²
Maximum elevation	E _{max}	m a.s.l.
Minimum elevation	E _{min}	m a.s.l.
Balanced-budget Equilibrium Line Altitude	ELA ₀	m a.s.l.
Ridgecrest elevation	E _{ri}	m a.s.l.
Glacier relative relief	ΔE	m
Mean slope gradient	S	degrees
Main Aspect	MA	na
Clear-Sky Radiation (June-September)	CSR	W m ²
Mean Annual Precipitation	MAP	mm a ⁻¹
Avalanche Area Accumulation Basin Ratio	ABR	na

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Table 2. Glacier characteristics in the study sub-regions as inventoried in 2007.

	Classification		Sub-region		
	Primary	Secondary	Livigno	Disgrazia	Orobic
Valley		Simple basin	-	1	-
Glacier		Compound basins	-	1	-
		Cirque	3	13	24
Mountain		Niche	-	2	-
Glacier		Compound basins	-	2	-
		Cirque	4	4	9
Glacieret		Niche	1	1	-
Permanent snowfield			8	13	11
Total sample			16	37	44
Area (km ²)			1.1 (±0.02)	7.3 (±0.09)	1.8 (±0.05)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Table 3. Variation of glacier count and glacierized area through time in the study sub-regions.

Sub-region	1860		1954		1990		2003		2007	
	Count	Area (km ²)								
Livigno	15	5.4 ±0.53	21	2.5 ±0.20	22	2.3 ±0.07	21	1.3 ±0.03	16	1.1 ±0.02
Disgrazia	27	22.0 ±1.28	36	12.4 ±0.59	38	11.9 ±0.22	39	8.4 ±0.10	37	7.3 ±0.09
Orobie	45	6.7 ±0.93	49	3.2 ±0.31	49	3.1 ±0.12	48	2.0 ±0.06	44	1.8 ±0.05

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

9 Table 4. Relative change rate in glacier area, expressed as average annual decrease (AAD), across
10 glacier size classes.

Size Classes km ²	AAD (% a ⁻¹)			
	1860-1954	1954-1990	1990-2003	2003-2007
Livigno				
<0.1	0.63	0.02	5.20	8.73 ¹
0.1-0.5	0.68	0.62	2.88	3.17
0.5-1	0.41	-0.04	2.31	-
1.0-2.0	0.60	-	-	-
Total AAD	0.57±0.11	0.26±0.23	3.28±0.25	4.82±0.70
Median AAD	0.58	-0.04	3.92	9.52
Disgrazia				
<0.1	0.41	0.16	3.54	11.11 ¹
0.1-0.5	0.63	0.36	2.71	3.31
0.5-1	0.63	0.43	3.14	3.74
1.0-2.0	0.47	0.18	2.82	-
2.0-5.0	0.34	-0.22	1.52	2.17
5.0-10.0	0.43	-	-	-
Total AAD	0.46±0.07	0.10±0.14	2.27±0.15	3.67±0.44
Median AAD	0.47	0.20	3.06	7.14
Orobie				
<0.1	0.55	-0.09	3.27	3.77 ¹
0.1-0.5	0.55	0.25	2.21	2.04
0.5-1	0.56	-	-	-
1.0-2.0	0.60	-	-	-
Total AAD	0.56±0.15	0.10±0.29	2.69±0.32	2.87±1.02
Median AAD	0.55	-0.11	2.72	2.64

11 ¹In 2003-2007 small glaciers (<0.1 km²) exhibit by far the highest decrease rate of the whole study period in Disgrazia
12 and Livigno by contrast, in Orobie this size class shows much slower decrease.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Table 5. Topo-climatic attributes of the glaciers selected for inter-annual mass balance analysis.

Glacier*	Sub-region	LIA Area (km ²)	2012 Area (km ²)	MA	ABR	S (°)	CSR (w m ²)	MAP (mm a ⁻¹)	E _{ri} (m a.s.l.)	$\frac{E_{\min}}{E_{\max}}$ (m a.s.l.)	ELA ₀ (m a.s.l.)	Ablation stakes (m a.s.l.)
Campo Nord	Livigno	0.84	0.30	NW	moderate	19.1	134	1140	3137	2837-3178	3004	2970-2972
Vazzeda	Disgrazia	1.09	0.23	NE	low	25.3	133	1350	2978	2732-3081	2926	2908-2914
Lupo	Orobie	0.42	0.22	N	high	25.1	96	1680	2844	2435-2760	2565	2555-2564

* Glacier attributes are referred to year 2007. The location of the glaciers is reported in Fig. 1b.

1

2

3


4

5

6

7

8



1


2 Figure 1. Maps of northern Lombardy showing (a) the three sub-region location and the transects

3 used to create the swath profiles (see Fig. 4) and (b) spatial distribution of mean annual

4 precipitation with sample weather stations and mass balance measured glaciers (see text for further


5 details). Mean annual precipitation was interpolated by using ordinary co-kriging with 374 rainfall

1 stations (1981-1990) (Ceriani and Carelli, 2000) and 50,000 elevation points randomly distributed
 2 within the Region.



3
 4 Figure 2. Climographs for Cancano (Livigno sub-region), Alpe Gera (Disgrazia sub-region) and
 5 Scais (Orobic sub-region) weather stations. Time series: temperature (1990-2000); precipitation
 6 (1951-2000 Cancano, 1990-2000 Alpe Gera and 1958-2000 Scais.). Data sources: Servizio

1 Idrografico e Mareografico Nazionale, Consorzio dell'Adda, ARPA Lombardia, Database OLL –
2 Regione Lombardia D.G.S.P.U.



3
4 Figure 3. Example of multitemporal glacier delineation i.e., Campo Nord glacier (Livigno sub-
5 region) with 2007 orthophoto in the background. The slightly larger extension of the glacier top
6 area in 2012 compared to 2003 and 2007 is due to the presence of a snow-field developed after the
7 2007 season that was characterized by very limited snow cover.

8


9

10

11

12

1
2



3

4 Figure 4. Latitudinal transect across Livigno, Disgrazia, and Orobie sub-regions. Dashed lines
5 indicate minimum and maximum elevation, solid line indicate mean elevation. Filled symbols and
6 crosses refer respectively to ELA₀ (stratified by dominant slope aspect) and Mean Annual
7 Precipitation (MAP) values associated to each study glacier.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18






Figure 5. Balanced budget equilibrium line altitude (ELA₀) as a function of: (a) mean annual precipitation (MAP); and (b) slope aspect.

1


2



1 Figure 6. Maps showing the glacier extent in 1860 (LIA) and 2007, and the spatial distribution of
2 the relative change in glacier area in: (a) Livigno; (b) Disgrazia; and (c) Orobic. Numbers refer to
3 glacier cited in the text. 1: Mine, 2: Campo Nord, 3: Val Nera Ovest, 4: Vazzeda, 5:
4 Disgrazia/Sissone, 6: Ventina, 7: Predarossa, 8: Cassandra, 9: Lupo, 10: Trobio, 11: Scais, 12: Aga.
5 The northern facing Disgrazia-Sissone and Ventina, glaciers display a smaller relative retreat (56
6 and 45 % respectively), compared to the south facing counterparts of Predarossa (69 %) and
7 Cassandra (83 %) that are similar in size and that flow down from the same summits (see also
8 Fig.11b).

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27


1



2

3 Figure 7. Box-plots showing: (a) absolute rate of glacier area change; and (b) relative rate of glacier
4 area change. Horizontal lines indicate median values, boxes constrain 25th and 75th percentiles, and
5 whiskers mark 10th and 90th percentiles. Outliers are not presented due to scale constraints.

1



2

3 Figure 8. Change in glacier maximum maximum (E_{max}) and minimum (E_{min}) elevation across the 4
4 study intervals. Horizontal lines indicate median values, boxes constrain 25th and 75th percentiles,
5 and whiskers mark 10th and 90th percentiles. Outliers are not presented due to scale constraints.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12


13

14


15

16

17



1 Figure 9. Mean annual elevation change rate (m a^{-1}) and average annual decrease (AAD) in glacier
2 area ($\text{km}^2 \text{a}^{-1}$) in: (a) Livigno; (b) Disgrazia; and (c) Orobie. Bars indicate uncertainty in glacier area
3 delineation.



4
5 Figure 10. Relative change in glacier area (1860-2007) as a function of former glacier size.

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19




Figure 11. Relative area retreat in (1860-2007) as a function of E_{ri} (ridgecrest elevation upslope of the glacier) in: (a) Livigno; (b) Disgrazia; and (c) Orobie. Glaciers are stratified by dominant slope aspect (note different symbols). Numbers refer to glacier cited in text; 1: Ventina, 2: Disgrazia/Sissone, 3: Predarossa, 4: Cassandra.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21





Figure 12. Histogram showing winter, summer, and net point mass balance at: (a) Campo Nord glacier (Livigno); (b) Vazzeda glacier (Disgrazia); and (c) Lupo glacier (Orobie) from 2007 to 2013. Point mass balance data are measured with two ablation stakes placed across the ELA₀ of each glacier (see Table 5 for further details).

1
2
3



4

5 Figure 13. Cumulative point net mass balance in Campo Nord (Livigno), Vazzeda (Disgrazia), and
6 Lupo (Orobie) glaciers from 2007 to 2013 (see Table 5 for further details).