Surface elevation and mass changes of all Swiss glaciers 1980–2010
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Abstract. Since the mid-1980s, glaciers in the European Alps have shown widespread and accelerating mass losses. This article presents glacier-specific changes in surface elevation, volume and mass balance for all glaciers in the Swiss Alps from 1980 to 2010. Together with glacier outlines from the 1973 inventory, the DHM25 Level 1 Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) for which the source data over glacierized areas were acquired from 1961 to 1991 are compared to the swissALTI³D DEMs from 2008–2011 combined with the new Swiss Glacier Inventory SGI2010. Due to the significant differences in acquisition date of the source data used, mass changes are temporally homogenized to directly compare individual glaciers or glacierized catchments. Along with an in-depth accuracy assessment, results are validated against volume changes from independent photogrammetrically derived DEMs of single glaciers. Observed volume changes are largest between 2700–2800 m a.s.l. and remarkable even above 3500 m a.s.l. The mean geodetic mass balance is \(-0.62 \pm 0.07\) m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\) for the entire Swiss Alps over the reference period 1980–2010. For the main hydrological catchments, it ranges from \(-0.52\) m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\) to \(-1.07\) m w.e. yr\(^{-1}\). The overall volume loss calculated from the DEM differencing is \(-22.51 \pm 1.76\) km\(^3\).

1 Introduction

Fluctuations of mountain glaciers are known as a sensitive indicator for climatic changes (e.g. IPCC, 2013). Recent atmospheric warming has caused increased mass loss of glaciers all over the world (e.g. Zemp et al., 2008; Rudić and Hock, 2014), which significantly contributes to present sea-level rise (e.g. Marzeion et al., 2012; Gardner et al., 2013) and affects the runoff regimes of glacierized catchments in different regions around the globe (e.g. Kaser et al., 2010; Huss 2011; Sorg et al., 2012).

Glaciers of the European Alps showed general mass loss and shrinkage since the Little Ice Age maximum around 1850 (Zemp et al., 2008), despite intermittent phases of positive mass and area changes around 1890, during the 1910s and from the late 1970s to the mid-1980s (Glaciological Reports, 1960-2015). Since then, pronounced glacier retreat has been reported again (Paul et al., 2011; Huss, 2012). Glacier area changes are documented by the comparison of consecutive inventories (e.g. Lambrecht and Kuhn, 2007; Diolaiuti et al., 2012; Fischer et al., 2014; Gardent et al., 2014). Mass balance data are available either from annual field measurements on individual glaciers using the direct glaciological method (e.g. WGMS, 2012), or from the comparison of the glacier surface topography of different years and a density assumption for converting volume to mass change (e.g. Abermann et al., 2009; Carturan et al., 2013a). Together with the increasing number of digital elevation models (DEMs) available worldwide and the fact that inaccessible areas and entire glacier systems can be measured, this so-called geodetic method has become a popular approach to derive surface elevation and mass changes for a large number of glaciers (e.g. Rignot et al., 2003; Larsen et al., 2007; Bolch et al., 2008; Berthier et al., 2010; Nuth et al., 2010; Gardelle et al., 2012a).

Paul and Haeberli (2008) analyzed the spatial variability of glacier elevation changes in the Swiss Alps between 1985 and 1999 by comparing the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs (25 m resolution) created from topographic maps by the Swiss Federal Office of Topography (swisstopo) with the medium-resolution (90 m) Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) DEM. Several factors that might have an important influence on the accuracy of glacier elevation changes derived from DEM differencing have, however, not been conclusively assessed in their study: differences in the reference years of the surface elevation information used for individual regions, the problem of radar penetration into snow and ice (Dall et al., 2001; Gardelle et al., 2012b), and/or impacts...
Figure 1. Glaciers in the Swiss Alps. Colours refer to the acquisition date of the elevation information (DHM25 Level 1) defining the beginning of the investigated period \( t_1 \). Black lines delimit areas of equal acquisition dates of both glacier outlines and surface topography at the end of the investigated period \( t_2 \). Two black rectangles show the perimeters of close-ups with resulting mean geodetic mass balance.

of downscaling DEMs to higher resolution (Gardelle et al., 2012b; Carturan et al., 2013a). Furthermore, applying the medium-resolution SRTM DEM to high-mountain areas is problematic due to the systematic biases reported for high elevations (Berthier et al., 2006). In number, these regions are generally dominated by very small glaciers, hereafter defined as being smaller than 0.5 km\(^2\). Abermann et al. (2010) and Fischer et al. (2014) show that use of as accurate as possible and high-resolution source data is of particular importance for change assessments of these smallest glacier size classes.

Due to the recent compilation of more detailed source data, we are able to present an extended re-evaluation of glacier-specific changes in surface elevation, volume and geodetic mass balance for every single glacier in Switzerland. We compare the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs dating between 1961 and 1991 over glacierized areas with the swissALTI\(^3\) DEMs from 2008–2011 and combine the former with the 1973 inventory (Müller et al., 1976) and the latter with the new Swiss Glacier Inventory SGI2010 (Fischer et al., 2014). For direct comparison between individual glaciers or glacierized catchments, we temporally homogenize resulting mass changes to a consistent period, 1980–2010. This is necessary due to significant differences in acquisition date of the source data. We discuss various sources of possible error, perform an in-depth accuracy assessment of our results and validate them using independent volume changes from photogrammetrically derived DEMs. Furthermore, we comment on the controlling factors and the spatial variability of observed glacier mass changes.

2 Study region and data sets

2.1 Study region

The study area covers the entire Swiss Alps, where glaciers generally showed rapid mass loss until today after a short period of mass gain between the late 1970s and the mid-1980s (Huss et al., 2010a). Overall, small, thin and rather steep glaciers dominate. In number, almost 90% of all glaciers were smaller than 1.0 km\(^2\) in 2010. At that time, the total glacierized area was 944.3 ± 24.1 km\(^2\), corresponding to an area change of –362.6 km\(^2\) (–27.7%, or –0.75% yr\(^{-1}\)) since 1973 (Fischer et al., 2014). If we apply the approach by Huss and Farinotti (2012) to all Swiss glaciers, the average estimated mean ice thickness was 63 m in 2010, and 92% of the estimated total ice volume of 59.9 km\(^3\) was stored within only 10% of the 1420 glaciers comprised in the SGI2010.
2.2 Digital elevation models and glacier outlines

The initial glacier surface topography at the beginning of the observation period (hereafter referred to as $t_1$) is given by the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs from swisstopo, for which digitized contour lines and spot heights from the Swiss national topographic maps 1:25’000 were interpolated to a regular grid with 25 m spacing. The positional accuracy is reported to range between 2.5 and 7.5 m. The vertical accuracy was estimated by comparison of known spot heights with corresponding cell values of the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs and ranges between 3.7 and 8.2 m on average for rugged high-mountain topography depending on individual map sheets (Rickenbacher 1999; swisstopo 2000). Because spot heights are typically located at topographical extreme points like hilltops or depressions, the actual vertical accuracy over “average terrain”, as, for instance, glacier surface topography, is probably considerably higher. For glacierized areas, the dating of contour lines used for the creation of the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs is not consistent with corresponding specifications given in the product information (swisstopo 2000). Therefore, we manually reconstructed the individual reference years of the surface topography at $t_1$ for every glacier by comparison of the DHM25 Level 1 contour lines with those from repeated updates of the 1:25’000 topographic maps with known reference years (cf. “journey through time” maps available through map.geo.admin.ch). In addition to the obvious regional differences in $t_1$, there is a certain trend towards earlier $t_1$ for small glaciers, for which surface contour lines were less frequently updated (Fig. 1).

Recent glacier surface topography, i.e. at the end of the observation period (hereafter referred to as $t_2$), is provided by the new 2 m resolution swissALTI3D DEMs. For areas above 2000 m a.s.l., they were created by stereocorrelation of high-resolution (25 cm) 2008–2011 SWISSIMAGE Level 2 aerial orthophotographs. For these areas, the vertical accuracy is ±1 to 3 m on average. For areas below 2000 m a.s.l., the swissALTI3D DEMs are more accurate (±0.5 m at the 1σ level) since they were created using airborne laser scanning data (swisstopo 2013).

The surface area of individual glaciers at $t_1$ is derived from the latest digital version of the Swiss Glacier Inventory 1973 (SGI1973; Maisch et al. 2000; Paul 2004), which was originally compiled by Müller et al. (1976) from stereophotogrammetry-based interpretation of aerial photographs acquired in early September 1973. The considerable time difference between the acquisition of the SGI1973 source data and individual DHM25 Level 1 DEMs used for $t_1$ (Fig. 1) is acceptable as only small area changes and an almost balanced mass budget of glaciers were reported for the European Alps between 1960 and the mid-1980s (Glaciological Reports 1960-2015; Paul et al. 2004; Huss 2012). For $t_2$, the glacier outlines originate from the latest Swiss Glacier Inventory SGI2010 derived by manual digitization from high-resolution (25 cm) aerial orthophotographs acquired between 2008–2011 (Fischer et al. 2014). Applying the SGI2010 and the swissALTI3D DEMs as source data for $t_2$ is ideal because their acquisition date is mostly identical for individual glaciers.

2.3 Validation data

Time series of surface mass balance for glaciers of different type and size class covering the entire Swiss Alps over the last decades (Huss et al. 2010a, b) are used to validate the geodetic mass balances presented here. These series rely on ice volume changes derived from high-accuracy photogrammetrical DEMs for sub-decadal to multi-decadal time intervals (Bauder et al. 2007). By using a distributed mass balance modelling approach including comprehensive field data sets (winter accumulation, summer ablation and discharge measurements), annual mass balance series were calculated that agree with the observed geodetic mass changes.

3 Methods

3.1 Calculation of glacier volume change and average mass balance

Prior to the calculation of surface elevation changes, the swissALTI3D DEMs were resampled to a grid cell size of 25
m (i.e. equal to the resolution of the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs).
Because glacier polygons of the SGI2010 were coded and
named according to the 1973 outlines they fell into or over-
lapped with (Fischer et al. 2014), elevation changes could be
calculated for individual glacier entities as a next step by sub-
tracting the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs from the swissALTI3D
DEM(Fig. 2).
The glacier-individual total volume change $\Delta V$ (m$^3$) for
the respective survey period was calculated as follows:

$$\Delta V = \overline{z} \cdot A_{1973},$$

where $\overline{z}$ (m) is the average elevation change cal-
culated from the difference between the swissALTI3D and the
DHM25 Level 1 DEMs within the perimeter covered by the
 glacier in 1973 ($A_{1973}$ (m$^2$)). Then, the area-averaged spe-
cific geodetic mass balance rate (m w.e. yr$^{-1}$) was calculated
with

$$\dot{B} = \frac{\Delta V \cdot f_{\Delta V}}{A \cdot \Delta t},$$

where $f_{\Delta V}$ is a conversion factor (without unit) used to
transform $\Delta V$ into a mass change, $A$ (m$^2$) the average area
between 1973 and 2010 calculated as $(A_{1973} + A_{2010})/2$, and
$\Delta t$ the length of the observation period ($t_2 - t_1$) in years. We
hereafter refer to the area-averaged specific geodetic mass
balance rate as average mass balance. The conversion fac-
tor $f_{\Delta V}$ might vary from glacier to glacier, depending on the
length of the observation period, the respective mass balance
and the firm compaction history. Due to the fairly long ob-
ervation periods, $f_{\Delta V}$ is set as a constant of 0.85, correspond-
ing to a density of volume change of 850±60 kg m$^{-3}$ (Huss
2013), which is consistent with other studies (Sapiano et al.
1998; Fischer 2011; Zemp et al. 2013).
The significant regional differences in the length of the ob-
ervation period (Fig. 1) imply that glacier-individual aver-
age mass balances $\dot{B}_g$ derived from the DEM differencing can
not be directly compared to each other. In order to ho-
logenize the glacier-individual observation periods to one
comparable time interval, we make use of the data set by
Huss (2012) providing annual mass balance data for most of the in-
vestigated glacier entities and the mean observation period is
$\approx 30$ years (Fig. 1), the hydrological years 1980/81–2009/10
are defined as the reference observation period over the entire
Swiss Alps over which annual mass balances for individual
$B_{i,g}$ are cumulated (grey line in Fig. 3). Using this
approach, mass changes are temporally homogenized, can be
compared and further analyzed.

### 3.2 Analysis of controls

Averaged over representative samples (number of glaciers)
and observation periods (number of years), glacier area and
elevation changes are usually in agreement with changes in
air temperature and precipitation recorded over the investi-
gated areas and time intervals (e.g. Abermann et al. 2009;
VanLooy and Forster 2011; Carturan et al. 2013a). Within
a mountain-range and despite similar climatic changes, the
differences in long-term mass balance can however be sig-
ificant between individual – and even adjacent – glaciers
e.g. (Kuhn et al. 1985; Vincent 2002; Larsen et al. 2007;
Abermann et al. 2011). Different factors have been iden-
tified which can explain this variability to a certain extent.
For instance, the glacier hypsometry, i.e. the distribution of
glacier area and volume with altitude, plays an important role
(Furbish and Andrews 1984; Benn and Evans 2010). Also,
the characteristic glacier response time and dynamic adjust-
ment to a certain climatic forcing varies with glacier size and
affects the specific mass balance (Johannesson et al. 1989;
Huss et al. 2012). Larger (and more gently-sloping) glaciers
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\caption{Temporal homogenization of cumulative average mass balance from DEM differencing for Glacier de Tsanfleuron (dark grey) based on cumulative mean annual mountain-range mass balance from Huss (2012).}
\end{figure}
are expected to lag behind the current climatic forcing and to show more negative mass balances than smaller (and steeper) glaciers (Hoelzle et al. 2003). Very small glaciers situated in cirques, niches and below headwalls react faster to climatic changes (Kuhn 1995), but their individual response to the latter is – in relative terms – even more variable than for larger glaciers (Carturan et al. 2013b). Furthermore, local topographic and microclimatic factors are important for the behaviour and dynamics of mountain glaciers in general (e.g. Benn and Lehmkühler 2000, Oerlemans 2010), and for very small glaciers in particular (e.g. DeBeer and Sharp 2009 Hughes 2009). These factors can be parameterized with geometrical indices which are related to the observed variability in long-term mass changes (Huss et al. 2012).

In order to identify the controlling factors and to better understand the spatial variability of the observed surface elevation and mass changes, a correlation analysis between the average mass balance over the reference period 1980–2010 and classes of (i) mean area, (ii) median elevation, (iii) surface slope of the glacier terminus, and (iv) dominant aspect, hereafter referred to as mean aspect, was performed. Huss (2012) showed that these four geometrical indices can explain some of the variability in observed long-term mass balances. For the surface slope of the glacier terminus, the testing of different values indicated that the average surface slope over the lowermost 25% of the glacier at t1 resulted in the highest correlation. For mean aspect, the initially eight classes were rearranged into five classes of equivalent potential clear-sky radiation (N, NW/NE, W/E, SW/SE, S) prior to the correlation analysis.

4 Accuracy assessment and validation

4.1 Quality of input DEMs over selected glaciers

Photogrammetrically derived DEMs of the same acquisition dates as the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs for t1 and the swissALTI3D DEMs for t2 are available for 13 glaciers covering 207.3 km² (15.9% of the total glacierized surface area) in 1973 and 12 glaciers covering 88.2 km² (9.3%) in 2010 (Bauder et al. 2007 updated). Statistical comparisons of these DEMs show that for glacierized surface topography, the actual vertical accuracies of both the DHM25 Level 1 and the swissALTI3D DEMs is likely much better in the glacier-wide mean than assumed by the average error values taken from literature. Both mean (μ = −1.19 m) and median (x̃ = −1.36 m) elevation differences are slightly negative when subtracting the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs from the photogrammetrical DEMs at t1. For the swissALTI3D DEMs at t2, mean (μ = 0.50 m) and median (x̃ = 0.21 m) elevation differences are slightly positive and show even closer match with the photogrammetrical DEMs. The standard deviations (1σ level) and interquartile ranges (iqr) of the elevation differences are comparable for both the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs and the swissALTI3D DEMs. Hence, the uncertainties in glacier surface elevation are probably very similar for individual grid cells both at t1 and t2 (Fig. 4).

4.2 DEM comparison over stable terrain

An analysis of possible elevation-, slope-, and aspect-dependent biases in DEM differencing is carried out by comparing the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs with the swissALTI3D DEMs over stable terrain. The spatial distribution of surface elevation changes outside the glaciers is calculated within a mask around every entity (Fig. 5a) and over about twice the area glacierized at t1. The mean offset between both DEMs, which we do not correct for, is −1.47±6.82 (1σ) m (Fig. 5b). Thus, on average, the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs are slightly higher over stable terrain compared to the recent swissALTI3D DEMs. The mean standard deviation over all stable terrain close to glacierized areas of ±6.82 m agrees with the nominal uncertainty σΔz assessed with literature-
based uncertainty estimates (Rickenbacher 1999, swisstopo 2000).

We find an almost linear but comparatively moderate increase of the elevation difference between both input DEMs with elevation (0.03 m between 1300 and 2000 m a.s.l. to −2.13 m above 3500 m a.s.l. on average) (Fig. 5b). Together with the scatter of the bias, which also increases towards higher elevations, this is probably due to the general occurrence of more rugged and steeper terrain with increasing elevation. No dependency is found when relating the mean offset to classes of slope. However, the scatter significantly increases towards steep slopes. The bias is slightly dependent on aspect. While areas with a mean aspect NE and SW show the same mean offset as the overall value, positive values result for pixels with a mean aspect W–NW–N and negative values for pixels facing E–SE–S, i.e. exposed to the opposite direction (Fig. 5b). This points to a slight horizontal shift in NW–SE-direction of the elevation information included in both input DEMs. We assume this shift to originate from the creation of the DHM25 Level 1 source data and therefore calculate the influence of its correction via co-registration according to Nuth and Kääb (2011) for the 45 largest glaciers spread over the entire Swiss Alps with an ice surface area of 650 km² at t₁. The effect of this correction on the average mass balance of individual glaciers turns out to be in the order of ±10⁻⁴ to 10⁻² m w.e. yr⁻¹. Therefore, we consider the impact of the detected DEM shifts on calculated surface elevation, volume and mass changes as negligible for the entire Swiss Alps and do not co-register the source DEMs prior to differencing.

4.3 Uncertainty

Two “extreme approaches” have been applied in literature to assess the uncertainty in glacier volume changes derived by DEM differencing. For individual grid cells, the uncertainty in surface elevation changes is either assumed to be totally correlated or totally uncorrelated in space. In the former...
case, the uncertainty in the glacier-individual volume change is given by the standard deviation of measured point elevation errors (e.g. Cox and March, 2004; Larsen et al., 2007). In the latter case, errors are considered as totally uncorrelated (totally random) in space (e.g. Thibert et al., 2008), and the uncertainty is smaller by a factor of $\sqrt{n}$ compared to totally correlated errors, where $n$ is the number of grid cells over which the DEM differencing is carried out. By determining the spatial correlation lengths of elevation differences from the comparison of input DEMs over stable terrain, Rolstad et al. (2009) recommended a compromise between these two extreme approaches. Motyka et al. (2010) and Trüssel et al. (2013), for instance, also applied this third approach and showed that the degree of the spatial correlation of DEM errors influences the overall uncertainty in geodetic volume and mass changes.

The uncertainty in surface elevation, volume and mass changes presented in this study is mainly given by the uncertainty related to the two DEMs used. Hereafter, a simple implementation of Rolstad et al. (2009) is applied to estimate the uncertainty in the glacier-individual average elevation change $\sigma_{\Delta z, g}$ (m). This approach does not account for possible multi- or large-scale variance in the uncertainty. It can be regarded as a “rule of thumb” to assess the accuracy of the spatial average of elevation changes if the area to be averaged over, $A_{1973}$, here, is larger than an area $A_{\text{cor}}$ within which errors in DEM differencing are considered as effectively correlated. $A_{\text{cor}}$ (m$^2$) is defined as

$$A_{\text{cor}} = \pi \cdot L^2.$$  

(4)

The radius $L$ of this circular area is equal to the spatial correlation length. The variances of the elevation differences of both input DEMs over stable terrain were binned at 50 m intervals for scales $<1500$ m and semivariograms produced. Analysing the latter, we find a mean correlation length of 1973 < $A_{\text{cor}}$, the uncertainty in the glacier-individual average elevation change $\sigma_{\Delta z, g}$ is calculated following Rolstad et al. (2009) as

$$\sigma_{\Delta z, g} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{A_{\text{cor}}}} \cdot \frac{A_{\text{cor}}}{\pi \cdot A_{1973}},$$  

(5)

where $\sigma_{\Delta h, g}$ (m) is the standard deviation of the elevation error over stable terrain derived within a mask around individual glaciers (Fig. 5). For glaciers with $A_{1973} < A_{\text{cor}}$, elevation errors are treated as totally correlated in space, and $\sigma_{\Delta z, g}$ is equal to $\sigma_{\Delta h, g}$.

The glacier-individual uncertainty in volume change $\sigma_{\Delta V, g}$ (m$^3$) is obtained by multiplying $\sigma_{\Delta z, g}$ with the initial glacier area $A_{1973}$. A conservative estimate of the uncertainty in the total volume change over the entire Swiss Alps is derived by

$$\sigma_{\Delta V, \text{tot}} = \pm \sum_{g=1}^{n} \sigma_{\Delta V, g}$$  

(6)

and results in $\pm 1.76 \text{ km}^3$. The uncertainty in the geodetic mass balance of individual glacier entities $\sigma_{\text{geod}, g}$ (m w.e.) is calculated according to Huss et al. (2009) as

$$\sigma_{\text{geod}, g} = \pm \sqrt{(\Delta z_{g} \cdot \sigma_{f_{\Delta V}})^2 + (f_{\Delta V} \cdot \sigma_{\Delta z, g})^2},$$  

(7)

with a conversion factor $f_{\Delta V}$ of 0.85 and a corresponding uncertainty $\sigma_{f_{\Delta V}}$ of 0.06 derived from the assumed mean density of the total volume change of 850 kg m$^{-3}$ and a corresponding uncertainty of $\pm 60$ kg m$^{-3}$ (Huss, 2013). The mean geodetic elevation change $\Delta z$ is assumed to be uncorrelated to $f_{\Delta V}$. The resulting values for $\sigma_{\text{geod}, g}$ are then divided by the glacier-individual observation period length ($\Delta t$) and range between $\pm 0.02$ and $\pm 0.36$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$. After the glacier-individual reconstruction of the $t_1$ values, we consider $\Delta t$ as robust. Some uncertainty in $t_2$ and hence also in $\Delta t$, of maximum two years applies for only a few glaciers for which aerial orthophotographs used as source data for the creation of both the glacier outlines and DEMs at $t_2$ were acquired during two or more survey years (glaciers overlapping two or more areas of $t_2$ in Fig. 1).

Finally, the uncertainty in the average mass balance of all 1420 Swiss glaciers of the SGI2010 over the reference period 1980–2010 $\sigma_{\text{Brf}, \text{w.e.}}$ (m w.e. yr$^{-1}$) is derived with

$$\sigma_{\text{Brf}, \text{w.e.}} = \pm \frac{\sum_{g=1}^{n} ((\sigma_{\text{geod}, g} / \Delta t) \cdot \overline{A}_g)}{\sum_{g=1}^{n} \overline{A}_g},$$  

(8)

where $\overline{A}_g$ is the average area of glacier $g$ between 1973 and 2010, and results in $\pm 0.07$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$.

4.4 Validation

For validation of average mass balances between 1980–2010 we choose 31 glaciers from the data sets of Huss et al. (2010a, b) for which volume changes based on the independent, photogrammetrically derived DEMs show closest temporal accord with our respective observation period.

For individual glaciers, mean mass balance from Huss et al. (2010a, b) partly differs considerably from our results over the same period. Nevertheless, these differences do not indicate a systematic error and the mean difference is almost zero (Fig. 6). Hence, when analysing individual glaciers, the uncertainty in geodetic mass balance presented in this study has to be considered. Averaged over subsamples of glaciers or individual catchments though, the accuracy of the average
geodetic mass balance is likely in the same order of magnitude as if derived with more precise source DEMs created, for instance, by photogrammetrical techniques.

5 Results

5.1 Changes in surface elevation and area-altitude distribution

The recent ($t_2$) area-altitude distribution was derived from the combination of the SGI2010 with the swissALTI3D DEMs. For $t_1$ the SGI1973 and the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs were used. Averaged over the entire Swiss Alps, observed area changes between 1973 and 2010 were largest between 2800 and 2900 m a.s.l. The most heavily glacierized areas were located almost 200 m higher in 2010 (Fig. 7). For the observation period, the overall volume loss for the entire Swiss Alps is –22.51±1.76 km³, of which glaciers still present in 2010 account for –22.37 km³. Averaged within 100 m elevation bands, volume loss was strongest between 2700 and 2800 m a.s.l. Corresponding average elevation changes were largest changes nearly at lowermost elevations (terminus of valley glaciers) towards zero in the accumulation area. No elevation bands with positive volume changes were detected (Fig. 7). Both surface elevation and area changes were remarkable even above 3500 m a.s.l. (Fig. 7). The observed thinning at high altitudes and over the accumulation areas of glaciers results from a combination of ice flow dynamics and reduced accumulation and emphasizes the current state of disequilibrium of glaciers in the Swiss Alps.

5.2 Average mass balance

For the entire Swiss Alps, the area-weighted average mass balance of all 1420 glaciers included in the SGI2010 was –0.62±0.07 m w.e. yr⁻¹ during our reference period 1980–2010. For the main hydrological catchments, it ranged between –0.52 m w.e. yr⁻¹ and –1.07 m w.e. yr⁻¹ (Fig. 8). In general, glaciers in the Valais Alps (Rhone, Doveria) lost comparatively less (–0.59 m w.e. yr⁻¹) and glaciers south of the main Alpine crest (Maggia, Ticino, Maira, Poschiavino) and of the inner-Alpine Inn valley comparatively more (–0.84 m w.e. yr⁻¹) mass than on average over the entire Swiss Alps. These differences might be attributed to regionally variable changes in the climatic forcing, glacier sensitivity and/or topographic effects.

The close-ups of the eastern Bernese Alps/western Alps of Central Switzerland (Fig. 9) and the central/eastern Valais Alps (Fig. 10) show a high spatial variability in the temporally homogenized geodetic mass balance 1980–2010. Individual glaciers showed strongly differing responses to a similar change in regional climate forcing. For most of the largest valley glaciers with flat termini (e.g. Unteraar- (UAR), Oberaletsgletscher (OAL), and Grosser Aletschgletscher (ALE) in Fig. 9) or Gornergletscher (GOR) and Glacier d’Otemma (OTE) in Fig. 10, mass changes were particularly high. In contrast, Fieschergletscher (FIE in Fig. 9) or Findelengletscher (FIN in Fig. 10) showed smaller mass loss. For small and very small glaciers, the scatter of resulting changes was maximal. Neighbouring glaciers sometimes exhibited a high spatial variability in observed geodetic mass changes (e.g. glaciers in the vicinity of Grosser Aletsch- (ALE) and Unteraarlgletscher (UAR) in Fig. 9) or glaciers close to Glacier d’Otemma (OTE) or within the Weissmiesgruppe in Fig. 10, while for other regions the response was quite uniform (e.g. Rotondogruppe or Blüemlisalpgruppe near Kanderfirn (KAN) in Fig. 9). Mass losses of the mostly medium-sized mountain and valley glaciers of the Mischabel- and Weisshorngruppe were also very uniform and comparatively moderate (Fig. 10). This is likely to a major part due to the continentality of these high-lying areas, influenced by one of the coldest and driest climate regimes in the entire Swiss Alps (e.g. Frei and Schär [1998] Auer et al. [2007]). The equilibrium line altitudes (ELAs) are highest for these glaciers (Maisch et al. [2000] and their sensitivity to changes in air temperature and precipitation is expected to be lowest (Oerlemans and Reichert [2000]).

5.3 Geometrical indices and long-term mass balance variability

For individual glaciers, the relation between the observed geodetic mass changes 1980–2010 and the explaining geometrical indices (i) glacier area, (ii) median elevation, (iii) mean slope of the glacier terminus, and (iv) mean aspect is not straightforward. Both average area 1973–2010 ($r=−0.09$) and mean aspect ($r=0.03$) did not reveal significant correlations with the geodetic mass balance (Fig. 11a). A weak correlation ($r=0.22$) was found for median elevation at $t_1$ (Fig. 11b), and a stronger one ($r=0.42$) for mean slope over the lowermost 25% of the glacier surface at $t_1$ (Fig. 11c). Because part of the significant scatter in Figure 11a-c is likely caused by glacier-individual uncertainties and local effects, we also calculated the respective mean values for 5%-quantiles of the data (red triangles in Fig. 11a-c) by computing the mean average mass balance for 20 classes of equal sample size. Then, a more structured relation between the selected geometrical indices and long-term mass balance variability emerges. For average area 1973–2010, the correlation is negative. However, if the smallest glaciers (<0.1 km²) are neglected, mean average mass balances did not vary considerably for different size classes (Fig. 11d). For median elevation, the correlation is positive. The higher the median elevation of a glacier at $t_1$, the less negative the average mass budget tends to be (Fig. 11d).
Figure 7. (a) Area-elevation distribution in 1973 and 2010 in 100 m elevation bands and corresponding area changes between the two inventories. (b) Elevation distribution of the observed volume changes within the observation period as well as resulting average elevation changes per 100 m elevation bands.

Figure 8. Mean area-weighted geodetic mass balance 1980–2010 for the main hydrological catchments and the entire Swiss Alps.

The mean area-weighted geodetic mass balance is a proxy for the balanced-budget equilibrium line altitude (ELA₀) (Braithwaite et al., 2013), which in turn depends on continentality. The latter can be approximated as a function of mean annual air temperature and precipitation at the ELA₀ (Shumsky, 1964; Haeberli et al., 1989). Glaciers influenced by more maritime climatic conditions react more sensitively to changes in air temperature and precipitation than more continental glaciers do (Oerlemans and Reichert, 2000), and are thus expected to show more negative mass balance. For mean slope of the glacier terminus, the correlation
Table 1. Mean geodetic mass balance 1980–2010 for the main hydrological catchments of Switzerland shown in Fig. 8 listed in alphabetic order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catchment</th>
<th>Glacierized Area (km²)</th>
<th>Glacierization (km²)</th>
<th>Glacierization (%)</th>
<th>Glacierization (%)</th>
<th>$\overline{\Delta h_{	ext{ref}}}$ (m w.e. yr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpenrhein</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linth</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>−0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuss</td>
<td>102.5</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aare b. Brugg</td>
<td>229.8</td>
<td>174.5</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhone</td>
<td>721.5</td>
<td>569.2</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doveria</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>−0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maggia</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>−0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticino</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>−0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maira</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>−1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poschiavino</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inn</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>−0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All catchments</td>
<td>1261.2</td>
<td>942.8</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Spatial distribution of the temporally homogenized geodetic mass balance 1980–2010 for the eastern Bernese Alps/western Alps of Central Switzerland. The SGI2010 outlines are taken to illustrate glacier surface area.
is again positive. The more gently-sloping the glacier’s ablation area at $t_1$, here approximated with the glacier surface over the lowermost 25% at $t_1$, the more negative the average mass budget (Fig. 11c). The flattest glacier termini are typically those of larger valley glaciers, which show comparatively more negative mass balances already due to their longer response times (Hoelzle et al., 2003). Furthermore, they usually flow down into narrow valley bottoms at low altitudes (Maisch et al., 2000). There, the climatic conditions are in favour of higher melt rates and the effects of albedo and mass-balance vs. altitude act as self-reinforcing mechanisms (Haebeli et al., 2007; Oerlemans et al., 2009). Northeast-exposed glaciers lost most mass, southwest-exposed glaciers lost least (Fig. 11b). This is likely due to the different sensitivity to changes in air temperature increase for the respective classes of mean aspect (Evans and Cox, 2005; Evans, 2006).

Because of the stronger influence of the shortwave radiation component and the fact that they are located at higher elevation, south-exposed glaciers generally react less sensitively to air temperature changes than north-exposed glaciers. Also, south-exposed glaciers are often smaller and thinner, and therefore generally have a shorter response time and thus less negative mass balance.

6 Comparison to other studies for the European Alps

For the 1420 glaciers included in the SGI2010, the average mass balance of $-0.62\pm0.07$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ calculated over the reference period 1980–2010 is comparable to observed mass changes reported for other glacierized regions of the European Alps during the past years. Carturan et al. (2013a) find an average mass budget of $-0.69\pm0.12$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ between the early 1980s and the mid-2000s for glaciers of the Ortles-Cevedale group on the southwestern border of South Tyrol, Italy. Applying our method for temporal homogenization of mass changes derived from DEM differencing (cf. section 3.1, Fig. 3), we calculate $-0.65$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ for the Swiss Alps when averaged over the same time period as analyzed by Carturan et al. (2013a). From area and volume changes reported by Abermann et al. (2009) for the Austrian Ötztal Alps between 1969–2006, we calculate $-0.40$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ averaged for 81 glaciers. The average mass balance for all Swiss glaciers is $-0.39$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ from 1969–2006.

To derive surface elevation and mass changes for the entire Swiss Alps, Paul and Haebeli (2008) compared the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs to the SRTM DEM from February 2000 and combined the former with the SGI1973 and the latter with
the SGI2000 created from medium-resolution (30 m) satellite imagery. They assumed $t_1 = 1985$ as constant and report an average mass balance of $-0.78$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ between 1985 and 1999. Over the same reference period, we find a cumulative average mass balance for all Swiss glaciers of $-0.60$ m w.e. yr$^{-1}$ by temporarily homogenizing mass changes derived from DEM differencing (cf. Eq. 3). Both the quality of the different source data used and methodologies applied can explain the differences in derived average mass balance to some extent. According to Jarvis et al. (2008), the vertical accuracy of the 90 m resolution SRTM DEM is $\pm 30$ m. Over glacierized areas in Switzerland, however, it is probably considerably higher (Paul 2008). Nevertheless, the quality of the SRTM DEM is not comparable to the recently compiled 2 m swissALTI3D DEMs. If the SRTM DEM is used, the impacts of the penetration of radar waves into snow and ice should be considered (Berthier et al. 2006, Gardelle et al. 2012b), as they can reach up to 10 m for the SRTM C-band (Dall et al. 2001). This could explain the more negative surface elevation changes over accumulation areas observed by Paul and Haeberli (2008) who compared the SRTM DEM to photogrammetrically derived DEMs by Bauder et al. (2007). If all of the difference between the average mass balance 1985–1999 from Paul and Haeberli (2008) and our approach would be attributed to the penetration of the radar signal into snow and ice, one could infer a mean penetration of the SRTM C-band of about 8 m beneath the February 2000 surface ($0.18$ m w.e. yr$^{-1} \cdot 14$ yr and assuming a mean firm density of $650$ kg m$^{-3}$ and an accumulation area ratio of 0.5). This would be somewhat higher compared to values reported for the Karakoram (Gardelle et al. 2012b) or southeastern Alaska (Melkonian et al. 2014). Apart from radar penetration, the DEM preprocessing prior to differencing may cause uncertainty, for instance if source DEMs have to be downsampled to the same grid cell resolution (Carturan et al. 2013a). For our study, the 2 m resolution swissALTI3D DEMs were upsampled to 25 m, corresponding to the cell size of the DHM25 Level 1 DEMs. Not only the quality of the source DEMs but also of the

Figure 11. Correlation of temporally homogenized geodetic mass balance 1980–2010 and several geometrical indices. (a) Average area 1973–2010, (b) median elevation at $t_1$, (c) surface slope averaged over the lowermost 25% of the glacier, and (d) mean aspect, where black boxes enclose the interquartile range (iqr) of the data, defined at iqr75-iqr25, and whiskers extend out to the maximum or minimum value of the data, or to 1.5 times either the iqr75 or iqr25 if there is data beyond this range. Outliers are identified with small circles. Red triangles show mean values for 5%-quantiles of the data. $r$ is the linear correlation coefficient and $p$ the significance level of the respective relation (in black always referring to the whole data set, in red to the mean values for the 5%-quantiles only.)
glacier outlines used is important. This especially applies if changes of small and very small glaciers have to be assessed. 710

Fischer et al. (2014) show that the accuracy of glacier outlines derived from semi-automatic satellite remote sensing approaches using medium-resolution satellite imagery does not satisfy change assessments of glaciers <1.0 km².

Related to the methodological approaches used, the following aspects likely also cause part of the disagreement in calculated average mass balances between Paul and Haeberli (2008) and our study: Assuming a density of the volumetric change of 900 kg m⁻³ implies that both the mean firm density and firm thickness and area would not have changed within the observation period. For glaciers in the European Alps, however, significant changes in both firm coverage and density are reported (e.g. Carturan et al., 2013a; Helfricht et al., 2014). Following Huss (2013), we apply a mean conversion factor of 850 kg m⁻³ here. Furthermore, the average mass balance for individual glaciers (Eq. 2) can be significantly biased if differences in the reference years of the source data are not considered. For Grosser Aletschglatscher, assuming t₁ as 1985 instead of 1980 changes the result by 0.14 m w.e. yr⁻¹ (−0.87 instead of −0.73 m w.e. yr⁻¹). Defining t₁ as 1985 for all glaciers of Switzerland would change the mean geodetic mass balance by 0.03 m w.e. yr⁻¹. Hence, differences in the reference years of the source data account for 17%, the different assumptions of the density of volume change for 24%, and the quality of the source data used for 59% of the disagreement in calculated average mass balances between the present study and Paul and Haeberli (2008).

7 Conclusions

In this article we presented glacier-specific changes in surface elevation, volume and geodetic mass balance for all glaciers of the Swiss Alps between 1980 and 2010. The DHM25 Level 1 DEMs acquired from 1961 to 1991 over glacierized areas were combined with the 1973 glacier inventory and then compared to the swissALTI³D DEMs from 2008–2011 and glacier outlines from the new Swiss Glacier Inventory SGI2010. By making use of this recently compiled new source data of improved quality, we were able to reanalyze and extend the data set of surface elevation changes in the Swiss Alps by Paul and Haeberli (2008). From the DEM differencing, we derive an overall volume loss of −22.51±1.76 km³ for the entire Swiss Alps for the observation period, of which glaciers still present in 2010 account for −22.37 km³. Observed ice volume changes were strongest between 2700–2800 m a.s.l. and remarkable even above 3500 m a.s.l. No elevation bands with positive volume changes were found. Results were validated against elevation changes from independent photogrammetrical DEMs. An in-depth accuracy assessment of volumetric and mass changes was performed for the analyzed glaciers. Elevation-, slope-, and aspect dependent errors were investigated from the comparison of both source DEMs over stable terrain outside the glaciers. Because of significant differences in the acquisition dates of individual DEMs, annual mountain-range mass balance variability (Huss, 2012) was used to temporally homogenize the geodetic mass changes to the comparable reference time interval 1980–2010. Over this period, the area-weighted mean geodetic mass balance was −0.62±0.07 m w.e. yr⁻¹ for the entire Swiss Alps. For the main hydrological catchments of Switzerland, mean balances ranged from −0.52 to −1.07 m w.e. yr⁻¹.

To better understand the spatial variability of the observed surface elevation and mass changes, we investigated the relation of observed mass changes to topographic factors. Overall, as shown by several previous studies, the glacier hypsometry can partly explain the general pattern of different glacier responses to changes in climatic forcing. We found strongest correlations for the geometrical indices terminus slope (i.e. mean over lowermost 25%) and median elevation.

The data set presented in this article is useful for manifold future studies and applications. For instance, mass balance driven glacier evolution models of the entire Swiss Alps or approaches for the extrapolation of measured mass balance on single glaciers to whole catchments can be validated and improved. This would also imply a reduction in the uncertainty of future runoff projections from glacierized basins in Switzerland. Moreover, it is a valuable starting point for testing more sophisticated methods to explain the spatial variability in long-term mass balance.
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