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This is an interesting paper, that makes a useful contribution to estimating the mass balance of Himalayan glaciers. The contribution of avalanching to mass balance is under-researched, so this paper is welcome. It is clearly structured and well argued, and the methods appropriate and clearly explained. Although the model is highly idealized, and neither the constitutive relations nor ice thicknesses are known, the tuning process largely cancels the associated uncertainties and the results are robust.

On the whole the paper needs only minor correction, as detailed below.

12: insert ’of’ after ’fraction’
14: ’cover’ should be ’covered’
16: insert ’a’ after ’of’
(i.e. no sentence break) 25: ‘subsides’ is not a good word here. 'The debris is buried in
the snowpack' would be better. 26: delete 'the' before 'it' 29: '...net mass balance. So
much so...' should be '...net mass balance, so much so...' (i.e. no new sentence) 31:
'activities' should be 'activity' 45: 'much smaller' should be replaced with 'less negative'
73: 'causes' should be 'cause' 185: 'line' should be 'lines' 194: 'quite' should be 'very'
196: This statement is not correct - and the following discussion does not clarify the
issues very well. AAR's of 0.6 on alpine glaciers reflect the area of snowfield required
to balance ablation rates on bare ice. On an avalanche-fed, debris covered glacier,
the conditions are different. First, avalanches dump large masses of snow in a small
area - hence the accumulation area is smaller than would be the case if accumulation
occurred by direct snowfall. Secondly, ablation under debris is typically much less than
for bare ice, so larger ablation areas are needed to melt the same amount of ice. So,
smaller accumulation area + larger ablation area = smaller AAR. These points should
be made clear in the discussion.
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