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Abstract

The microstructure of a dry alpine snowpack is a dynamic environment where microstructural evolution is driven by seasonal density profiles and weather conditions. Notably, temperature gradients on the order of $10^{-20} \text{K m}^{-1}$, or larger, are known to produce a faceted snow microstructure exhibiting little strength. However, while strong temperature gradients are widely accepted as the primary driver for kinetic growth, they do not fully account for the range of experimental observations. An additional factor influencing snow metamorphism is believed to be the rate of mass transfer at the macroscale.

We develop a mixture theory capable of predicting macroscale deposition and/or sublimation in a snow cover under temperature gradient conditions. Temperature gradients and mass exchange are tracked over periods ranging from 1 to 10 days. Interesting heat and mass transfer behavior is observed near the ground, near the surface, as well as immediately above and below dense ice crusts. Information about deposition (condensation) and sublimation rates may help explain snow metamorphism phenomena that cannot be accounted for by temperature gradients alone.

The macroscale heat and mass transfer analysis requires accurate representations of the thermal conductivity and the effective mass diffusion coefficient for snow. We develop analytical models for these parameters based on first principles at the microscale. The expressions derived contain no empirical adjustments, and further, provide self consistent values for thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient for the limiting cases of air and solid ice. The predicted values for these macroscale material parameters are also in excellent agreement with numerical results based on microscale finite element analyses of representative volume elements generated from X-ray tomography.

1 Introduction

The thermodynamically active nature of snow, coupled with unusual high porosities, poses significant challenges to modeling heat and mass transfer in a snow cover. A primary driver
in much of the research on this subject has been efforts to explain the evolving microstructure of snow that often occurs in a matter of hours or days. Notably, snow metamorphism, induced by strong temperature gradients in a snow cover, is known to produce a highly faceted microstructure, the presence of which results in extremely weak layers in a snow cover. Weak layers have been observed near the ground, near the surface, as well as above and below dense layers (e.g., ice crusts) within a snow cover.

While strong temperature gradients are widely accepted as the primary driver in temperature gradient metamorphism (TGM), they do not fully account for the range of experimental observations. For instance, slightly faceted crystal growth has been observed at low temperature gradients (3 K m\(^{-1}\)) where rounded grains from sintering have normally been observed (Flin and Brzoska 2008). In contrast, Pinzer and Schneebeli (2009) note that rounded grain forms have been observed in surface layers under temperature gradient conditions subjected to alternating temperature gradients of opposite direction.

An additional factor influencing snow metamorphism is believed to be the rate of mass transfer at the macroscale. The influence of mass transfer at the macroscale is often neglected for the simple fact that deposition (condensation) and sublimation rates caused by vapor diffusion and phase changes are not known in a typical macroscale analysis. Vapor diffusion and the associated phase changes at the macroscale pose modeling challenges in that it forces the macroscopic analysis toward a mixture theory where the ice and humid air constituents retain their identity. Mixture theory itself is a subject that has yet to fully mature and many open questions remain.

Implementing a macroscopic continuum mixture theory to elucidate the coupled heat and mass transfer phenomena occurring in snow is the central focus of this paper. We study the effects of mass transfer near the ground, near the surface including diurnal temperature effects, as well as adjacent to an ice crust within the snow cover. Heat and mass transfer rates are tracked over several different time periods ranging up to 10 days.

The mixture theory analysis developed here-in requires an accurate assessment of macroscopic properties for thermal conductivity and the effective mass diffusion coefficient for snow. Determining these parameters requires an analysis of heat and mass transfer at
the microscale. A major challenge in microstructural studies of snow metamorphism is the 
extremely complex three-dimensional structure of the ice phase.

Historically, generating an accurate geometric representation of the microstructure of 
snow and further connecting it to a subsequent heat and mass transfer analysis was simply 
not possible. However, in the last two decades, the use of X-ray computed tomography has 
profoundly altered experimental and theoretical research for snow at the microstructural 
level. Not only can one accurately capture the true 3-D snow microstructure, the evolution 
of the microstructure may be monitored in real time as metamorphism occurs. Furthermore, 
finite element analysis may be coupled to experimentally produced 3-D microstructures to 
model heat and mass transfer at the local scale.

High fidelity microscale numerical models, coupled with X-ray computer tomography, 
have been utilized by Riche and Schneebeli (2013) and Calonne et al. (2011) for predictions 
of macroscale thermal conductivity. Pinzer et al. (2012) and Flin and Brzoska (2008) use 
finite element analysis with X-ray tomography to address vapor diffusion. Evolution 
of the snow microstructure and determining an effective diffusion coefficient for snow are 
among their notable contributions.

We believe the finite element predictions based on computer generated X-ray to- 
mography snow structures provide an excellent foundation for determining material prop-
eries for thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient for snow. However, in-
stead of utilizing finite element micromechanics to generate macroscale material properties, 
we rely on an interesting mathematical model developed by Foslien (1994). The analytical 
model produces results for thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient for 
snow that are in remarkable agreement with the finite element predictions cited above. The 
model also accounts for thermal conductivity and effective diffusion coefficient properties 
over the entire range of densities and temperatures possible for snow. The strong corre-
lation of thermal conductivity and diffusion coefficient for snow lends confidence to using 
parameters based on the model of Foslien in the macroscopic mixture theory analysis de-
veloped here-in.
2 Reflections on geometric scales: microscale vs. macroscale variables

The critical heat and mass transfer mechanisms for snow metamorphism play out at two distinctly different geometric and time scales. At the microscale (on the order of millimeters) snow exhibits an extremely complex and evolving microstructure consisting of ice grains and humid air. At the macroscale, the geometric scale of interest is associated with the depth of the snow cover (typically on the order of meters). Macroscopic variables of interest include density, temperature, temperature gradient, as well as the mass flux of water vapor and the resulting deposition and sublimation that will occur within a snow cover. These macroscopic variables are fundamental drivers for snow structure evolution occurring at the microscale, thereby coupling local phenomena driving snow metamorphism with macroscale heat and mass transfer.

When developing a theory that transcends multiple geometric scales, attention must be paid to the transition from the microscale to the macroscale, commonly referred to as homogenization. An implicit requirement necessary for homogenization in an upscale process is appropriate separation of scales, both from a geometric and physical viewpoint. Auriault et al. (2009) provide extensive discussion of necessary conditions required for separation of scales, all of which are satisfied for the present work.

A notable aspect of the present homogenization process is that a mixture theory is introduced by defining snow at the macroscale to be a mixture composed of an ice constituent and a humid air constituent. The constituent variables may, in turn, be appropriately averaged to obtain the macroscale snow field variables. Allowing the constituents to retain their identity provides a vehicle to study mass transfer due to condensation and sublimation at the macroscale.

As a means of formalizing an upscaling process for snow, the concept of a representative volume element (RVE) is introduced. The RVE must be of sufficient size such that volume averages of the constituent variables do not change as the volume is increased.

Given an RVE, let $\phi_{\alpha}$ denote the volume fraction of constituent $\alpha$. The mixture constituents are immiscible and the constituent volume fractions are space filling, leading to
the relation

\[ \phi_i + \phi_{ha} = 1, \quad (1) \]

where subscripts (i) and (ha) denote the ice and humid air constituents, respectively.

The density of snow, \( \rho \), is defined by the volume average of the local (microscale) density field, \( \gamma_m(x) \), that varies throughout the RVE, i.e.,

\[ \rho = \frac{1}{V} \int_V \gamma_m(x) \, dV, \quad (2) \]

where, for clarity, the local density may be expressed as

\[ \gamma_m(x) = \gamma_i \chi_i(x) + \gamma_{ha} (1 - \chi_i(x)) \quad (3) \]

in terms of the indicator function \( \chi_i(x) \) of the ice phase. The subscript (m) on the local density field is used to emphasize that the variable is defined at the microscale.
In the case of a mixture, the integral of Eq. (2) may be broken into an ice domain and a humid air domain as

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{V} \int_{V_i} \gamma_m(x) \, dV + \frac{1}{V} \int_{V_{ha}} \gamma_m(x) \, dV. \tag{4}
\]

Moreover, the following macroscale constituent densities are introduced as

\[
\gamma_i = \frac{1}{V_i} \int_{V_i} \gamma_m(x) \, dV, \tag{5}
\]

and

\[
\gamma_{ha} = \frac{1}{V_{ha}} \int_{V_{ha}} \gamma_m(x) \, dV. \tag{6}
\]

Noting Eqs. (4)–(6) leads to a volume average expression for the density of snow given by

\[
\rho = \phi_i \gamma_i + \phi_{ha} \gamma_{ha}. \tag{7}
\]

We emphasize that the mixture formulation is defined entirely at the macroscale. Hence, all variables in Eq. (7) represent macroscale quantities.

Following Özdemir et al. (2008), we introduce heat transfer variables into the micro-macro upscaling process by defining the macroscopic heat capacity as

\[
(\rho C^V) = \frac{1}{V} \int \gamma_m(C^V_m) \, dV, \tag{8}
\]

where \(C^V\) is the specific heat at constant volume. This equation provides a definition for the specific heat of snow yielding consistent values of heat capacity at both scales. Following
the same development as for the density of snow leads to the relation
\[(\rho C^V) = \phi_i (\gamma_i C^V_i) + \phi_{ha} (\gamma_{ha} C^V_{ha}), \quad \quad (9)\]
where the heat capacity for constituent \(\alpha\) is given by
\[(\gamma_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}^V) = \frac{1}{V_{\alpha}} \int_{V_{\alpha}} \gamma_m (C^V) m \, dV. \quad \quad (10)\]

Özdemir et al. (2008) further enforces consistency of the stored heat at the microscale and macroscale through the relation
\[(\rho C^V) \theta = \frac{1}{V} \int_{V} \gamma_m (C^V) m \theta_m dV, \quad \quad (11)\]
where \(\theta_m\) and \(\theta\) represent the local temperature and macroscale temperature, respectively. Again, the integral of Eq. (11) may be separated into an ice constituent and a humid air constituent as
\[(\rho C^V) = \phi_i \left( \frac{1}{V_i} \int_{V_i} \gamma_m (C^V) m \theta_m dV \right) + \phi_{ha} \left( \frac{1}{V_{ha}} \int_{V_{ha}} \gamma_m (C^V) m \theta_m dV \right). \quad \quad (12)\]

Constituent temperatures, \(\theta_i\) and \(\theta_{ha}\), are introduced through the relations
\[\gamma_i C^V_i \theta_i = \frac{1}{V_i} \int_{V_i} \gamma_m (C^V) m \theta_m dV, \quad \quad (13)\]
and
\[\gamma_{ha} C^V_{ha} \theta_{ha} = \frac{1}{V_{ha}} \int_{V_{ha}} \gamma_m (C^V) m \theta_m dV. \quad \quad (14)\]

The heat capacity of the ice constituent is spatially homogeneous is heterogeneous at the microscale but homogeneous in the ice phase, leading to a volume average temperature
for ice given by

$$\theta_i = \frac{1}{V_i} \int_{V_i} \theta_m dV.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

For the range of temperatures of interest, the mass fraction of water vapor in dry air is on the order of $10^{-3}$. Hence, the thermal properties of the humid air may be taken to be those of dry air and the heat capacity of dry air is constant for the temperature variations seen at the microscale. This condition leads to a volume average definition for the temperature of the humid air constituent given by

$$\theta_{ha} = \frac{1}{V_{ha}} \int_{V_{ha}} \theta_m dV.$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

The temperature of snow may be determined from

$$\left(\rho C^V\right) \theta = \phi_i \left(\gamma_i C_i^V\right) \theta_i + \phi_{ha} \left(\gamma_{ha} C_{ha}^V\right) \theta_{ha}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

Hence, the temperature of snow does not follow the constituent volume averaging found for the heat capacity (Eq. 9) and the density (Eq. 4) but rather is based on a volume average weighted by the constituent heat capacities.

The temperature gradient at the microscale is a critical parameter driving temperature gradient metamorphism. To this end, we introduce volume averaged temperature gradients for the ice and humid air constituents as

$$\nabla \theta_i \text{ ice temperature gradient, and}$$

$$\nabla \theta_{ha} \text{ humid air temperature gradient,}$$
where, for example,
\[
\nabla \theta_i = \frac{1}{V_i} \int_{V_i} \nabla_x \theta_m(x) \, dV.
\]

(18)

The subscript \( x \) on the gradient operator in Eq. (18) is used to emphasize the gradient applies at the microscale.

Given appropriate boundary conditions for the RVE, the macroscale temperature gradient for snow satisfies the volume weighted averaging:
\[
\nabla \theta = \phi_i \nabla \theta_i + \phi_{ha} \nabla \theta_{ha}.
\]

(19)

Özdemir et al. (2008) develop the specific boundary conditions for the RVE that are necessary to satisfy Eq. (19). These boundary conditions are precisely the ones used by Pinzer et al. (2012) and Riche and Schneebeli (2013) in their finite element analyses of heat and mass transfer at the microscale.

Finally, it is extremely important to recognize differences in behavior between local (microscale) temperature gradients and the volume averaged macroscale temperature gradient. For instance, Pinzer et al. (2012) provide a figure of the local temperature gradients in an RVE for an applied macroscale temperature gradient of 50 K m\(^{-1}\). The color bar for the microscale temperature gradient indicates local values of the temperature gradient are as high as 300 K m\(^{-1}\). The high local values of the temperature gradient compared to the macroscopic temperature gradient must be kept in mind when interpreting macroscopic results, as it is the local temperature gradients that drive metamorphism. Hence, when macroscale temperature gradients are presented as computed by the mixture theory analysis, it is not unreasonable to assume the microscale temperature gradients may be an order of magnitude higher in some areas of the RVE.

3 A mixture theory model for macroscale heat and mass transfer
The common phase changes occurring in snow have motivated several studies using variants of mixture theories. Morland et al. (1990) and Bader and Weilenmann (1992) developed a 4 constituent mixture theory for snow where one of the constituents was water. Phenomena such as percolation, melting, and freezing are addressed, and momentum balance plays a significant role in the work. The present work does not involve momentum balance, nor does it allow for a water constituent.

Gray and Morland (1994) developed a mixture theory for dry snow based on constituents of ice and dry air. Their work is in sharp contrast to the present study where water vapor is a critical component of the development. Indeed, the emphasis of the present work is the prediction of deposition and/or sublimation of water vapor at the macroscale.

Adams and Brown (1990) studied heat and mass transfer in snow using a classical form of mixture theory. In contrast, where water vapor was included. Their work focused on non-equilibrium conditions of the constituents whereas the present work is based on equilibrium of constituent temperatures and a saturated vapor density. Equilibrium versus non-equilibrium conditions amounts to a focus on different time scales.

Aside from the different areas of emphasis in the study of phase change phenomena in snow, the mixture theories cited are based on a classical theory of mixtures whereas the present work is largely based on a volume fraction mixture theory (Hansen et al. (1991)). The volume fraction theory analysis presented here largely follows the development of Hansen et al. (1991) and is referred to as a volume fraction theory. The theory produces the same balance equations found in the classical developments of mixture theory. However, the summed constituent balance equations are not forced to reduce to those of a single continuum except for the special case of a nondiffusing mixture. As a result of relaxing this constraint, the physical definitions of mixture variables as well as the constraints on mass, momentum, and energy interaction terms assume more appealing forms. We rely on the physical arguments of Sect. 2 to define mixture quantities of interest.

Albert and McGilvary (1992) incorporated the effects of mass diffusion in a heat and mass transfer analysis of snow centered on natural convection and the phenomenon known as wind pumping. The equations developed involve a velocity of the humid air and
conditions where the vapor density is not saturated. These conditions only occur in snow under extreme circumstances.

Foslien (1994) performed a dimensional analysis of the conditions needed for natural convection and showed the Rayleigh number for typical snow conditions was 1–2 orders of magnitude below what is needed for the onset of natural convection. As a consequence, natural convection is not considered and the present paper develops a theory with no air velocity, and further, a saturated vapor density.

The work of Calonne et al. (2014a) is perhaps the most closely related to the present work in that they developed the governing equations for macroscopic heat and water vapor transfer in dry snow by homogenization involving a multiscale expansion. We draw comparisons of their work for the governing macroscale equations as well as the expressions for thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient in snow.

A unique aspect of the present approach is that analytical models, grounded in first principles at the microscale, are developed for the effective thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient in snow. By starting at the microscale, albeit with idealized microstructures, we are afforded the advantage of using the true thermal conductivities of ice ($k_i$) and humid air ($k_{ba}$) as well as the known diffusion coefficient of water vapor in air ($D_{v-a}$). The resulting models for the thermal conductivity of snow and the effective diffusion coefficient for snow contain no empirical adjustments and are in remarkable agreement with high fidelity numerical predictions of these parameters based on snow microstructures obtained from X-ray tomography. The models also generate an analytical description of the separation of heat transfer due to mass diffusion and heat transfer due to conduction.

Consistent with the discussion on homogenization, we consider snow at the macroscale to be a two-constituent mixture consisting of ice and humid air. The humid air itself is treated as a mixture of water vapor and air. A schematic of the mixture theory analysis is shown in Fig. ??.
For the temperatures and pressures encountered in snow, the humid air may be treated as a mixture of two ideal gases where each gas occupies the same volume, i.e.,

\[ \phi_{ha} = \phi_v = \phi_a, \quad (20) \]

where subscripts (v) and (a) represent water vapor and dry air, respectively. An important consequence of representing the humid air as a mixture of ideal gases is that both the water vapor and the air behave as though the other gas is not present, thereby greatly simplifying the analysis and allowing one to draw on classical results for ideal gases.

The balance equations for mass, momentum, and energy for a constituent, \( \alpha \), are given by \cite{Hansen1989, Hansen1991}:

**Mass balance**

\[ \frac{\partial \rho_\alpha}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_\alpha v_\alpha) = \hat{c}_\alpha, \quad (21) \]

**Momentum balance**

\[ \rho_\alpha a_\alpha = \nabla \cdot T_\alpha + \rho_\alpha g + \hat{p}_\alpha, \quad (22) \]

**Energy balance**

\[ \rho_\alpha u_\alpha = tr(T_\alpha \cdot L_\alpha) + \rho_\alpha r_\alpha - \nabla \cdot q_\alpha + \hat{e}_\alpha. \quad (23) \]

In the above, \( v_\alpha \) and \( a_\alpha \) represent the velocity and acceleration of constituent \( \alpha \), respectively, while \( L_\alpha \) represents the velocity gradient; \( u_\alpha \) is the internal energy, \( r_\alpha \) is the heat supply (notably radiation), and \( g \) is the gravity vector. The dispersed density of constituent \( \alpha \) is denoted by \( \rho_\alpha \) and is related to the true density, \( \gamma_\alpha \), as

\[ \rho_\alpha = \phi_\alpha \gamma_\alpha. \quad (24) \]

Whereas the volume fraction, \( \phi_\alpha \), appears explicitly in the definition of the dispersed density, \( \rho_\alpha \), the partial stress, \( T_\alpha \), and the energy flux, \( q_\alpha \), are implicitly scaled by the volume fraction.
fraction. Finally, $\hat{c}_\alpha$, $\hat{p}_\alpha$, and $\hat{e}_\alpha$ represent mass, momentum, and energy supply terms that arise from interactions between constituents. Following [Hansen et al. (1991)], the mixture theory supply terms satisfy the appealing restrictions

\[
\sum_{\alpha} \hat{c}_\alpha = 0, \tag{25}
\]

\[
\sum_{\alpha} \hat{p}_\alpha = 0, \tag{26}
\]

and

\[
\sum_{\alpha} \hat{e}_\alpha = 0. \tag{27}
\]

In what follows, the mixture theory balance equations are further specialized to study the macroscale coupled heat and mass transfer problem for snow.

### 3.1 Ice constituent mass balance

The balance of mass for the ice phase is given by

\[
\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{v}_i) = \hat{c}_i \tag{28}
\]

Assuming the mass supply is positive during condensation, we can write

\[
\hat{c} = \hat{c}_i = -\hat{c}_{\text{ha}}. \tag{29}
\]

Neglecting any settling velocity leads to a mass balance for the ice constituent given by

\[
\gamma_i \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t} = \hat{c}, \tag{30}
\]

where the mass density of ice is taken as constant at 917 kg m$^{-3}$. 
3.2 Water vapor mass balance

The development of the humid air mass balance differs from that of the ice constituent in that we begin at the microscale. Furthermore, only the mass balance of the water vapor is considered because the air acts only as a medium through which the water vapor diffuses.

Mass transfer of the water vapor may be expressed as (Bird and Lightfoot, 1960)

\[ \gamma_v v_v = \gamma_v \left( \gamma_a v_a + \gamma_v v_v \right) + j_v. \]  

(31)

Equation (31) says that the mass flux of the water vapor is due to the bulk fluid motion (the barycentric velocity) plus a relative velocity due to diffusion. In the absence of a pressure gradient, the barycentric velocity is zero, i.e.,

\[ \gamma_{ha} v_{ha} = \left( \gamma_a v_a + \gamma_v v_v \right) = 0. \]  

(32)

Mass balance due to diffusion may be expressed in the form of Fick’s law (Bird and Lightfoot, 1960) as

\[ j_v = -\gamma_{ha} D_{v-a} \nabla_x \left( \frac{\gamma_v}{\gamma_{ha}} \right), \]  

(33)

where \( D_{v-a} \) is the binary diffusion coefficient for water vapor in air and \( \nabla_x \) denotes the gradient operator at the microscale.

The diffusive flux can be expanded to give

\[ j_v = -D_{v-a} \nabla_x \gamma_v + \frac{\gamma_v}{\gamma_{ha}} D_{v-a} \nabla_x \gamma_{ha}, \]  

(34)

but the second term on the right is negligibly small because the mass fraction of saturated water vapor in air at 273 K is about \( 4(10)^{-3} \). Hence, mass transfer of water vapor at the microscale may be described by

\[ \gamma_v v_v = -D_{v-a} \nabla_x \gamma_v. \]  

(35)

In the transition to the macroscale, the same physical principles apply but one must now use an effective diffusion coefficient for water vapor. The need to introduce an effective diffusion
coefficient for water vapor is attributed to the presence of the ice microstructure in snow. Specifically, the presence of the ice constituent introduces vapor transfer mechanisms that both enhance and retard mass transfer of water vapor when compared to a medium of humid air only. These mass transfer mechanisms are briefly discussed in Sect. 5.3.

Defining $D_s$ as the effective diffusion coefficient for the humid air constituent at the macroscale, there follows

$$\phi_v \gamma_v \nu_v = \rho_v \nu_v = -D_s \nabla \gamma_v,$$

where $\nu_v$ and $\gamma_v$ now represent appropriately volume averaged macroscale variables. Note that the mass flux of water vapor is based on the dispersed density, $\rho_v$, in order to account for the reduced volume occupied by the humid air in the mixture. Finally, since only the humid air constituent is associated with diffusion in a mixture of ice and humid air, $D_s$ also represents the effective diffusion coefficient for snow.
Again, noting air is simply the medium for mass transfer of water vapor, the balance of mass for the vapor phase may be written as

$$\frac{\partial \rho_v}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_v \mathbf{v}_v) = \hat{c}_v. \quad (37)$$

Substitution of the diffusive flux into Eq. (37) and noting $\hat{c}_v = \hat{c}_{ha} = -\hat{c}$ leads to

$$\frac{\partial \rho_v}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (D_s \nabla \gamma_v) = -\hat{c}. \quad (38)$$

Expanding the time derivative of the dispersed density of the water vapor gives

$$\frac{\partial \rho_v}{\partial t} = \gamma_v \frac{\partial \phi_v}{\partial t} + \phi_v \frac{\partial \gamma_v}{\partial t}, \quad (39)$$

but

$$\frac{\partial \phi_v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \phi_{ha}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial t}. \quad (40)$$

The above results, along with the mass balance for the ice constituent (Eq. 30), can be used to write Eq. (38) as

$$\phi_v \frac{\partial \gamma_v}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (D_s \nabla \gamma_v) = \hat{c} \left( \frac{\gamma_v}{\gamma_i} - 1 \right), \quad (41)$$

but the quantity $\frac{\gamma_v}{\gamma_i} \ll 1$. Neglecting this term and noting $\phi_v = \phi_{ha}$, the mass balance equation for the water vapor becomes

$$\phi_{ha} \frac{\partial \gamma_v}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (D_s \nabla \gamma_v) = -\hat{c}. \quad (42)$$

Equation (42) states that changes in the water vapor density at the macroscale are due to the divergence of the water vapor flux and sublimation or condensation as defined through the mass supply.
3.3 Momentum balance

The momentum balance for the ice phase can be used to find the stress and strain in the ice phase. However, the effect that the ice stress has on the vapor density of the water is neglected, so the ice phase momentum balance is not considered further.

The momentum balance for the humid air phase becomes important when bulk fluid motion occurs as in the case of natural convection. Foslien (1994) has shown the Rayleigh number for a typical snow cover is more than an order of magnitude below the critical value for the onset of convection, so convection is unlikely to occur except in extreme circumstances. Therefore, the momentum balance of the humid air phase is not considered further.

3.4 Energy balance for the ice constituent

The energy balance for the ice constituent may be expressed at the macroscale as

$$\rho_i \dot{u}_i = tr (T_i \cdot L_i) + \rho_i r_i - \nabla \cdot q_i + \hat{e}_i. \quad (43)$$

In the above, any velocity gradient in the ice, $L_i$, is attributed to settling and may be neglected. Moreover, heat generation from solar radiation is also neglected but could easily be included as Colbeck (1989) and McComb et al. (1992) have done. These assumptions reduce the energy balance for ice to

$$\rho_i \dot{u}_i = -\nabla \cdot q_i + \hat{e}_i. \quad (44)$$

The internal energy of the non-deforming ice is assumed to be a function of temperature only and is given by

$$u_i = C_i^V (\theta_i - \theta_{\text{ref}}), \quad (45)$$

where $C_i^V$ is the specific heat of ice at constant volume and $\theta_{\text{ref}}$ is the reference temperature. The heat flux at the macroscale is expressed as Fourier's law of heat conduction
as

\[ q_i = -\phi_i k_i^{\text{eff}} \nabla \theta_i, \quad (46) \]

where \( k_i^{\text{eff}} \) is the effective thermal conductivity for the ice phase \( k_i^{\text{eff}} \) is the effective thermal conductivity for the ice phase at the macroscale in snow. This parameter should not be confused with the thermal conductivity of pure ice \( k_i \) as differences arise due to the microstructure of ice implicitly present complex microstructural network of the ice phase in snow. The tortuosity of the ice phase, for example, plays a role in \( k_i^{\text{eff}} \). The only microstructure where \( k_i \) and \( k_i^{\text{eff}} \) would be equal for one-dimensional heat transfer would be the pore microstructure discussed in the present paper. In a 3-D analysis of snow, the two parameters are fundamentally different.

Combining Eqs. (44)–(46), the energy balance for the ice phase is given by

\[ \phi_i \gamma_i C_i^V \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left( \phi_i k_i^{\text{eff}} \nabla \theta_i \right) + \hat{e}_i. \quad (47) \]

### 3.5 Humid air constituent energy balance

As with the ice phase, the work term and the energy source term of the humid air constituent are neglected, thereby reducing the energy equation to

\[ \rho_{ha} \dot{u}_{ha} = -\nabla \cdot q_{ha} + \hat{e}_{ha}. \quad (48) \]

The internal energy for the humid air mixture of ideal gases is given by

\[ \gamma_{ha} \dot{u}_{ha} = \gamma_a C_a^V (\theta_{ha} - \theta_{\text{ref}}) + \gamma_v (C_v^V (\theta_{ha} - \theta_{\text{ref}}) + u_{sg}), \quad (49) \]

where \( u_{sg} \) is the internal energy change when water changes from a solid to a vapor latent heat of sublimation of ice. The above assumes the reference value of the internal energy of ice was set to zero as was the case.
The definition of the energy flux vector for water vapor a mixture may be written as (Bird and Lightfoot, 1960):

\[ q = q^c + q^d, \tag{50} \]

where \( q^c \) is the conductive flux and \( q^d \) represents a "contribution from the interdiffusion of various species present." In the case of a mixture of water vapor and air, the energy flux is given by

\[ q_{ha} = -k_{ha} \nabla \theta_{ha} + u_{sg} \gamma_v v_v, \tag{51} \]

where \( \gamma_v v_v \) is the mass flux of water vapor diffusing through air (Bird and Lightfoot, 1960).

Now consider snow at the macroscale composed of a mixture of humid air and ice. At this scale, Eq. (51) must be modified as

\[ q_{ha} = -\phi_{ha} k_{ha}^\text{eff} \nabla \theta_{ha} + \phi_{ha} u_{sg} \gamma_v v_v. \tag{52} \]

The interpretation of the volume fraction in each term on the right-hand-side of the above equation is clear when one views the energy flux across a surface of a macroscale control volume of snow. Specifically, the true energy flux of humid air must be scaled by the area fraction of the humid air at the control surface. From quantitative stereology, the area fraction is equal to the volume fraction, resulting in Eq. (52).

Noting Eq. (36), mass transfer of the humid air may be expressed as a diffusive flux, leading to

\[ q_{ha} = -\phi_{ha} k_{ha}^\text{eff} \nabla \theta_{ha} - u_{sg} D_s \nabla \gamma_v. \tag{53} \]

Again, where \( D_s \) represents an effective diffusion coefficient for snow.

As in the case of the ice phase, one must recognize that \( k_{ha}^\text{eff} \) and \( D_s \) represent the apparent effective thermal conductivity for of the humid air and the effective
diffusion coefficient for snow, respectively; and these parameters apply at the macroscale in snow and this parameter is different from the true thermal conductivity of humid air as a pure substance. The difference in the two parameters is again attributed to the complex microstructure of the humid air phase in snow. In brief, just as the thermal conductivity of snow, $k_s$ is influenced by microstructure, so are $k_{\text{eff}}^i$ and $k_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ha}}$ as all three parameters are macroscale quantities. As such, they depend on a host of microstructural variables other than temperature.

Substituting Eqs. (49) and (53) into Eq. (48) leads to

$$\phi_{\text{ha}} \left( \gamma_a C_a^V + \gamma_v C_v^V \right) \frac{\partial \theta_{\text{ha}}}{\partial t} + u_{\text{sg}} \left( \phi_{\text{ha}} \frac{\partial \gamma_v}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (D_s \nabla \gamma_v) \right) = \nabla \cdot \left( \phi_{\text{ha}} k_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ha}} \nabla \theta_{\text{ha}} \right) + \hat{e}_{\text{ha}},$$

(54)

but

$$\hat{e} = \nabla \cdot (D_s \nabla \gamma_v) - \phi_{\text{ha}} \frac{\partial \gamma_v}{\partial t},$$

(55)

from the mass balance of the water vapor given by Eq. (42). Therefore, Eq. (54) governing the energy balance of humid air assumes the form

$$\phi_{\text{ha}} \left( \gamma_a C_a^V + \gamma_v C_v^V \right) \frac{\partial \theta_{\text{ha}}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left( \phi_{\text{ha}} k_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ha}} \nabla \theta_{\text{ha}} \right) + \hat{e}_{\text{ha}} + u_{\text{sg}} \hat{e}.$$

(56)

Hence, the change in internal energy for the humid air is attributed to the divergence of the heat flux, energy exchange with the ice constituent through the energy supply, and energy exchange through phase changes accounted for by the mass supply.

4 Separation of scales: macroscale observations

In this section, we discuss some observations that lead to important simplifications in the macroscale heat and mass transfer solution. Moreover, we demonstrate separation of the time scales for local and global heat and mass transfer, a condition required for homogenization.
4.1 Macroscale temperatures

An important simplification in the analysis of heat and mass transfer at the \textit{macroscale} is to assume the constituent temperatures are equal and write

\[ \theta = \theta_i = \theta_{ha}, \]

where \( \theta \) is the macroscale temperature of snow. Justification for assuming the ice and humid air temperatures are equal starts by writing a one-dimensional heat conduction equation at the microscale given by

\[ \frac{\partial \theta_\alpha}{\partial t} = \left( \frac{k_\alpha}{\gamma_\alpha C_\alpha^V} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \theta_\alpha}{\partial x^2}. \]  

(57)

Equation (57) is non-dimensionalized by introducing the following dimensionless variables:

\[ t^* = \frac{t}{t_o}, \quad x^* = \frac{x}{L_c}, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^* = \frac{\theta - \theta_{\text{init}}}{\theta_f - \theta_{\text{init}}}. \]

The resulting non-dimensional equation is

\[ \frac{\partial \theta^*}{\partial t^*} = \left( \frac{t_o k_\alpha}{L_c^2 \gamma_\alpha C_\alpha^V} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \theta^*}{\partial x^*^2}. \]  

(58)

The time scale, \( t^*_\text{micro} \), for heat conduction on the microscale is introduced as

\[ t^*_\text{micro} = \frac{\gamma_\alpha C_\alpha^V L_c^2}{k_\alpha}. \]  

(59)

The time scale, \( t^*_\text{macro} \), for heat conduction in a snow cover is similarly defined as

\[ t^*_\text{macro} = \left( \frac{\phi_i \gamma_i C_i^V + \phi_{ha} \gamma_{ha} C_{ha}^V}{k_s} \right) H^2, \]  

(60)

where \( H \) is the height of the snowpack and \( k_s \) represents the thermal conductivity for snow.
Riche and Schneebeli (2013) provide an expression for the thermal conductivity of snow as a function of snow density. Assuming a snow density of 200 kg m$^{-3}$, a depth of one meter, and a microscale characteristic length of one mm (Christon, 1990), the ratio of the time scale for heat conduction on the macroscale of the snowpack to the time scale for heat conduction on the microscale is on the order of $10^6$ which suggests that macroscale thermal equilibrium between the ice and humid air constituents is a good assumption. Moreover, the large separation of scales in the time domain is consistent with the discussion of Auriault et al. (2009) regarding separation of time scales necessary for homogenization.

The assumption of uniform constituent temperatures at the macroscale should not be confused with the local (microscale) temperature. Under a macroscale temperature gradient, local constituent temperatures in the interior of the RVE differ due to different thermal conductivities of the ice and humid air. Further, temperature gradients within individual constituents are also present at the microscale. A warmer ice grain is separated from a colder ice grain by pore space, for example. These temperature differentials drive the mass transfer process at the microscale. Again, an excellent insight into microscale thermal behavior is provided in Fig. 4 of Pinzer et al. (2012).

Thermal equilibrium of the ice and humid air constituents at the macroscale allows the constituent energy equations, (Eqs. 47 and 56), to be added together to yield an energy equation for snow with a single temperature as

$$
\left(\phi_{ha} \gamma_{ha} C^V_{ha} + \phi_i \gamma_i C^V_i \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (k_s \nabla \theta) + \hat{c} u_{sg},
$$

(61)

where $\theta$ is the temperature of the snow. Notably, the constituent energy supply terms sum to zero in the energy equation for snow and the volume averaged constituent effective thermal conductivities have been combined absorbed into a thermal conductivity for snow ($k_s$), as
\( k_s = \phi_i k_i^{\text{eff}} + \phi_{ha} k_{ha}^{\text{eff}}. \) \hspace{1cm} (62)

While the effective thermal conductivities, \( k_i^{\text{eff}} \) and \( k_{ha}^{\text{eff}} \), are never computed, it would be important to do so if one wanted to study non-equilibrium constituent temperatures on a short time scale with a mixture theory.

One can make a direct connection of \( k_i^{\text{eff}} \) and \( k_{ha}^{\text{eff}} \) with the work of Calonne et al. (2014a). Specifically, the effective thermal conductivity for snow is defined in Eqn. (25) of Calonne et al. (2014a) as

\[
k^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( \int_{\Omega_a} k_a (\nabla t_a + I) d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_i} k_i (\nabla t_i + I) d\Omega \right). \hspace{1cm} (63)
\]

The above equation may be rearranged as

\[
k^{\text{eff}} = \phi_a \frac{1}{|\Omega_a|} \int_{\Omega_a} k_a (\nabla t_a + I) d\Omega + \phi_i \frac{1}{|\Omega_i|} \int_{\Omega_i} k_i (\nabla t_i + I) d\Omega. \hspace{1cm} (64)
\]

Comparing Eqs. (62) and (64) provides a clear mathematical interpretation of \( k_i^{\text{eff}} \) and \( k_{ha}^{\text{eff}} \) as

\[
k_{ha}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{|\Omega_a|} \int_{\Omega_a} k_a (\nabla t_a + I) d\Omega, \hspace{1cm} (65)
\]
Finally, recent research work has shown the thermal conductivity of snow to be anisotropic, see for example Schertzer and Adams (2011) and Riche and Schneebeli (2013). We avoid this complexity at present as it becomes a non-issue for the one-dimensional heat and mass transfer theory developed subsequently.

To summarize, the governing equations for heat and water vapor transfer in snow are given by Eqs. (42) and (61). These equations are identical to macroscale equations developed by Calonne et al. (2014a) through a description at the pore scale using the homogenization of multiple scale expansions. The equality is best shown by multiplying the right-hand-side of Eq. (20) in Calonne by \( \left( \rho_{i}/\rho_{i} \right) \) and relabeling \( L_{sg}/\rho_{i} \) as \( u_{sg} \), resulting in Eq. (61) of the present paper. Eq. (42) is already identical in form to Eq. (21) of Calonne et al. (2014a).

While the equations of Foslien (1994) and Calonne et al. (2014a) governing the macroscale response of heat and mass transfer in snow are identical, the emphasis of Calonne’s work is on upscaling whereas the present paper focuses on solutions of the macroscale behavior. We also address similarities and differences in the calculation of thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient for snow, critical parameters affecting macroscale sublimation and deposition rates in a snow cover.

### 4.2 Saturated vapor density at the macroscale

A physical interpretation of the mass supply term, \( \hat{C} \), is the mass rate at which water vapor is condensing to form ice per unit volume of snow. Hobbs (1974) provides an expression for the condensation of water vapor to ice driven by a difference in the vapor pressure and the
saturated vapor pressure over ice, \( (p - p^{\text{sat}}) \), as

\[
\frac{\alpha_c m_{\text{mol}} (p - p^{\text{sat}})}{(2\pi m_{\text{mol}} \Omega \theta)^{1/2}} \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1},
\]

where \( m_{\text{mol}} \) is the mass per molecule of water, \( \Omega \) is Boltzmann’s constant, and \( \alpha_c \) is the condensation coefficient.

Multiplying the above expression by the specific surface area of snow, \( \xi \), and utilizing the ideal gas law for water vapor provides an explicit expression for the mass supply driven by a difference in vapor density given by

\[
\hat{c} = \frac{\xi R \theta \alpha_c m_{\text{mol}} (\gamma - \gamma^{\text{sat}})}{(2\pi m_{\text{mol}} \Omega \theta)^{1/2}}. \tag{67}
\]

In the absence of diffusion, Eq. (67) can be combined with the mass balance equation (Eq. 42) for the water vapor as

\[
\phi_v \frac{\partial \gamma_v}{\partial t} = \frac{\xi R \theta \alpha_c m_{\text{mol}} (\gamma - \gamma^{\text{sat}})}{(2\pi m_{\text{mol}} \Omega \theta)^{1/2}}. \tag{68}
\]

If the saturated vapor density over the ice is held constant, the time for the vapor density difference between the pore density and the saturated vapor density to become 0.1% of the initial density difference can be computed. Following Foslien (1994), for \( \alpha_c \) measured by Delaney et al. (1964) to be 0.0144 for temperatures between 271 K and 260 K, the time for the vapor density in the pore to reach equilibrium is approximately \( 10^{-3} 1.1(10)^{-3} \) seconds. Hence, the vapor density in a pore can be assumed to be the saturated vapor density throughout the process of heat and mass transfer occurring at the macroscale where the time scale of interest is on the order of hours or days.
The knowledge that the vapor pressure-density may be assumed saturated in a macroscale analysis affords a critical simplification in the mixture theory analysis in that a constitutive law for the mass supply is no longer needed. Instead, the mass supply is computed from Eq. (42) by noting the water vapor is always saturated at the snow temperature, leading to

\[ \hat{c} = \nabla \cdot (D_s \nabla \gamma_{v}^{\text{sat}}) - \phi_{ha} \frac{\partial \gamma_{v}^{\text{sat}}}{\partial t}. \]

(69)

We emphasize that Eq. (67) is not utilized in the snowpack modeling of water vapor deposition and sublimation found in Sect. 6 as it is replaced by Eq. (69).
4.3 Formulation summary

At this point, we restrict the development to a one-dimensional model and write the energy equation, (Eq. 61), as

\[
(\phi_{ha} \gamma_{ha} C_{ha}^V + \phi_i \gamma_i C_i^V) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k_s \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) + \hat{c} u_{sg}. \tag{70}
\]

The mass supply equation, (Eq. 69), representing phase changes due to condensation or sublimation assumes the one-dimensional form

\[
\hat{c} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D_s \frac{\partial \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{\partial x} \right) - \phi_{ha} \frac{\partial \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{\partial t}. \tag{71}
\]

The saturated vapor density may be expressed as purely a function of temperature (Dorsey, 1968) leading to

\[
\frac{\partial \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{\partial x} = \frac{d \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{d \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{\partial t} = \frac{d \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{d \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}.
\]

Noting the above, the mass supply equation, (Eq. 71), is expressed as

\[
\hat{c} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D_s \frac{d \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{d \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) - \phi_{ha} \frac{d \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{d \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}. \tag{72}
\]

Finally, substituting Eq. (72) into Eq. (70) leads to a single partial differential equation governing the energy balance for snow given by

\[
\left( \phi_{ha} \gamma_{ha} C_{ha}^V + \phi_i \gamma_i C_i^V + u_{sg} \phi_{ha} \frac{d \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{d \theta} \right) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k_{s}^{\text{con+d}} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right), \tag{73}
\]

where

\[
k_{s}^{\text{con+d}} = k_s + u_{sg} D_s \frac{d \gamma_{\text{sat}}^v}{d \theta}. \tag{74}
\]

The thermal conductivity $k_{s}^{\text{con+d}}$ is the thermal conductivity that would be measured experimentally when studying heat transfer through a snow cover. It involves a thermal
conduction due to $k_{s, \text{con+d}}$ is the apparent effective thermal conductivity of snow that accounts for heat conduction, $k_s$, with an added term resulting from mass latent heat as well as energy transfer due to mass water vapor diffusion.

Equation (74) is useful to study the significance of heat transfer due to mass diffusion. However, rather than combining Eqs. (70) and (72) and solving Eq. (73), it is more insightful to solve Eqs. (70) and (72) separately. Retaining a separate equation for the mass supply allows one to quantify macroscale deposition and sublimation rates, a fundamental objective of the theory developed here-in.

5 Evaluation of the effective thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient for snow

Solution of the energy equation (Eq. 70) and the mass balance equation (Eq. 72) requires knowledge of macroscale parameters for thermal conductivity as well as the effective diffusion coefficient for snow. Calonne et al. (2011) and Riche and Schneebeli (2013) have performed extensive numerical studies using finite element analysis coupled with X-ray computer tomography to quantify the thermal conductivity for snow as a function of density at a fixed temperature. Calonne et al. (2011) also provide thermal conductivity predictions at two separate temperatures. Pinzer et al. (2012) performed similar and Christon et al. (1994) performed numerical studies aimed at determining the effective diffusion coefficient for snow. Calonne et al. (2014a) also used finite element micromechanics to predict an effective diffusion coefficient for snow although the specific numerical approach to evaluate this parameter followed a fundamentally different approach.

Regardless of the parameter being studied, a drawback of microscale finite element analysis (micromechanics) is that the results provide heat and mass transfer properties at a single temperature and density. Hence, a complete characterization of these parameters as a function of density and temperature requires a significant number of micromechanics solutions at multiple densities and temperatures followed by a curve fitting exercise.
Rather than relying on finite element micromechanics solutions, we present an analytical approach developed by Foslien (1994) to predict values for the thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient of snow. Foslien’s model has several attractive features including:

- excellent correlation with the cited finite element results for thermal conductivity and effective diffusion coefficient for snow,
- density effects are explicitly introduced in the analytical model through volume fractions while temperature effects appear implicitly through thermal conductivity properties for ice and air,
- the effects of mass diffusion on the energy flux are explicit and the relative influence on the energy flux is readily determined,
- the model provides self-consistent results for thermal conductivity and effective diffusion coefficient for the limiting cases of air and ice,
- the model is developed from first principles and contains no empirical coefficients of adjustment.

Foslien’s development begins by formulating microscale heat and mass transfer models for classic microstructures consisting of ice and humid air acting in parallel and series, respectively. Heat and mass transfer properties for snow are then proposed using arguments from quantitative stereology.

Figure ??a shows an ice matrix with humid air pores in parallel to an applied temperature gradient. In this pore microstructure, energy is transferred in parallel through the snowpack. The energy fluxes for the ice ($q_i$) and humid air ($q_{ha}$) constituents are simply added together to obtain the total energy flux through the snowpack. Because the thermal conductivity of ice is roughly 100 times larger than for the humid air, the ice phase plays a dominant role in heat transfer for this microstructure.
The second microstructure studied, referred to as a lamellae microstructure, consisted of ice and humid air layers oriented perpendicular to the energy flux, Fig. ??b. In this case, energy flows in series through the respective layers. Hence, the energy flux in the humid air constituent must equal the energy flux through the ice constituent. An interesting feature of mass transfer in the lamellae microstructure is that diffusion via the “hand to hand” model described by Yosida (1955) is naturally present and accounted for in the development. Specifically, diffusion is enhanced as the total path length for diffusion is reduced by the ice layer which acts as both a source and sink for water vapor.

The two microstructures studied by Foslien (1994) were first considered by de Quervain (1963) and produce two very different heat and mass transfer results that are believed to represent the extremes possible for ice and humid air mixtures.

5.1 Pore microstructure

Foslien’s heat and mass transfer analysis of the pore microstructure begins by writing energy flux expressions for the ice and humid air constituents at the macroscale. The energy
The energy flux of the ice is attributed to heat conduction leading to

$$q_i = -k_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}. \quad (75)$$

The energy flux of the humid air is attributed to conduction of the humid air and the mass flux of water vapor. Following Bird and Lightfoot (1960) we can write

$$q_{ha} = -k_{ha} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} - u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d\gamma_{sat}}{d\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}. \quad (76)$$

The energy flux of the pore microstructure is introduced as

$$q_{pore} = -k_{pore} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}, \quad (77)$$

Energy transfer in the pore microstructure occurs in parallel and the energy flux is simply the volume average of the energy fluxes of the ice and humid air leading to

$$k_{pore} = \phi_i k_i + \phi_{ha} k_{ha} + \phi_{ha} u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d\gamma_{sat}}{d\theta}. \quad (78)$$

5.2 Lamellae microstructure

The discontinuous nature of the lamellae microstructure in the direction of interest introduces a complexity in the spatial gradients as the constituent gradients must be defined with respect to a differential length, $dx_\alpha$. Hence the constituent energy fluxes assume the
form

\[ q_i = -k_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i}, \]  

(79)

and

\[ q_{ha} = -k_{ha} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{ha}} - u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d\gamma_{sat}}{d\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{ha}}. \]  

(80)

The average temperature gradient expressed in terms of the macroscale coordinate \( x \) is given by

\[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \phi_i \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_i} + \phi_{ha} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{ha}}. \]  

(81)

The energy flux through the lamellae microstructure is introduced as

\[ q_{lam} = -k_{lam} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}. \]  

(82)

Equations (79)–(82) may be combined to arrive at

\[ k_{lam} = \frac{k_i \left( k_{ha} + u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d\gamma_{sat}}{d\theta} \right)}{\phi_i \left( k_a + u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d\gamma_{sat}}{d\theta} \right) + \phi_{ha} k_i}. \]  

(83)

5.3 Snow properties

Foslien proposed an expression for the apparent effective thermal conductivity for snow accounting for heat conduction as well as energy transfer due to water vapor diffusion. The mixture theory development of Sections 3 and 4 leads to an expression for the apparent thermal conductivity given by Eq. (74) and repeated here as

\[ k_{s,con+d} = k_s + u_{sg} D_s \frac{d\gamma_{sat}}{d\theta}. \]  

(84)
The thermal conductivities of the pore and lamellae microstructures given by Eqs. (78) and (83), respectively, also include heat conduction as well as energy transfer due to mass diffusion. Using these expressions, Foslien (1994) proposed an apparent effective thermal conductivity for snow that includes energy transfer due to mass transport of water vapor given by

\[ k_{s}^{\text{con+d}} = \phi_{i}k_{\text{pore}} + \phi_{\text{ha}}k_{\text{lam}}. \]  

(85)

Justification for Eq. (85) is provided by considering a snow surface section as shown in Fig. ???. When a test line is arbitrarily drawn through the surface section, a fraction of the total length will pass through the ice constituent and the remainder will pass through the humid air constituent. If one imagines one-dimensional heat transfer occurring along the test line; anytime the line passes through ice, heat transfer along that portion of the line through the ice phase is dominated by the pore microstructural model microstructure where the thermal conductivity of ice plays the dominant role in energy transfer is nearly 100 times that of air. In contrast, anytime the test line passes through the humid air constituent, heat transfer is occurring in a manner similar to would be dominated by the lamellae microstructure. Finally, under conditions of isotropy, the lineal fraction as the weighted behavior of the thermal conductivity and recognizing the lineal fraction is identical to the volume fraction under conditions of isotropy leading directly to Eq. (85).

The energy flux through snow is introduced as

\[ q_{s} = k_{s} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + u_{s}gD_{s} \frac{d\gamma_{\text{sat}}}{d\theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}. \]

Combining Eqs. (78), (83) with (85) and comparing the results with leads to an expression for the apparent effective thermal conductivity of snow given by
\[ k_{s}^{\text{con+d}} = \phi_i (\phi_h a k_{ha} + \phi_i k_i) + \phi_h a \frac{k_i k_{ha}}{\phi_i \left( k_a + u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d \gamma_{v}^{\text{sat}}}{d \theta} \right) + \phi_h a k_i} + u_{sg} \frac{d \gamma_{v}^{\text{sat}}}{d \theta} \left( \phi_i (\phi_h a D_{v-a}) + \phi_h a \left( \frac{k_i D_{v-a}}{\phi_i \left( k_a + u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d \gamma_{v}^{\text{sat}}}{d \theta} \right) + \phi_h a k_i} \right) \right). \] 

Comparing Eq. (86) leads to the following and reveals expressions for the thermal conductivity of snow and the effective diffusion coefficient for snow given by

\[ k_s = \phi_i (\phi_h a k_{ha} + \phi_i k_i) + \phi_h a \frac{k_i k_{ha}}{\phi_i \left( k_a + u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d \gamma_{v}^{\text{sat}}}{d \theta} \right) + \phi_h a k_i}, \] 

(87)

and

\[ D_s = \phi_i (\phi_h a D_{v-a}) + \phi_h a \left( \frac{k_i D_{v-a}}{\phi_i \left( k_a + u_{sg} D_{v-a} \frac{d \gamma_{v}^{\text{sat}}}{d \theta} \right) + \phi_h a k_i} \right). \] 

(88)

Despite the presence of the binary diffusion coefficient of water vapor in air in the expression for \( k_s \), it should be emphasized that the result given in Eq. (87) represents the thermal conductivity for snow as predicted by the analytical model. The effective thermal conductivity including enhancement due to diffusion is given by Eq. (74). Similarly, thermal conductivity parameters appear in the equation for the effective diffusion coefficient of snow, \( D_s \). These results are a consequence of a direct application of heat and mass transfer principles on the idealized microstructures—the parameters of thermal conductivity and diffusion simply do not separate at the macroscale.

Calonne et al. (2011) and Riche and Schneebeli (2013) provide curve fits of snow thermal conductivity as a function of density based on their finite element micromechanics analyses.
Calonne’s data included analysis of crystal structures of all types while Riche’s data was limited to depth hoar and faceted crystals which produce higher thermal conductivities in the direction of interest (normal to the ground).

Figure ?? provides the predictions of Eq. (87) for a temperature of 253 K against the curve fits of Calonne et al. (2011) and Riche and Schneebeli (2013). The correlation of the analytical model is excellent as the model virtually tracks the numerical results of Riche and Schneebeli (2013) whose data were also generated at 253 K. Foslien’s predicted curve at 271 K shifts downward toward the curve generated by Calonne et al. (2011), also generated at 271 K, but remains well within the bounds of both curves generated through finite element analysis of real microstructures. Furthermore, the most significant deviation of the analytical model occurs at a density for solid ice where Foslien’s model predicts the self-consistent correct result of thermal conductivity for ice.

Changes in thermal conductivity as a function of temperature were observed by Calonne et al. (2011) for temperatures of 271 K and 203 K, respectively. Figure ?? shows the thermal conductivity line predicted by Foslien along with the numerical micromechanics predictions of Calonne et al. (2011). Excellent correlation of the analytical model and the finite element analyses is again observed.

Figures ?? and ?? demonstrate the proposed model for thermal conductivity of snow does an excellent job of quantifying $k_s$ as a function of density and temperature. However, the complex nature of the microstructure of snow and the inability to relate the geometric structure to material properties results in significant scatter in the thermal conductivity properties when viewed solely as a function of density.

Calonne et al. (2014b) performed a series of experiments on snow metamorphism showing a variability of snow thermal conductivity under near constant density conditions. The experiments also showed the anisotropic properties of the thermal conductivity tensor increased during metamorphism. Lowe et al. (2013) developed a microstructural parameter that can be used to reduce the scatter in thermal conductivity predictions based on density alone. The parameter also provides a means of incorporating anisotropic behavior of thermal conductivity. It would be advantageous to refine the thermal conductivity model.
of Eq. (87) to include microstructural effects other than density. Such a refinement may be particularly important when extending the current one-dimensional analysis to higher spatial dimensions needed for modeling heat and mass transfer in complex terrain.

The value of the effective diffusion coefficient, $D_s$, for snow has a long history of dispute. Giddings and LaChapelle (1962) claimed $D_s$ to be less than the diffusion coefficient of water vapor in air due to the fact that ice grains interfere with diffusion paths. In contrast, Yosida (1955) conducted experiments where the diffusion coefficient was estimated to be 3.5–5 times larger than that for air while experiments by Sommerfeld et al. (1987) found that the diffusion coefficient was about twice that for air. Yosida (1955) provided a classic description of diffusion being enhanced by water vapor moving between ice grains in a “hand to hand” fashion, thereby shortening the pathway required for water vapor to travel. It is interesting to note that the mechanisms for diffusion argued by Giddings and LaChapelle (1962) and Yosida (1955) both have merit and are competing against one another.

Christon et al. (1994) provided some of the first micromechanics finite element work on mass transfer for snow and generated predictions for the effective diffusion coefficient ranging from 1.0–1.93 times the diffusion coefficient for water vapor in air. Christon’s results have been criticized, perhaps unfairly in our view, due to the simplistic microstructure models that he was forced to work with at that time. Pinzer et al. (2012) have laid any questions about the influence of microstructure to rest by performing finite element analysis on real snow microstructures generated through X-ray computer tomography. Their finite element predictions show a diffusion coefficient for snow to be very nearly that of diffusion of water vapor in air, perhaps an enhancement of $5–13 \times 1.05–1.13$ for snow compared to diffusion of water vapor in air based on the data provided in Fig. 11 of their work.

Despite wildly more complex microstructures, the results of Pinzer et al. (2012) are largely consistent with Christon’s results—the results of Christon et al. (1994). Indeed, in reference to studies on the vapor flux and its dependence on microstructure over time, Pinzer et al. (2012) note that “the flux stays constant in time, despite the dramatic changes in the structure”.
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The diffusion coefficient given by Eq. (88) divided by the diffusion coefficient of water vapor in air is plotted in Fig. ?? to show Foslien’s predicted diffusion enhancement as a function of density at a snow temperature of 263 K. Also plotted in Fig. ?? are the diffusion enhancement ratios of Christon (1990) for two microstructural geometries in their applicable density ranges as well as the more recent predictions of Pinzer et al. (2012) based on real snow microstructures determined from X-ray tomography. Pinzer’s results show a range of diffusion enhancement at any given density that represents the range of diffusion enhancement computed over time as the microstructure evolved, representing the bounds of the finite element predictions shown in Figure 11 of their work.

Foslien’s model predicted a maximum diffusion enhancement for snow compared to air of 1.23 with enhancements for typical snow densities in the range from 1.0–1.2. These values are in excellent agreement with the numerical predictions of Christon (1990) and the more recent results of Pinzer et al. (2012). In brief, we agree with view of Pinzer et al. (2012) in that any enhancement of water vapor diffusion in snow compared to diffusion of water vapor in air is minimal.

Calonne et al. (2014a) have recently computed an effective diffusion coefficient for snow by solving the field equations for mass transfer on a series of RVE’s computed from 3-D images of snow. The results are interesting in that they show normalized values of $D^{\text{eff}}$ starting at 1.0 for air and steadily decreasing to values as low as 0.2 for snow densities of 500 kg m$^{-3}$. They defined the effective diffusion coefficient of snow as

$$D^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega_a} ^{\Omega} D_v \left( \nabla g_v + I \right) d\Omega. \quad (89)$$
Following the previous discussion on thermal conductivity, the above equation may be written as

$$D^{\text{eff}} = \phi_{ha} \frac{1}{|\Omega_a|} \int_{\Omega_a} D_v (\nabla g_v + I) \, d\Omega. \quad (90)$$

Introducing the notation

$$D^{\text{eff}}_a = \frac{1}{|\Omega_a|} \int_{\Omega_a} D_v (\nabla g_v + I) \, d\Omega, \quad (91)$$

as the effective diffusion coefficient of humid air in snow leads to

$$D^{\text{eff}} = \phi_{ha} D^{\text{eff}}_a. \quad (92)$$

Of interest here is the volume fraction of the humid air constituent leading the effective diffusion coefficient of the humid air.

An interesting exercise at this point is to compare the results of Calonne et al. (2014a) to those of Foslien (1994) for the idealized microstructures identified in the paper as the pore microstructure and the lamellae microstructure. First, consider the pore microstructure where the ice phase and the humid air phase are in parallel to the energy flux. Calonne's numerical results will produce an effective diffusion coefficient given by Eq. (92). The presence of the humid air volume fraction is in precise agreement with the present paper—see the first term of Eq. (88) sans the ice volume fraction which arises from the combined snow model. The interpretation of the humid air volume fraction in these equations is quite clear as the ice phase acts as a blockage and limits the amount of area for humid air mass transport to occur.

Now consider applying the definition of the effective diffusion coefficient of Calonne et al. (2014a) to the lamellae microstructure where energy transfer is
perpendicular to the ice/humid air layers. Calonne’s definition will again produce an effective diffusion coefficient given by Eq. (92). Hence, the effective diffusion coefficient of the humid air is again scaled by the volume fraction of the humid air.

The influence of the ice phase on the effective diffusion of water vapor is fundamentally different for the lamellae microstructure compared to the pore microstructure. First, the ice does not act as a blockage of diffusion paths for water vapor in the lamellae microstructure as it does in the pore microstructure. Secondly, the ice phase actually enhances water vapor diffusion in the lamellae microstructure by shortening the pathway needed for water vapor to travel via the “hand-to-hand” mechanism described by Yosida (1955). Taken collectively, these factors suggest the influence of the volume fraction of humid air on the effective diffusion coefficient, $D_{a}^{\text{eff}}$, of Eq. (92) should scale as $(1/\phi_{\text{ha}})$ as opposed to $\phi_{\text{ha}}$.

Now consider Foslien’s analytical model of the lamellae microstructure given by the second term of Eq. (88) sans the leading term, $\phi_{\text{ha}}$, which is, again, attributed to the combined snow model.

$$D_{a} = \left( \frac{k_{i}D_{v-a}}{\phi_{i} \left( k_{a} + u_{sg}D_{v-a} \frac{d\gamma_{\text{sat}}}{d\theta} \right) + \phi_{\text{ha}}k_{i}} \right). \quad (93)$$

Dividing the numerator and denominator by $k_{i}$ and recognizing the thermal conductivity of ice is roughly 100 times that of air reveals, to first order, a predicted enhanced diffusion coefficient given by

$$D^{\text{eff}} = D_{a}^{\text{eff}}/\phi_{\text{ha}}. \quad (94)$$

As discussed above, we believe this enhanced value of the humid air diffusion coefficient is correct in that the ice phase in the lamellae microstructure is not blocking diffusion but instead enhancing diffusion through the “hand-to-hand” notion of Yosida (1955). For example, given an ice volume fraction of 0.5, we would expect an effective diffusion coefficient to be near double what would be found in air as water vapor would only have to
travel half the distance compared to the distance traveled in humid air alone. We note that the humid air volume fraction in the denominator of Eq. (93) was not artificially introduced and naturally arose in the development. In brief, Eq. (93) is arrived at by requiring the one-dimensional energy flux through the ice and the humid air to be equal.

Comparing Eqs. (92) and (94) suggests that if one were to multiply Foslien’s effective diffusion coefficient for the lamellae microstructure by \( \phi_{ha}^2 \), then the results of Foslien’s model of the effective diffusion coefficient for snow may fall somewhat in line with the data shown in Figure 9 of Calonne et al. (2014a). Figure ?? shows the result of this exercise. The comparison of Foslien’s modified diffusion coefficient with the results of Calonne are surprisingly close.

Finally, we note that none of the numerical predictions shown in the data of Figure (??) use the definition of the effective diffusion coefficient found in Calonne et al. (2014a). Christon et al. (1994) evaluate an average mass flux traveling through the boundaries of the RVE in a fully-coupled heat and mass transfer analysis. Pinzer et al. (2012) evaluate the mass flux in a slice in the RVE, perpendicular to the temperature gradient—an approach similar to Christon. It would be extremely interesting to evaluate the effective diffusion coefficient on an RVE of a real 3-D microstructure using the different numerical methods of Calonne et al. (2014a), Pinzer et al. (2012), and Christon et al. (1994).

While the idealized microstructures utilized by Foslien are not representative of the complex microstructure of snow, the heat and mass transfer mechanisms associated with each microstructure are clearly present in snow. We believe the original model developed by Foslien is a reasonable estimate of the effective diffusion coefficient of snow. The excellent results for predictions of thermal conductivity based on the idealized microstructures provides some support for the proposed diffusion coefficient model.

5.3.1 Thermal conductivity with diffusion

The effective thermal conductivity for snow including the enhancement of heat transfer due to mass diffusion is given by Eq. (74). Figure ?? shows the enhancement of the effective thermal conductivity incorporating diffusion effects as a function of density at three different
temperatures. For instance, at a density of 250 kg m\(^{-3}\), the heat transfer enhancement due to diffusion is 9 and 3 % for temperatures of 268 and 257 K, respectively. These values are reasonably consistent with calculated values provided by Riche and Schneebeli (2013) showing latent heat transfer contributions to be approximately 14 and 1 % for temperatures of 268 and 257 K, respectively. Specific densities were not provided for the calculations of Riche and Schneebeli (2013) but the average density of their samples was 254 kg m\(^{-3}\).

The analytical predictions of Foslien shown in Fig. ?? suggest the importance of latent heat transfer by diffusion is most prominent in low density snow at temperatures near freezing. In this case, the enhancement of heat transfer due to diffusion may be as high as 30–40 % for low density snow. These results are consistent with the numerical studies of Christon et al. (1994) who note: “the enhancement due to the transport of latent energy is seen to peak at about 40 % of the conduction for the lowest density and the highest base temperature”.

In closing, results from the analytical model for the thermal conductivity of snow, \(k_s\), and the effective diffusion coefficient for snow, \(D_s\), proposed by Foslien are in excellent agreement with cited finite element micromechanics analyses and, further, the parameter predictions are self-consistent with the limiting cases of air and solid ice. The results lend confidence to using the predicted parameters for \(k_s\) and \(D_s\) over the entire spectrum of temperatures and densities encountered in the macroscale heat and mass transfer analyses presented in Sect. 6.

6 Numerical results for macroscale heat and mass transfer

In this section, numerical results of the nonlinear equations (Eqs. ?? and ??) governing heat and mass transfer in a snowpack are presented. The specific problem at hand is to model the heat and mass transfer in a one-meter deep snow cover with complexities associated with a real snowpack such as dense layers and a time varying surface boundary condition for temperature. Figure ?? shows a schematic of the analysis. A Galerkin finite element
method was used to discretize the spatial domain, and the Crank-Nicholson time integration method is used to advance the solution in time.

Figure ?? shows the density profile of the snowpack for the numerical simulations. The snowpack had a seasonal snow density of 240 kg m\(^{-3}\) with a dense layer starting at 64 and ending at 86 cm. The density was assumed to increase from 240 kg m\(^{-3}\) to 600 kg m\(^{-3}\) over 8 cm with a similar rate of decrease at the top end of the ice crust to a density of 120 kg m\(^{-3}\).

The snow density at the ground level was set to solid ice in an effort to impose realistic boundary conditions. If the density at the ground layer is less than solid ice, the snowpack can be viewed as having no barriers below it. In this case, the model would predict that saturated air enters the snowpack at the ground level with no specified source for this vapor.

Numerical results corresponding to two test cases are presented. The first problem is designed to study the impact of the dense layer on macroscopic temperature gradients and deposition (condensation) and sublimation rates. Colbeck (1993) stressed the importance of developing a theory of snow which incorporates the effect of dense layers on the heat transfer in snow. The second problem focuses on the effect of diurnal temperature fluctuations on the surface of the snowpack. Of interest here are the near surface temperature gradients compared to the average temperature gradient in the snowpack. Condensation and sublimation rates near the surface are also of interest.

### 6.1 Influence of an ice crust

To study the influence of the ice crust on heat and mass transfer, an isothermal snowpack at 0 °C was initially assumed. Boundary conditions at the ground and surface were 0 °C and −20 °C, respectively, thereby initiating a temperature gradient through the snowpack.

Figure ?? shows the temperature profile in the snowpack after 1, 5, and 10 days, respectively. In the dense layer of snow, the temperature gradient is noticeably reduced at any time due to the higher thermal conductivity of the dense snow. The dense layer also has the effect of producing a much higher temperature gradient in the near surface fresh snow cover in the pack. Figure ?? shows that, even after 10 days, the temperature gradient near the surface is approximately 60 °C m\(^{-1}\), three times the average temperature gradient. The
high temperature gradients near the surface are even more notable when one considers the significant amplification of temperature gradients that occur at the microscale as discussed in Sect. 2 and demonstrated in Fig. 4 of [Pinzer et al. 2012].

Figure ?? shows both condensation and sublimation occurring in the snowpack with significant activity near the dense ice crust. Near the surface, condensation is occurring because water vapor is diffusing toward the surface which is colder and, therefore, an area of lower saturation for the vapor density. The water vapor must then condense if the air is not to become supersaturated. Just below the dense layer, Fig. ?? shows another area of condensation near the dense ice crust. Condensation occurs here due to the decrease in humid air volume fraction in the direction of the water vapor diffusion. If the amount of air available to hold water decreases, then the amount of water vapor must also decrease. Just above the dense layer, the opposite is true. Here, Fig. ?? shows that sublimation is occurring because the vapor volume fraction is increasing in the direction of vapor flow. Sublimation must occur to keep the increasing amount of air saturated.

Local weakening above and below dense layers in a snow cover have been observed (Adams and Brown, 1982). If condensation is known to enhance depth hoar growth (Colbeck, 1983), then the condensation occurring below the dense layer in Fig. ?? could contribute to the weakening observed in this region of a snowpack. We note that temperature gradients are relatively low below the ice crust, although in the range of where faceted crystal growth has been observed in field studies. The sublimation occurring above the dense layer may contribute to any snowpack weakness observed here.

Sublimation is also observed near the ground after 10 days and appears to be increasing with time. At a sublimation rate of 4 mg m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ occurring just above the ground after 10 days, a decrease in density of 1 kg m$^{-3}$ would take about 3 days. This slow rate of change in density is consistent with experimental studies on temperature gradient metamorphism, where little change is density is observed despite the dramatic changes in the crystal structure of snow (Pinzer et al. 2012).
6.2 Effect of diurnal temperatures

To study diurnal temperature effects, the surface of the snowpack was subjected to a harmonic temperature boundary condition given by

$$\theta(1,t) = -20 - 10 \sin \frac{\pi t}{43200},$$ (95)

with the lower surface temperature held fixed at 0°C. The boundary condition given by Eq. (95) has a mean value of $-20^\circ$C with a $10^\circ$C fluctuation about the mean. Initial conditions for the temperature through the snowpack were specified based on the average temperature gradient of $-20^\circ$C m$^{-1}$ at time $t = 0$.

Figure ?? shows the temperature profile over a 24 h period at day 5, after any transients have disappeared. Only the upper half-meter of the snowpack is shown. Strong temperature gradients are observed in the fresh snow near the surface, with gradients as high as $-150^\circ$C m$^{-1}$ as shown in Fig. ??.. The large temperature gradients found near the surface are also accompanied by active condensation and sublimation throughout a 24 h cycle, Fig. ??.. Both the temperature gradient and the mass exchange are likely to impact microstructural changes that occur in the near surface snow cover.

7 Summary

We have developed a macroscale mixture theory analysis for modeling condensation and sublimation rates in a snow cover under temperature gradient conditions. The theory is general enough to accommodate arbitrary density profiles with any desired time dependent surface boundary conditions. Condensation and/or sublimation is observed near the ground and the surface as well as immediately above and below dense layers such as ice crusts. Macroscale temperature gradients can be surprisingly high compared to the average temperature gradient in the snowpack.

Strong macroscale temperature gradients coupled with enhanced condensation/sublimation rates warrant further A quantitatively correct macroscale
analysis of constituent mass exchange brings out an interesting microscale analysis problem that is worthy of attention. Recent numerical studies of heat and mass transfer at the microscale. In current finite element micromechanics analyses, the vapor flux at the microscale is driven by microscale temperature gradients. The local temperature gradients are induced by imposing a specified macroscopic temperature gradient formed by specifying a temperature differential on the lower and upper surfaces of the RVE. To begin by solving the steady state diffusion equation for heat transfer with the appropriate thermal properties of ice and humid air. Dirichlet boundary conditions for temperature are specified at the top and bottom to simulate a desired temperature gradient. Once the local temperature field is determined, a solution for mass transport is obtained for the humid air phase. The vapor density boundary conditions are specified at the ice/air interface by assuming the vapor density is saturated, thereby a function of temperature only. Therefore, the mass flux in such an analysis is essentially dictated by the macroscale temperature gradient.

The mixture theory results for macroscale deposition and or sublimation tell an entirely different story. For example, consider the effects of diurnal temperatures on heat and mass transfer near the surface as seen in Figures ?? and ??, Figure ?? shows strong negative temperature gradients at 6 and 24 hours between $x=0.9$ m and $x=1.0$ m (the region bounded by the ice crust and the surface). Now, examine Figure ?? showing mass exchange at the same time periods and snow depth. The 6 hour plot shows deposition is occurring while the 24 hour plot shows sublimation is occurring. The boundary conditions used for microscale analyses described above cannot capture this interesting phenomenon as the results are driven by temperature gradient only.

Just as the temperature gradient is specified in the aforementioned micromechanical analysis, it is also possible to specify an independent net mass transfer boundary condition on the RVE. Specifying an independent mass flux allows one to represent either condensation or sublimation based on macroscale conditions. In brief, knowledge of the decoupling of macroscale deposition/sublimation phenomena from the microscale temperature gradient should come as no surprise from the mixture theory analysis. Indeed,
the ability to show this phenomenon is the fundamental driver for developing a mixture theory to begin with. If this were not the case, one could simply generate a thermal profile from the energy equation and move on.

Given the mixture theory results described above, it would be interesting to investigate a transient microscale solution exploring the effects of imposing mass flux boundary conditions for the vapor while using the saturated vapor density (based on the temperature field) as an initial condition for the humid air. Perhaps such an analysis could help explain microstructural evolution (kinetic growth versus sintering) under conditions where specifying the temperature gradient alone is unable to do so. Christon (1990) developed a fully coupled heat and mass transfer formulation, although boundary conditions involved temperature and temperature gradient only.

Knowledge of the condensation or sublimation rates at the macroscale provides the foundation for a fully coupled solution of heat and mass transfer at the microscale. In this spirit, the mixture theory for snow can simulate interesting macroscale problems featuring terrain changes, ice crusts, surface effects, snow storm deposition, extreme temperatures, etc. Heat and mass transfer results from a mixture theory analysis could then be used as inputs for a host of interesting microstructural studies.

Finally, analytical expressions of thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient for snow were developed from first principles of heat and mass transfer phenomena at the microscale. The equations developed provide an elegant path to modeling thermal conductivity and the effective diffusion coefficient of snow that are needed for the macroscale mixture theory analysis. The model is also capable of quantifying the significance of latent heat transfer to the total energy flux.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/tcd-0-1-2015-supplement.
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