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Abstract

We model the role of katabatic winds in the formation and maintenance of a blue ice area in Scharffenbergbotnen valley, Antarctica, using the finite element code Elmer. The high horizontal resolution (50 - 200 m) numerical simulations of the local wind flow from katabatic wind fronts show high spatial variability in wind impact patterns and good congruence between places with high near-surface wind speeds and the blue ice area. In addition we perform wind simulations on an altered glacier geometry that resembles the thicker ice cover at the Late Glacial Maximum (LGM). These simulations indicate that the pronounced spatial wind-impact patterns depend on present day geometry and did not occur during the LGM. This leads to the conclusion that the formation of the inner blue ice area of the Scharffenbergbotnen valley started only after the lowering of the ice surface, after the LGM. Experiments with smoothed surface topography suggest that detailed positions of the high wind regions and hence individual blue ice fields, may have varied as the ice sheet lowered. The simulation results obtained with the present day geometry were fortuitously confirmed by the destruction of a field camp located in a high wind speed area and its subsequent redistribution to low velocity areas. The experiments and the field observations are consistent with localized violent katabatic events, rather than synoptic scale storms, playing the dominant role in the formation and maintenance of this, and perhaps many blue ice areas.

1 Introduction

A Blue Ice Area (BIA) is a snow-free ablation zone where the surface ice reflects a blueish color. These areas cover about 1 % of the entire surface area of Antarctica (Sinisalo and Moore, 2010). At relatively low elevation BIAs, summer temperatures are sufficiently high to allow surface and internal melting, and are of great practical importance for water supply to field stations, and as landing strips for wheeled aircraft. The lower surface roughness of ice compared with snow covered surfaces allows winds to preferentially remove any freshly fallen snow from them. At higher altitudes, typically above 1000 m a.s.l. (Bintanja 1999,
melt does not occur even in summertime, and BIAs are then maintained and created simply by the occurrence of strong winds over them. It is one of these high elevation BIAs that is object of study in this paper. The snow–ice boundaries of BIAs appear to be remarkably stable on multi-year timescales (Giaever, 1969), which indicates that repeating meteorological conditions must play a role in maintaining them (Bintanja, 1999; Sinisalo et al., 2004; Sinisalo, 2007). Old ice can often be found at the surface of some BIAs. If a good understanding of the ice dynamics were available, then the easily accessible ancient ice would be of great interest for paleoclimatic studies (Bintanja, 1999; Sinisalo et al., 2004; Moore et al., 2006; Sinisalo and Moore, 2010).

BIAs are hence typically located in areas where the local wind speed is occasionally high – either by association with mountain turbulence or in regions of strong katabatic wind flow. Here we investigate to what extent the surrounding terrain contributes to a local increase in wind-impact velocity above the well studied BIAs in the inner region of Scharffenberg-botnen (SBB) valley, Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica (located approximately at 74.56° S, 11.05° W) and compare the wind distribution patterns with the equilibrium surface mass balance distribution computed by Zwinger et al. (2014). SBB is located about 300 km inland, in the Heimefront mountain range of Dronning Maud Land (see Fig. 1). The dominant easterly wind direction can be clearly seen from the prominent wind drift tails behind exposed rocks. A geomorphological map of the SBB valley is presented in Fig. 2. The map shows three distinguishable BIAs, one on the north-western side at the entrance of the valley, and two inside the SBB valley, separated by a moraine. The two BIAs inside the valley have been the subject of various studies of meteorological (Bintanja and Reijmer, 2001), glaciological (Sinisalo and Moore, 2010) and geomorphological nature (Hättestrand and Johansen, 2005).

Numerous previous studies of winds over Antarctica have adopted simplified equations and worked on large spatial domains, ignoring small-scale details and only resolving large-scale flow patterns. A hydrostatic, three-dimensional primitive equation model with a resolution of 20 km was presented by Bromwich et al. (1994) for simulations on winter katabatic winds crossing the Siple coast and the Ross ice shelf. Gallée et al. (1994) proposed a sim-
ilar model with the highest resolution being 5 km. A relatively simple simulation of snow flow affected by katabatic winds was presented by Gallée (1998); with a horizontal resolution of 40 km, the model domain covered the entire Antarctic ice-sheet. The study by Yu et al. (2005) on katabatic jumps, where an open channel katabatic flow rapidly transforms to a calm flow was implemented on an uniform grid spacing of 3.5 km, 700 and 175 m along with an assumption of a two-dimensional katabatic flow and a rather smooth geometry. Skyllingstad (2003) in contrast used a Large Eddy Simulation (LES) to investigate the small-scale dynamics of gravity-driven incompressible flows on a simple linearly decreasing smooth slope with a resolution of approximately 0.75 m. Axelsen and van Dop (2009) applied LES to simulations of steady-state quasi-one-dimensional buoyancy-driven slope winds over glaciers.

We deploy Variational Multiscale (VMS) stabilization with the Finite Element (FE) method to study the local wind fields above the valley at SBB. To our knowledge this is the first high resolution Navier–Stokes governed simulation of local wind fields above BIA's. The domain size used for our modelling of the complex terrain in SBB is $10^3$ times larger than in Skyllingstad (2003), and our minimal horizontal resolution is $h_{\text{min}} \approx 50$ m.

First we present observational evidence that the mechanism responsible for creating and maintaining the inner BIA at SBB is to be found in easterly katabatic wind fronts in Sect. 2. This provides motivation for the following analysis. In Sect. 3 we present the governing equations including a brief introduction to the VMS turbulence model in Sect. 3.1. This will be followed in Sect. 4 with a discussion of the specific model setup for the simulations of SBB area. Section 5 presents and discusses the results obtained for different flow profiles as well as altered geometries. The conclusions from these results are presented in Sect. 6.

2 Case study of observed violent wind event

In January 2007 a field camp located near the head of the Scharffenbergbotnen valley was destroyed by a strong storm (Fig. 3). There are two reasonably close Automatic Weather Stations (AWS), AWS6 and AWS9, in the region of Scharffenbergbotnen. AWS9 is located
at the summit of the regional ice field at 75°00’09” S 00°00’26” E and 2900 m a.s.l., while AWS6 is about 40 km north of the Scharffenbergbotnen valley at 74°28’53” S 11°31’01” W and 1160 m a.s.l. (Bintanja, 1999; Van den Broeke et al., 2005), the location of the weather stations in relation to Scharffenbergbotnen is shown in Fig. 1. Figure 4 shows the wind direction and magnitude recorded by the two AWSs over the first 22 days of 2007.

The largest wind speeds occur on the 11th and 12th day and are consistent in direction at the two AWS, with somewhat higher wind speeds occurring, as expected, at the lower AWS6 site. However the wind speeds in the Scharffenbergbotnen valley during the 11th and 12th day were not very high, indeed field work progressed normally on both days, with no drifting snow, and the 12th day was notably sunny and pleasant. This was a synoptic scale event that may be classed as average conditions. However the event of 2–3 January was extremely strong in Scharffenbergbotnen, but not significant on a synoptic scale, with moderate winds and inconsistent directions at AWS6 and AWS9. Such relatively stable conditions on the higher ice sheet may actually favour the formation of a katabatic jet. Even 40 km north of the Scharffenbergbotnen valley the katabatic jet had dissipated sufficiently for there to be no trace of it in the AWS6 record. The debris from the 2–3 January storm was dispersed over much of the valley and provide traces for recirculation areas. The location where the field camp was originally placed and where a tent from the destroyed camp was found is shown in Fig. 1. This and many other items were all found on snow accumulation areas and not on the blue ice area. Existing snow and even hard firn was ripped from the BIA such that the extent of the BIA was increased by perhaps 30 % relative to that before the storm. This suggests that such storms are rather infrequent, and that the BIA extent may be governed by rare stochastic events that remove the steadily accumulated snow and firn of several years. In what follows, we present a numerical model that studies the impact of a turbulent wall-bounded jet into the Scharffenberbotnen valley that enables us to identify the areas of high wind-speeds close to the ground within the valley where potentially snow can be removed.
3 Governing equations for wind flow simulations

The flow is assumed to be described by the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations

\[
\rho \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u \right) + \nabla p - 2\mu \text{div}(\bar{\varepsilon}(u)) = \rho f, \\
\nabla \cdot u = 0,
\]

(1)

where \( \rho \) stands for the constant density of the air, \( f \) for the gravitational body force, \( u \) denotes the velocity vector, \( p \) the isotropic pressure and \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity. The numerical values of these parameters used in the simulation are presented in Table 1. The symmetric strain rate tensor, \( \bar{\varepsilon} \), is given by

\[
\bar{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla u + (\nabla u)^T \right).
\]

(2)

The set of Eqs. (1) and (2) is defined in the domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) for the time-interval \( t \in (0, t_{\text{end}}) \).

We are well aware that katabatic winds are driven by the density differences between colder and warmer air and hence a detailed study would deserve a buoyant (hence compressible or at least Boussinesq-approximated) flow with its density coupled to the temperature field. Nevertheless, our study will show that over the last few hundred metres of the katabatic flow into the valley the inertia of the incoming jet is sufficient to produce wind-impact patterns that well match the observed locations of the bare ice fields in the SBB valley and hence the assumption of incompressibility is appropriate for the BIA study. In Appendix A we present equations (1) in their non-dimensional form accounting for changing density using a Boussinesq approximation. By an analysis of the non-dimensional groups we can demonstrate that in the case of a fully developed katabatic jet for the length-scales that apply in our model, the inertial forces dominate the buoyancy forces, which shows the applicability of an incompressible model to this work.
3.1 Variational Multiscale method

The modelling of turbulent flows is one of the most challenging areas in Mathematics and Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD). Numerous ad hoc models to treat the turbulent nature of the resulting flow numerically have been proposed. The main idea of using these approaches is to dramatically reduce the number of nodes needed for solving turbulent CFD problems (Rautaheimo 2001) in comparison to direct numerical solution (DNS). Sub-grid scale turbulent models, such as Large Eddy Simulation (LES) models, resolve the large scale turbulence and model the effects of sub-grid turbulence. In the case of LES the sub-grid model is obtained by spatial filtering, that imposes certain problems in particular with bounded flows.

We use the implicit Euler method for time discretization. In space, continuous piecewise isoparametric hexahedral elements are used for both the velocity and pressure. The discretization in space is a weighted least squares stabilized Galerkin method, also known under the name Variational Multi Scale (VMS) method (Hughes 1995; Hoffman and Johnson, 2006, 2007; Bazilevs et al., 2008). The VMS-method is an alternative, yet similar method to Large Eddy Simulation (LES). The main difference between LES and VMS is that the latter projects the scales into the function spaces rather than filtering them by spatial averaging. Thus the VMS-method avoids the problems of spatial filtering, spatial differentiation in bounded domains as well as with non-constant filter widths, and the issue of choosing the correct boundary conditions for spatially averaging at large scales (John et al. 2010).

Given the initial value $u_h^0$, the solution $(u_h^n, p_h^n)$ for the time step $t_n = n\Delta t$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, is obtained from

$$
\rho \left( \frac{u_h^n - u_h^{n-1}}{\Delta t} + (u_h^n \cdot \nabla) u_h^n, v \right) + \left( \nabla p_h^n, v \right) - 2\mu \left( \bar{\epsilon}(u_h^n), \bar{\epsilon}(v) \right)
+ \sum_{\mathcal{E}} \delta_{\mathcal{E}} \left( \rho \left( u_h^n \cdot \nabla \right) u_h^n + \nabla p_h^n, (u_h^n \cdot \nabla) v + \nabla q \right)_{\mathcal{E}}
= \left( \rho f^n, v \right) + \sum_{\mathcal{E}} \delta_{\mathcal{E}} \left( \rho f^n, (u_h^n \cdot \nabla) v + \nabla q \right)_{\mathcal{E}} \forall v \in \mathcal{V}_h, \forall q \in \mathcal{W}_h,
$$

(3)
where \((\cdot, \cdot)\) denotes the \(L^2(\Omega)\)-inner product and \((\cdot, \cdot)_E\) denotes the \(L^2(E)\)-inner product for the element \(E\) of the mesh. \(V^0_h\) and \(W_h\) represent the finite element spaces. A constant timestep-size of \(\Delta t = 0.1\) s is applied in all simulations. The stabilization parameter is given by

\[
\delta_E = \left( \frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{|u^n|}{h_E} \right)^{-1},
\]

where \(h_E\) represents the size of element \(E\). Detailed literature on applications and the theory behind the VMS method can be found in Hughes (1995); Gravemeier (2005); Gravemeier et al. (2006); Bazilevs et al. (2008). At each time step the nonlinear system (3) is solved by a Picard iteration. The linearized system is solved using the generalized minimal residual (GMRES) Krylov-subspace method (Kelley, 1995) with an incomplete LU factorization of the system matrix deployed as a preconditioning step.

### 4 Simulation setup for Scharffenbergbotnen valley

The VMS method used for turbulent computations is implemented within the open source multi-physics FE-code Elmer (http://www.csc.fi/elmer). Due to a large domain size combined with a turbulent flow the problem has to be solved using High Performance Computing (HPC) on a parallel Linux cluster.

The mesh, i.e. the discretization of the domain \(\Omega\), used for computations of the wind flow inside the valley is constructed from the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data of SBB, which has a resolution of 100 m and is based on airborne surveys in 1985/86 over the whole Heimefrontfjella area (copyright of Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie, Frankfurt am Main, http://www.bkg.bund.de). This is achieved by extruding a horizontal footprint mesh, which was created with the open source mesh generator Gmsh (Geuzaine and Remacle, 2009). A horizontal resolution of 50 m is applied inside the valley where the BIAs are located, while a characteristic mesh length of 200 m is chosen for the parts of the domain closer to the side boundaries. Thus a sufficiently fine mesh in the areas of most interest
can be achieved while avoiding excessive computations. As shown in Fig. 5, this footprint mesh is vertically extruded into 30 terrain-following layers up to an elevation of 3000 m. The first 10 mesh-layers are concentrated into the lowest 10% of the height, applying an exponential refinement of the layers towards the lower surface. Thereby a high vertical resolution (1–2 m) at the valley bottom to account for the turbulent boundary layer close to the surface is achieved. This results in a mesh with 373,620 nodes from which 358,382 (mainly hexahedral) linear bulk elements are constructed, which are bounded by 30,516 (mainly quadrilateral) linear boundary elements. This mesh is split into 64 partitions for parallel computation.

Our simulation setups resemble those from Malm (2012). However, while Malm deployed initial conditions utilizing a background wind field statistically obtained from ECWMF re-analysis data, we let the katabatic wind front impact into a region of stagnant air. No significant differences in wind-impact patterns between these background fields were found.

In addition to using present day topography, two alternative meshes were constructed: a smoothed version of the initial DEM; and one based on geomorphological evidence of the ice thickness at Late Glacial maximum (LGM) – that is a DEM with 200 m thicker ice inside and 100 m outside the valley (Näslund et al. 2000; Hättestrand and Johansen, 2005). To approximate thicker glacial ice conditions around the valley, all non-glaciated peaks outside the valley were also smoothed. The smoothing in both variants of the original DEM was achieved by replacing the elevation value of each point in the smoothed DEM by the average of all surrounding points contained within a circle of 250 m radius in the original DEM, similar to a simple moving average algorithm.

We also allow for variation in the vertical velocity profile of the katabatic wave front as it enters the eastern border of the domain. The reference simulation profile for the normal inwards pointing component of the velocity, we denote as *nose*-shaped, which is defined with respect to the height above ground, $\mathcal{H}$ [m], as

$$u_{\text{nose}}(\mathcal{H}) \left[ \text{m s}^{-1} \right] = 2 \left[ \text{s}^{-1} \right] \mathcal{H} - 4 \cdot 10^{-2} \left[ \text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \right] \mathcal{H}^2 + 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \left[ \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \right] \mathcal{H}^3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
The alternative is a parabolic profile (the same as used by Malm, 2012)

$$u_{\text{para}}(H) [\text{m s}^{-1}] = 1.2 [\text{s}^{-1}] H - 1.2 \cdot 10^{-2} [\text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}] H^2. \tag{6}$$

Both profiles reach from ground level to 100 m above ground. The third profile investigated is a stretched version of Eq. (5), noseL, which extends to 200 m above ground

$$u_{\text{noseL}}(H) [\text{m s}^{-1}] = 1.01 [\text{s}^{-1}] H - 1.01 \cdot 10^{-2} [\text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}] H^2 + 2.53 \cdot 10^{-5} [\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}] H^3. \tag{7}$$

All three profiles are shown as a function of $H$ in Fig. 6. To avoid issues with backflow at the lateral boundaries, the inflow profile is damped to zero 1250 m from the lateral (north and south) boundaries. Instabilities imposed by the pressure field at the start of the simulation were suppressed by allowing 100 s for the inflow profile to ramp up from resting air to peak values. On the southern and northern boundaries, which are aligned with the main flow direction, the normal component of the velocity is kept at zero. The same condition applies to the boundary with the upper atmosphere, where we constrain the vertical ($z$ aligned) velocity component. The set of boundary conditions is completed at the valley surface, $b(x, y)$, where we impose a no-slip condition

$$u |_{z=b} = 0, \tag{8}$$

and a further free outflow condition imposed on the western confinement. In order to avoid instabilities, any backflow at this boundary is reset to zero, i.e.,

$$u \cdot n |_{\text{west}} > 0, \tag{9}$$

where $n$ is the outward pointing normal of the boundary.

\section{Results}

With the setup discussed in the previous section, we study the impact of wind on the valley. Our main focus is to study the BIA north of the moraine inside the valley, i.e., the larger one
stretching from about 435 to 439 km easting and 1722.5 and 1724 km northing indicated as *inner northern BIA* in Fig. 2.

A first approach is to test the original DEM with the nose-shaped profile defined in Eq. (5) and compare it to the equilibrium surface mass balance (SMB) distribution obtained from a glacier flow model simulation (Zwinger et al., 2014) of the SBB valley, using the identical surface DEM. Assuming a non-varying glacier surface, $s$, the equilibrium SMB is given by

$$\text{SMB} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + u_{\text{ice}} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} + v_{\text{ice}} \frac{\partial s}{\partial y} - w_{\text{ice}},$$ (10)

where $(u_{\text{ice}}, v_{\text{ice}}, w_{\text{ice}})^T$ are the Cartesian components of the surface ice velocity vector. The SMB distribution obtained by Zwinger et al. (2014) is depicted in Fig. 7.

The sensitivity of the wind-impact distribution with respect to the inflow profile was assessed by rerunning with the slightly altered inflow conditions, as presented in Eqs. (6) and (7). We then assess the sensitivity of the solution to bottom surface topography by using the smoothed DEM. Finally, we assess the effects of the LGM topography on the wind fields.

### 5.1 Variations of inflow profiles

The first simulation is conducted using the nose-shaped profile given by the cubic polynomial (5). We run the simulation for slightly longer than 20 min of physical time. Figure 8 shows the velocity magnitude at 5 m above ground as well on a vertical cross-section cutting through the area above the northern inner BIA from east to west, displaying snapshots starting at 500 s (when the first gusts reach the valley bottom) in steps of 250 s to 1250 s (the end of the simulation). An animated GIF (showing steps of 50 s) of this as well as of all runs conducted can be found in the Supplement of this article. From these snapshots it is clear that fast flow is focused on the inner BIAs. The wind speeds are consistently high above the ablation area (which largely coincides with the inner BIA) found from the glacier flow simulation, indicated by the yellow polygon in Fig. 7. In particular the close correspondence in position of the high velocity streak with the northern boundary of the larger inner BIA at
1250 s into the simulation is striking. Figure 9 shows the average as well as the standard deviation of the velocity for the time window from 950 s to 1050 s of the simulation, with peak values for both properties concentrated over the inner northern BIA. The latter can be interpreted as a measure of velocity-fluctuations on the scale of the finest mesh-size (vertically in the range of metres), which in LES represent the eddies containing the highest turbulent kinetic energy (Axelsen and van Dop, 2009).

Figure 10 shows results from similar simulations with altered inflow profiles as in Eqs. (6) and (7) at 1000 s into the simulation. Although the patterns obtained with these profiles are different from the reference run, both Fig. 10 and the supplemental animated GIFS show consistently increased velocity over the inner BIAs, confirming the phenomenon of redirected fast flow. Comparing the positions of the flow-speed maxima in Fig. 6 with the results in Fig. 8 and Fig. 10 we can clearly see that the closer the maximum flow-speed of the inflow profile is to the surface, the greater the focusing of the fast flow towards the BIA seems to be. From this we conclude that the high wind speed close to the terrain creates the effect of redirection.

5.2 Variations in the smoothness of the terrain

We are interested in how small scale topographic features may affect the flow details, and hence the impact of the DEM accuracy. To that end we smoothed the original DEM using the previously described algorithm and applied the nose-shape inflow defined by Eq. (5). The left panel in Fig. 11 (and supplementary animated GIF) shows the velocity magnitude 5 m above ground as well as on a vertical cross-section from east to west at 1000 s into the simulation. One striking difference from all runs conducted on the original terrain is that at 1000 s into the simulation the katabatic front has advanced about 1 km further into the valley. The general impression – even more supported by the series in the animated GIF than by the single snapshot – is that the areas of high impact wind velocities are less focused on the inner BIAs, compared with the reference run (Fig. 8).
5.3 LGM simulation

The surface elevation inside the valley of SBB may have been considerably higher (Näslund et al., 2000; Hättestrand and Johansen, 2005) at the LGM. We increased the ice-thickness by a constant 200 m inside and 100 m outside the valley and smoothed the surface to resemble glaciation of present-day nunataks (i.e., ice free areas on the bounding mountains of SBB valley). The right panel in Fig. [11] shows the distribution of the velocity magnitude 5 m above ground and on a vertical cross-section from east to west. In contrast with all other simulations conducted on the present day DEM – either in its original or smoothed form – the high velocity winds in the LGM simulation are distributed more randomly over the valley and not focused over present-day BIAs. In fact, the highest velocities at 1000 s into the simulation are located at the north-western end of the valley, close to the position of the outer BIA.

6 Conclusions

By conducting local wind simulations above the Scharffenbergbotnen valley we show that katabatic surface jets coming from the east, produce high-impact wind speeds at exactly the location of the inner blue ice area. These types of wind were probably directly experienced in the field during January 2007 when a highly localized event caused clearing of surface firn, likely to be several years old, from underlying bare ice. During this event simultaneously taken direct and AWS observations support the dominant role of local katabatic events rather than synoptic storm events in formation of the Scharffenbergbotnen BIA.

Sensitivity analysis to changes in vertical wind-profile shapes and DEMs revealed that the topography is mainly responsible for the distribution of the high wind-impact features. For all simulation runs with the present-day DEM, the derived locations of both fast wind flow and recirculation areas match well with the location of the inner BIA in the Scharffenbergbotnen valley. In contrast, a simulation conducted with increased ice thickness imposed on the present-day DEM (resembling conditions at Late Glacial Maximum) revealed that snow
and firn removal focused at the position of the inner BIA most likely occurred only after the lowering of the ice in the SBB valley. Only then did the present day shape of the surrounding rocks surface from beneath the retreating and lowering ice-sheet. In other words, the inner BIA at Scharffenbergbotnen is younger than the LGM. This is in agreement with the findings of Zwinger et al. (2014), who came to similar conclusion by looking at age/depth horizons of a full-stress ice flow model.

We suggest that other BIAs in similar mountain regimes can be effectively generated by katabatic jets, and that these jets are produced independently of synoptic storms. It is conceivable that other BIAs may be controlled by extremely powerful synoptic storms that occur from time to time and scour snow and firn from the surface of the BIAs.

**Appendix A: Non-dimensional equations of motion**

To evaluate the relative importance of the inertia to the buoyant forces we introduce the thermal expansion coefficient, $\beta$, in order to define the linearized density with respect to temperature, $T$,

$$
\rho(T) = \rho_0 \left(1 - \beta (T - T_0)\right).
$$

(A1)

Here $\rho_0$ is the density at the reference temperature $T_0$. Applying the Boussinesq approximation, i.e., inserting Eq. (A1) into the right-hand-side of the Navier-Stokes equations (1), we obtain

$$
\rho_0 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) u \right) + \nabla p - 2\mu \text{div}(\bar{\varepsilon}(u)) = - (1 - \beta (T - T_0)) \rho_0 g e_z,
$$

(A2)

reformulating the body force, $f$, introducing the acceleration due to gravity $f = -ge_z$.

If we now further introduce the typical scales for velocity, $U$, length, $L$ and temperature difference, $\Delta T$, we can express physical in terms of non-dimensional variables (indicated
with subscripted star)

\[ u = u^* U, \quad T - T_0 = (T^* - T_0^*) \Delta T, \]
\[ t = t^* L/U, \quad p = p^* \rho U^2, \]
\[ \bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon}^* U/L, \quad g = -g e_z, \]
\[ \nabla \rightarrow L^{-1} \nabla^*, \quad \text{div} \rightarrow L^{-1} \text{div}^*. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

These values inserted into Equ. (A2) lead to the non-dimensional form of the Navier-Stokes equations subjected to the Buossinesq approximation,

\[ \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t^*} + (u^* \cdot \nabla^*) u^* + \nabla^* p^* - \text{Re}^{-1} 2 \text{div}^* (\bar{\varepsilon}^* (u^*)) = -\text{Fr} e_z + (T^* - T_0^*) \frac{\text{Gr} \text{Re}^{-2}}{\text{Re}^2} e_z. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

If we now insert the following orders of magnitude that apply to our simulation problem,

\[ L = 1000 \text{ m}, \quad U = 100 \text{ m s}^{-1}, \quad \Delta T = 10 \text{ K}, \]
\[ \beta = 10^{-3} \text{ K}^{-1}, \quad g = 10 \text{ m s}^{-2}, \quad \mu = 10^{-5} \text{ Pa s}^{-1}, \quad \rho = 1 \text{ kg m}^{-3}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

we obtain the following order for the non-dimensional groups

\[ \text{Re}^{-1} = \frac{\mu}{\rho U L} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-10}), \]
\[ \text{Fr}^2 = g L U^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(1), \]
\[ \frac{\text{Gr}}{\text{Re}^2} = \beta \Delta T g L U^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

The small value of the inverse of the Reynolds-number, \( \text{Re}^{-1} \), indicates negligibly small viscous- in relation to inertia forces, underlining the turbulent nature of the flow. The Froude-number, \( \text{Fr} \), is of unity scale. This term, which is included in our equations, does not contribute to the dynamics of the flow, but only induces a hydrostatic pressure component. The non-dimensional group, \( \text{Gr} \text{Re}^{-2} \), being smaller than unity indicates that inertia – on the
length scales we are interested in – dominates buoyancy. It is important to stress the fact that as soon as we either look at larger length scales and/or initially small velocities, corresponding to a complete study of katabatic winds starting from the interior of the ice sheet, this non-dimensional group can take values significantly larger than unity. In other words, a study of the complete katabatic wind originating from the ice sheet is not feasible with an incompressible flow model, whereas the impact of an incoming jet – being dominated by its inertia – can be approximated by our approach that assumes constant density.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/tcd-0-1-2015-supplement.
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Table 1. Physical parameter values used in the simulations. Fluid parameters were obtained for dry air at standard atmospheric pressure for a temperature of 243.15 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration by gravity</td>
<td>$f = -ge_z$</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>m s$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Viscosity</td>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>$1.57 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. A map of Antarctica is shown at the top right with the domain shown in main image in the boxed area. In the main image, the AWS6 and AWS9 locations are marked and the Scharffenbergbotnen area is enclosed by the box and shown in detail at the top left. Windtails can be seen generally in a westerly direction from the nunataks, indicating winds from the east. The green triangle on the east border of the larger inner BIA shows where a field camp was located before it was destroyed by a storm on the 2–3 January 2007, and the magenta triangle shows where one destroyed tent was found after the storm with debris scattered over a wide area of the snow covered ground. Imagery courtesy of NASA.
Figure 2. The Scharffenbergbotnen area, with the location of the Blue Ice Areas (purple), exposed rocks on top of hills and mountains (brown) and moraines (gray). Iso-lines of elevation are given in steps of 25 m. The inner BIAs are divided by a moraine into a northern and a southern BIA. Many of the other marked moraines are actually blue ice covered by rocks and much of the flatter area south and east of the inner blue ice areas is likely blue ice more or less covered by a thin layer of rocky material carried by ice flow from snow accumulation regions on the valley sides. Zwinger et al. (2014) show that ice flow to the inner northern BIA originates from snow accumulated on the ridge between it and the outer BIA, indicated by the long arrow in the figure. The green and magenta triangles represent the destroyed camp and location of debris (as in Fig. 1). Coordinates are in UTM 29 C system.
Figure 3. View over the northern inner BIA from the camp (marked with green triangle in Fig 1) looking towards the northwest, showing the destruction after the storm of the 2–3 January 2007. The arrow shows the location where the destroyed tent from the camp was found (magenta triangle in Figs. 1 and 2). The photo was taken by J.C. Moore.
Figure 4. Wind speed and direction of automatic weather stations AWS6 and AWS9 (see Fig. 1 for their position) for the first 22 days of 2007. The storm event that destroyed the camp (Fig. 3) was during the pink shaded period, when winds were low at both AWSs. A synoptic storm occurred around 12 January, when conditions in the SBB valley were calm.
Figure 5. The discretized domain, $\Omega$. Horizontal resolutions reach from 50 m close to the valley slopes to 200 m. The vertical terrain following extrusion is not equidistant, but rather features a mesh refinement in the lower 10% of the height in order to capture boundary layer effects.
Figure 6. Normal inflow velocities at the eastern boundary, resembling the katabatic wind front.
Figure 7. The computed equilibrium surface mass balance from the ice flow simulation by Zwinger et al. (2014). The colours – confined to the glaciated area inside the valley – show the equilibrium surface mass balance (Eq. 10) in m a$^{-1}$ ice equivalent (density of ice, $\rho_i = 910$ kg m$^{-3}$). The yellow line confines the ablation zones and the white lines depict the outline of the BIAs (see Fig. 2). The gray contour lines show the elevation of the wider terrain in steps of 100 m, the black in steps of 500 m (annotated by their values).
**Figure 8.** Velocity magnitude 5 m above the surface and in a vertical cross-section from east to west through the valley (marked by the horizontal blue line in plan views). Results from the simulation using the nose profile (Eq. 5) displayed at 500, 750, 1000 and 1250 s into the simulation. The white lines in the plan-views and the black bar under the cross-sections denote the positions of the BIAs. The cross-sections are stretched vertically by a factor of two. The velocity scale is truncated at $30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. 
Figure 9. Statistics performed on the simulation using the nose profile (Eq. 5) using results from 950 - 1050 s (in steps of 5 s). The left panel shows the averaged velocity magnitude 5 m above the surface and in a vertical cross-section from east to west through the valley (marked by the horizontal blue line in plan views). The velocity scale is truncated at 30 m s\(^{-1}\). The right panel shows the standard deviation from the average value of the velocity magnitude, which stands for a measure on the large scale turbulence of the flow. This scale is truncated at 15 m s\(^{-1}\). The cross-sections are stretched vertically by a factor of two.
Figure 10. Velocity magnitude 5 m above the surface and in a vertical cross-section from east to west through the valley (marked by the horizontal blue line in plan views) of the simulations using the parabolic (Eq. 6) and the upscaled nose profile (Eq. 7) displayed at 1000 s into the simulation. The white lines in the plan-views and the black bar under the cross-sections denote the positions of the BIASs. The cross-sections are stretched vertically by a factor of two. The velocity scales are truncated at 30 m s⁻¹.
Figure 11. Velocity magnitude 5 m above the surface and in a vertical cross-section from east to west through the valley (marked by the horizontal blue line in plan views) of the simulation using the nose-shape (Eq. 5) profile on a smoothed present day terrain (left) and on the LGM terrain (right) displayed at 1000 s into the simulation. The white lines in the plan-view and the black bar under the cross-section denote the positions of the BIAs. The cross-sections are stretched vertically by a factor of two. The velocity scales are truncated at 30 m s$^{-1}$. 