Abstract. A new long-term data record of Fram Strait sea ice area export from 1935 to 2014 is developed using a combination of satellite radar images and station observations of surface pressure across Fram Strait. This data record shows that the long-term annual mean export is about 880,000 km², representing 10 % of the sea-ice covered area inside the basin. The time series has large inter-annual and multi-decadal variability, but no long term trend. However, during the last decades, the amount of ice exported has increased, with several years having annual ice exports that exceed 1 million km². This increase is a result of faster southward ice drift speeds due to stronger southward geostrophic winds, largely explained by increasing surface pressure over Greenland. Evaluating the trend onwards from 1979 reveals an increase in annual ice export of about +6% per decade, with spring and summer showing larger changes in ice export (+11% per decade) compared to autumn and winter (+2.6 % per decade). Increased ice export during winter will generally result in new ice growth and contributes to thinning inside the Arctic basin. Increased ice export during summer or spring will on the other side contribute directly to open water further north and a reduced summer sea ice extent through the ice-albedo feedback. Relatively low spring and summer export from 1950 to 1970 is thus consistent with a higher mid-September sea ice extent for these years. Our results are not sensitive to long-term change in Fram Strait sea ice concentration. We find support a general moderate influence between export anomalies and the following September sea ice extent, explaining 18% of the variance between 1935 and 2014, but with higher values since 2004, and further strengthen this suggested link for the recent decade.
Yet, despite the large number of existing studies, the role and influence of natural variability remains unclear, especially on longer time-scales than the current satellite data record.

Historically, about 10% of the Arctic sea ice area is exported through Fram Strait (FS) annually (Fig. 1), and the ice export through the other Arctic gateways are an order of magnitude smaller (Kwok 2009). Because quite thick ice is lost by this export through FS (Hansen et al., 2013), a larger than normal ice export will decrease the remaining mean thickness within the Arctic Basin. An influence of export anomalies on Arctic sea ice thickness was previously suggested by Rigor et al. (2002) using buoy data. A similar conclusion was reached using model simulations from climate models participating in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) (Langehaug et al., 2013). Recently Fućkar et al. (2015) found that much of the Northern Hemisphere sea ice thickness variability could be explained by changes in sea ice motion related to wind forcing.

Several studies have suggested that sea ice drift speeds are increasing, both within the Arctic Basin (Hakkinen et al., 2008; Rampal et al., 2009), and also in FS (Rampal et al., 2009, Smidsrud et al., 2011). Positive trends were also found in the annual FS ice area export by Widell et al. (2003) (4% per decade from 1950 - 2000) and Smidsrud et al. (2011) (5% per decade from 1957 - 2010). Using the available NSIDC sea ice drift data, Krumpen et al (2016) recently found a much higher trend for 1980-2012 (37.6% per decade), but noted that the large positive trend seemed “unrealistic”. However, contrary to these studies, Kwok et al. (2013) found a small negative trend in annual FS ice area export between 1982 and 2009, but with positive trends for 2001 – 2009 for both annual (October - September) and summer (June - September). Spreen et al. (2009) did not observe any significant change in FS ice volume export for the period 2003 – 2008 for observed winter means (October – April). Thus, some uncertainty remains on how FS ice export has changed, and how it has influenced the long-term decline in the summer ice cover.

The Arctic seasonal maximum sea ice cover generally occurs in late February or early March (Zwally and Gloersen 2008), though it has also been observed to occur as late as early April (e.g. on April 2 in 2010; https://nsidc.org/arcticseaicenews/2010/04). Changes in ice export through FS between March and August could therefore influence the following September SIE by fostering development of open water within the icepack that in turn enhances the ice-albedo feedback during the melt season (Smidsrud et al., 2011; Kwok and Cunningham 2010). Such an influence has recently been examined between 1993 and 2012 by Williams et al. (2016) in combination with coastal divergence. This study suggested that Fram Strait ice area export is a good predictor for the September sea ice extent because it represents the sum of ice export from the peripheral seas and the net pack ice divergence. This study expands on the work by Williams et al. (2016) by estimating the FS ice area export over a much longer time-period, from 1935 to 2014. In this study, we evaluate the long-term mean, variability and trends over this 80 year record, and further examine the influence of the long-term FS export on a new time-series of September SIE, also covering the years 1935-2014 (Walsh et al. 2015).

2 Data and Methods

In this study we rely on a combination of ice drift speeds estimated from Envisat Advanced Synthetic Aperture Radar (ASAR) WideSwath and Radarsat-2 ScanSAR (from 2012) images and monthly mean sea level pressure differences across Fram Strait to construct a long-term data record of FS ice export.
2.1 Ice Drift observations: 2004-2014

We use observed sea ice drift speeds onwards from February 2004 and updated through December 2014, calculated by recognizing displacement vectors manually on ASAR WideSwath and Radarsat-2 ScanSAR images captured 3 days apart (Kloster and Sandven 2015). These images were resampled from 50-100 m to 300-500m pixels in order to reduce the SAR speckle noise, greatly improving feature recognition and tracking accuracy over the 3 day time interval. Displacement vectors that cross 79°N were linearly interpolated to bins (1° longitude, each 21 km) from 15°W to 5°E (Fig. 2).

For most 3 days image pairs, displacement vectors with an accuracy of ±2 km and spacing of 30 – 50 km were calculated using the known satellite orbit and one reference point. Drifting platforms and buoys were used to estimate uncertainties, indicating values better than ±3%. This accuracy is considered sufficient because subsequent averaging or addition in time/space of many unbiased vectors will generally result in improved accuracy. We calculated mean cross-strait ice drift speed values, defined as the spatial-temporal mean southward speed of all ice crossing 79°N (Fig. 1) between the fast ice edge and the pack ice edge at 50 % sea ice concentration. On the western side of the strait, a linear interpolation from zero motion in the stable fast ice to the first measured motion vector was made. It was assumed that ice displacement to the east of the last measured vector is constant near the ice edge. The monthly mean speed value results from the averaging of about 50 individual, unbiased displacement vectors, thus the calculated mean speed value should have an accuracy better than ±0.1 cm/s.

Using the 3-day mean drift speeds as derived above, corresponding FS ice area export along 79°N was calculated as the product of this sea ice drift and corresponding 3-day values of passive microwave sea ice concentration (Kloster and Sandven 2015). The combined uncertainty of the passive microwave sea ice concentration and ice speed is about ±5% in the 3-day fluxes. The monthly mean values from 2004 – 2014 are shown in Figure 3. Values are summarized over a month or a season here (cumulative values) and the uncertainty for these values are further reduced because uncertainties become lower with a larger number of samples. A spring ice area export value of 500,000 km² is the sum of 60 3-day values from March 1 to August 31, and has an estimated uncertainty better than ± 5,000 km². From here on, ice area export will be referred to as ice export.

2.2 Sea Level Pressure observations: 1935-2014

To extend the time-period, observed monthly mean Sea Level Pressure (mSLP) values were used onwards from 1935 to estimate ice export prior to the ASAR data starting in February 2004. The cross-strait difference along 78°N was calculated between 18°W and 15°E based on monthly mSLP observations from Longyearbyen (Fig. 2, Svalbard Airport, Norwegian Meteorological Institute, http://eklima.met.no) and from weighted averages of monthly mSLP from two nearby stations on the Greenland side at 18°W; Danmarkshavn and Nord (Fig. 2, Danish Meteorological Institute, Cappelen 2014). mSLP is available from Danmarkshavn and Nord back to 1958. For the 1935-1958 period, a linear regression between Nord and Tasiilaq further south was performed.

The mSLP observations were then used to calculate cross-strait geostrophic winds following (Thorndike and Colony 1982). Because mSLP from Danmarkshavn and Nord correlated well (r=0.93), we derived a linearly interpolated value at 78°N,18°W directly using these stations onwards from 1958. For the period 1935-1958, interpolated values between station Nord and Tasiilaq were used, which have a somewhat lower correlation (r=0.77). Our method assumes that wind and ocean drag are the dominant forces acting on the sea ice, consistent with geostrophic winds explaining more than 70 % of the variance of ice drift speed in the Arctic Ocean (Thorndike and Colony 1982). In the FS, winds have also been found to be the dominant force acting on sea ice (Widell et al., 2003), and the cross strait pressure difference in SLP well represents the
ice drift on a daily time-scale (Tsukernik et al., 2009). On the other hand, van Angelen et al. (2011) simulated local Fram Strait surface winds and found them more related to thermal wind forcing than larger scale forcing. Nevertheless, they found that ice export for individual years from 1979 to 2007 was better explained by large-scale forcing because thermal winds were mostly constant between years.

### 2.3 Merged Ice Drift and Export: 1935-2014

We next evaluate the relationship between the observed SAR ice drift speed and the geostrophic wind since 2004 to verify the use of mSLP to extend the record prior to 2004. A linear regression between monthly mean ice drift speed and geostrophic wind from 2004 to 2014 ($r=0.77$, with 95% confidence interval [0.68, 0.83]) reveals that the ice in FS generally drifts at a speed that is 1.6% of the geostrophic wind speed (Eq. 1). The constant contribution resulting from the linear regression represents the speed of the ice given no local wind forcing, and is 6.7 cm/s (Eq.1). In other words, the value of 6.7 cm/s represents the mean ocean current, though nonlinear components of ice drift, including forces from variations in ocean currents or internal ice stress may also represent parts of this constant (Thorndike and Colony 1982). It is important to note however, it is not the locally wind-driven, ocean current. Both the mean drift speed and the mean ocean current are comparable to previous studies (Widell et al., 2003; Smedsrud et al., 2011; Thorndike and Colony 1982). The standard error of the regression is 3.4 cm/s.

$$V_{ice} = 0.016 \times V_g + 0.067 \ [\text{m/s}].$$ (1)

If sea ice concentration does not change systematically inside the ice pack locally, we expect a similar relationship between mSLP and sea ice export. This was indeed what we found, with a correlation between the cross-strait mSLP and ice export of $r=0.73$.

The annual cycle of ice speed and export is similar to earlier estimates, with higher speeds during winter, and weaker during summer (Kwok 2009). The annual mean speed is close to 12 cm/s (Fig. 4), which is a spatially averaged value between 15°W and 5°W, and a temporal average for the years 2004-2014.

However, a clear seasonal difference is observed between the SAR ice speed and the mSLP-estimated ice speed (Fig. 4). Previously it was assumed that the mean ocean current would be constant throughout the year (Kwok 2009; Smedsrud et al., 2011), e.g. 6.7 cm/s from eq. 1. However, based on the 10 years of detailed SAR velocities, we instead find it is necessary to account for the seasonality of the mean ocean current in order to match the mSLP-derived ice drift with the SAR data. The suggested seasonal change is a mean winter current (December – April) of 9.5 cm/s, and a mean summer current of 3.9 cm/s (June – October). The East Greenland Current (EGC) thus appears 2.8 cm/s stronger than the mean during winter, and 2.8 cm/s weaker during summer. Note that this seasonal difference cannot be explained by a seasonally varying internal ice stress, because ice is thicker and denser during winter, which would result in a larger ice stress and therefore weaker ice drift speed for a similar wind speed.

An increase in the EGC would be consistent with generally stronger winds in the North Atlantic region during winter, and also stronger thermal forcing (van Angelen et al., 2011). This suggests that the EGC is responding to the larger scale wind-forcing as well as to the local winds. Generally, the entire circulation along the continental slope of the Arctic Basin - Nordic Seas is driven by the wind-stress curl north of the Greenland-Scotland ridge (Isachsen et al., 2003). Two recent studies confirm that the EGC is stronger during winter, and may respond to the large-scale wind stress curl in the Nordic Seas or the stronger thermal forcing during winter. It is thus likely that this increase is causing the additional winter export (Fig. 4). de
Steur et al. (2014) analyzed mooring data along 79°N between 1997 and 2009, and found that surface currents were below 5 cm/s during summer and 10-15 cm/s during winter, also varying in the east-west direction. Daniault et al. (2011) found a maximum in the flow in January and a minimum in July for the years 1992-2009 based on satellite radar altimetry data at 60°N, and that the vertical distribution remained constant over this time period.

The above studies support a bias-correction for the constant EGC speed in eq. 1 to increase (decrease) the mSLP-based winter (summer) ice speeds. Thus, assuming a stronger EGC during winter, and weaker during summer, we added the seasonal difference to the time-series of mSLP-based ice speed. This means that in eq. 1, we add 2.8 cm/s to the constant 6.7 cm/s for the months December through April, and subtract 2.8 cm/s from the constant 6.7 cm/s for June through October, while May and November remained unchanged. This bias corrected mSLP-based ice speed better matches the SAR observations (Fig. 4), with a correlation of r=0.88.

The same correction was also applied for the calculated ice export, representing a decrease in summer values of 23,800 km² and increase in winter values of 22,400 km² accordingly (not shown). The seasonal correction further improves the correlations between observed and mSLP-based ice export (r=0.87). Figure 3 compares the mSLP based and SAR based monthly mean ice export values between 2004 and 2014, and confirms the close agreement between them. The mSLP based time series slightly over and under-estimates the observed SAR based values for individual months, but there is no systematic difference over time.

In other words, we expect our bias-corrected mSLP based time-series from 1935 to 2004 to explain about 80 % of the “true” ice drift and export variability. Note that we have assumed a constant seasonality for this bias-correction, and that if changes in seasonality for ice drift exist prior to 2004 exist this would affect our results. Using high resolution wind simulation, van Angelen et al. (2011) found a similar correlation (r=0.85) between annual export and surface wind for 1979 – 2007. Our described seasonal correction is also consistent with their simulated stronger thermal forcing during winter that would set up a sea surface gradient and drive a related stronger barotropic ocean current.

Taking into account the seasonally varying EGC and thermal wind explained above, in addition to the monthly varying geostrophic winds based on observed mSLP, we calculate monthly mean ice export prior to 2004 and merge blend them with the SAR-based observed ice export from 2004 to 2014. This generates an 80-year long record of monthly mean FS ice export.

2.4 Sea Ice Extent

Finally, a newly blended historical and modern record of sea ice concentrations is now available from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC), the “Gridded monthly sea ice extent and concentration, 1850 onwards” (Walsh et al., 2015). This data set is an improvement upon an earlier historical record from Chapman and Walsh (1991), and provides mid-month sea ice concentrations on a 0.25 x 0.25 degrees grid (Walsh et al., 2016). A total of 16 different sources of information were used to construct ice cover information back to 1850. Prior to the modern satellite data record, which began in October 1978 from a series of successive passive microwave sensors (e.g. the Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer (SMMR) and several Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) and SSMIS sensors), observations come from earlier satellite missions, aircraft and ship observations, compilations by naval oceanographers, ice charts from national ice services, and whaling log records, among others. For many regions and time-periods several sources of sea ice data and weighting was applied (Walsh et al., 2016). The monthly files are intended to represent ice on the 15th or 16th of each month using the NASA Team sea ice algorithm. Using this data set, the ice extent is defined as the area covered by ice of greater than 15 % ice concentration.
Initial evaluation of the data set indicated a problem with inconsistencies in the land mask applied throughout the entire time-period. This was fixed and led to a slight reduction in the overall sea ice extent prior to the satellite data record. To evaluate how ice export influences changes in sea ice cover within the Arctic basin, we use an Arctic Ocean Domain mask as defined in Serreze et al. (2007) and compute sea ice extent within this domain only (Fig. 1). For the September SIE time-series this mainly excluded the Greenland Sea downstream of the FS ice export, where we expect high export to contribute to a larger ice cover.

The mid-month sea ice concentration from Walsh et al. (2015) along 79°N (15°W to 5°E) was also used to examine the influence of changing sea ice concentration on the spring and winter FS ice export (Fig. 5). This influence is overall smaller than ±10%, and onwards from 1979 no significant differences are visible. We have chosen to present ice export based solely on the \textit{station-based} daily SLP observations producing the \textit{true} monthly mean mSLP, as further discussed below.

3 Results

3.1 Long-term (1935-2014) Annual Mean Ice Export Variability and Trends

Figure 2 shows that the temporal mean ice drift speed is quite constant spatially across the FS eastward of 5°W, and that the speed decreases westward towards the Greenland coast. Velocities are clearly strongest during winter with mean speeds above 20 cm/s, decreasing to less than 10 cm/s during summer eastward of 5°W. FS ice drift is in the south-southwesterly direction steered by the Greenland Coast. The ice export occurs mostly between 5°W and the Greenwich Meridian. The export is limited on the western side by the decreasing ice speed, reaching zero at 16°W, where stationary land fast ice is usually found. On the eastern side the ice export is limited by zero concentration varying from 5°W to 5°E (not shown).

The 1935-2014 long-term annual FS sea ice export, defined as September 1 to August 31, is on average 883,000 km², and ranges from 0.6 to 1.2 million km² (Fig. 5). Winter export is defined as September through February and has a long-term mean of 528,000 km². We define spring export as March through August, and the mean value is 354,000 km². Note that the 1935-2014 long-term annual mean ice export of 883,000 km² is 25% higher than previously found by Kwok (2009) using data from 1979 to 2007, but similar to values from Thorndike and Colony (1984), Widell et al. (2003) for 1950-2000 and Smedsrud et al. (2011) for the period 1957 to 2011.

The consequences of the export variability are discussed later, here we just note that since 2006, ice export has remained higher than the long-term mean (Fig. 5), and that for 2011-2013, the annual export exceeded 1 million km². In addition, there are a number of notable export events in the 80-year time series. Note that there are no mSLP values observed during WWII, so the seemingly constant values from 1940 to 1945 are set identical to the long term mean annual values. The lowest annual export occurred in 1946 with only 599,000 km² exported, and the highest export with 1,206,000 km² was in 1995.

The annual and seasonal trends appear robust, and no systematic difference appears by merging the mSLP based values prior to 2004 with the SAR-based values since 2004. This was confirmed by comparing trends for the merged time series (1935-2014) with those based on the observed mSLP only (1935-2014). For example, the mSLP-based annual trend is 0.2 versus 0.3 % per decade for the merged values, while for winter the values are 1.1 versus 1.6 % per decade, and spring -0.8 versus -1.3 % per decade. These long-term trends are small and not significantly different from zero (p =0.2), and are therefore not included in Fig. 5. We also searched for specific cycles, or frequencies, in the new 80-year time series. Apart from the obvious annual cycle (Fig. 4) we could not find any special peaks in calculated spectrums of the annual, winter or spring.
export (not shown). The smoothed time series appeared similar for cut-off frequencies representing cycles above 10 years, so we chose to show a 20-year cut-off (or frequency of 0.05 cycles/year) in Fig. 5. Overall the variations are similar for the annual and spring export values, while there is less long-term variability in the winter export. For the smoothed series there is a distinct peak in annual and spring export between 1951 and 1954. After 1954, there is a decrease in annual and spring export until the mid 1980’s, and an overall increase onwards to 2014. Thus, there is a hint of a long-term multidecadal oscillation with a period around 70-years.

3.2 Recent (1979-2014) Ice Export Variability and Trends

The increasing exports onwards from the 1980’s create statistically significant positive trends for both the annual and seasonal values over the modern satellite data record, and the time-period for which large declines in sea ice have been observed. For example, from 1979 to 2014 we find a positive trend in annual export of +5.9 % (p=0.025) per decade (Fig. 5). This trend is consistent with a general increase in ice drift speed observed well inside the deep Arctic Basin (Fig. 1, Spreen et al., 2011, Rampal et al., 2009), but the small number of buoys exiting in FS have precluded estimating trends there. The positive trend in annual ice export from 1979 to 2014 is largely driven by higher ice export during spring: the winter ice export trend is +3.0 % (p=0.213) per decade, while the spring export trend is +11.1 % (p=0.011) per decade (Fig. 5).

The increasing spring export since 1979 may have important implications for the sea ice cover, and we therefore analyze this time-period further to make sure no biases are introduced by using the merged blended mSLP + SAR export fields. Trends are found to be similar between the merged (mSLP + SAR) and mSLP based time-series, caused by the very similar monthly values between 2004 and 2014 (Fig. 3). There is a positive trend in spring export of +1.3 % (±6.8) per decade from the mSLP only based estimates, and a trend of 11.1 % (±8.1) per decade using the merged time-series. These trends are not significantly different at the 95 % confidence level. Note that around 1980, the spring export was approximately half of the winter export. The robust trend in spring export since 1980 has resulted in a smaller seasonal difference, and for 2011 and 2012, the export in winter and spring were of similar magnitude (Fig. 5). The recent high values could perhaps be surprising, were it not for the longer time-series where a similar high spring export is evident in the 1950’s.

We also do not find a “shift” in the trends after 2004 when the SAR values are used. For example, the spring 1979 - 2003 trend is +9.1 % (±11.3) per decade, almost as high as the 11.1 % for the 1979-2014 period. So the spring export is the main cause of increased annual export before and after 2003, and the differences are not significant at the 95 % confidence level. Due to the few years and large variability, the ~20 year trends are generally not significantly different from zero (p>0.05).

The annual trend for 1979 – 2003 is 3.8 % (p=0.36), compared to 5.9 % for 1979-2014, and for winter 0.8 % (p=0.84), compared to 2.6 % for 1979 – 2014. This indicates that the export trends since 1979 are related to a gradual increase in mSLP across FS over most of this period. The increased spring ice export is due to stronger geostrophic winds, driven by an increase of 0.53 hPa per decade in mSLP over Greenland between 1979 and 2014. The increase in SLP is strongest in June – August, and covers the larger part of Greenland (not shown). The mSLP trend on the Svalbard side is a slightly lower and negative trend, is strongest in March – May, and covers the larger part of the Barents Sea (not shown).

4 Discussion

In this study we use station mSLP data, rather than values derived from atmospheric reanalysis data sets and simulations (Smedsrud et al., 2011, Widell et al., 2003; van Angelen et al., 2011), because we discovered unexplained systematic differences between NCEP reanalysis mSLP fields and observed mSLP within the FS in recent years. Despite the wide use
of reanalysis data sets, they are heavily influenced by the numerical model used to simulate the fields, and they are therefore regarded as less accurate than the station data used in this study.

Prior to 2004 we do not utilize observations of cross-strait variations in the width of the ice covered area, ice speed or ice concentrations, but base our ice export values solely on the regression equation found between observed mSLP from Longyearbyen, station Nord, Danmarkshavn and Tasiilaq (Fig. 2) and observed SAR ice export. The new Walsh et al (2015) mid-month sea ice concentration provided a possibility to consistently analyse the effect of varying seasonal sea ice concentration prior to 2004 (Fig. 5). The changes were overall small, and only during the 1950’s were sea ice concentration anomalies across 79°N (15°W - 5°E) large enough to contribute to a 10% increase in ice export (Fig. 5).

The 1935 – 2013 seasonal mean ice concentration from Walsh et al (2015) during winter is 83% (std. ±11%), and for spring close to 72% (std. ±9%, not shown). There are no significant long-term trends in sea ice concentration for the spring or winter months between 1935 and 2013. We concluded that using mSLP based on daily observations is the most consistent way to calculate ice export over the last 80-years. The Walsh et al. (2015) values are mid-month values mostly based on sea ice extent estimates during summer before 1979, with very few winter observations available.

There are other possible systematic contributions to the overall uncertainty from a number of factors, like sea ice roughness, the ocean current, the thermal wind, and changes in sea ice thickness. Our best estimate for the uncertainty in the seasonal spring and winter means is therefore about 10%, i.e. 354,000 km² ± 35,000 km² for spring, and 528,000 km² ± 52,000 km².

4.1 Long-term variability of September SIE

The mid-September SIE time series shows two stages, a modest increase from 1935 until around 1965, and then a monotonic decrease over the last 50 years (Fig. 6). There is a “break-point” around the mid 1990’s when the September SIE loss accelerates as has been noted earlier (Stroeve et al., 2012). From the mid 1960’s until the mid 1990’s the loss in SIE is small. The minimum SIE value pre-dating 1995 occurs in 1952, and the last two minima in 2007 and 2012 are also clearly visible (Fig. 6). The overall mid-September SIE maximum occurred in 1963.

Evaluating 30-year trends for successive 30-year periods, along with the standard errors shows distinct periods of ice loss and ice gain (Fig. 7). From the 1950s to 1967, trends are positive and then become negative. The long-term 1935-1990 trend is essentially 0, in stark contrast to the 1991 to 2013 trend, which is strongly negative. The last 7 consecutive 30-year trends are significantly different from trend periods before 1990 (Fig. 7), and thus the September ice loss since the 1990s is unprecedented as far back as 1850 (Walsh et al., 2016).

4.2 Effects of long-term variability and trends in ice export

Our ice export values are largely consistent with previous studies on FS ice export for the recent decades (Kwok et al., 2013; Sreen et al., 2009; Smedsrud et al., 2011). The year-to-year variability is of the same order, and the maximum and minimum values are also similar. The largest difference to the Smedsrud et al. (2011) export values is that the time series is updated to 2014 and now extends back to 1935, and that the seasonal adjustment representing the East Greenland current provides a better match with the SAR based monthly export (Fig. 3). In addition, there is no overall long-term linear trend in annual export.

The effect of sea ice drift variability on the Arctic sea ice cover in general has been recognized for a long time (Thorndike and Colony 1982). Rigor et al (2002) used drifting buoy data from 1979 to 1998, and found a systematic change between the
1980’s and 1990’s driven by the large scale atmospheric forcing. During the 1980’s the Beaufort gyre was large, the ice stayed inside the Arctic Basin for several years, and FS sea ice export was low, contributing to a thicker ice cover. In the 1990’s the Beaufort gyre weakened, ice drift was more directly from the Siberian coast to FS, and the FS sea ice export was higher. Our results are consistent with Rigor et al. (2002) in that the annual export was lower during the 1980’s (810,000 km²) than during the 1990’s (890,000 km²). The overall maximum annual export in a calendar year occurred in 2012 with a value of 1,176,000 km², but the second largest calendar year export occurred in 1995 (1,131,000 km²). Note that these values are a little different from those plotted in Figure 5, which show the winter + spring export from September 1 through August 31.

One suggested mechanism for the rapid decline in summer Arctic SIE is that a larger winter export could create a larger fraction of thin first year ice that is more prone to melting out the following summer. In addition, first year ice is smoother than thick and old ice, and may allow for larger fractions of melt ponds during summer (Landy et al., 2015). Schröder et al. (2014) found a strong correlation between such simulated spring melt pond fractions and September Arctic SIE. However, in this study we find that the correlation between winter ice export and the following September SIE is modest (r=−0.26 between 1979-2014.). Thus, the small increase in winter ice export over the last 35 years (2.6 % per decade) suggests that summer ice loss is not particularly sensitive to winter sea ice export. Because the winter export is larger than the spring export there has generally been a clear connection between annual and winter export anomalies. Yet while there is little change in winter export, there has been a notable increase in the spring export. In fact in recent years, the spring export has been almost as large as the winter export (Fig. 5). An increase in summer ice export (June-September) for 2000-2010 was already noted by Kwok et al (2013), and here we show that this is part of a longer trend. We turn our attention towards the increasing spring export in section 4.5, but first examine the cause of the variability in the larger atmospheric circulation.

4.3 Influence of large-scale atmospheric circulation

Rigor et al. (2002) concluded that annual FS export correlated well with the Arctic Oscillation (AO) index during the 1980’s and 1990’s, and found a 10 % increase of FS export with an AO index of +1. The response was most apparent for the winter (DJF) AO index and the winter ice export. Examining our longer time series, this relationship does not appear stationary in time, and since 2000, the AO index has fluctuated around zero, while the FS export has remained at anomalously high levels. The maximum DJF AO value occurred in 1989, not related to a peak in the annual FS export. Over the 80 year time-series we find that the winter AO index is not a good indicator of winter FS ice export, the correlation is as low as r=0.19 (using DJF AO, and winter export (SONDJF), and only increases to r=0.22 if the winter AO index is also calculated for SONDJF. This is not surprising because the AO spatial pattern does not exhibit strong pressure gradients in the FS (not shown). The lack of a consistent long-term relationship between FS export and the AO index is consistent with Hilmer and Jung (2000). They found no correlation between the winter North Atlantic Oscillation index (NAO, which closely resembles the AO), and winter FS ice export for the period 1958 – 1977, but higher correlations for 1978-1997, again consistent with Rigor et al. (2002).

Other studies have suggested FS export is more strongly linked to a SLP dipole pattern than the AO (Tsukernik et al., 2009). They found that on a daily time scale, the atmospheric circulation pattern responsible for the export anomalies from 1979 to 2006 was a dipole between the Barents Sea (low pressure) and Greenland (high pressure). The ice motion was maximized at 0-lag, persisted year-round, and over time scales of 10–60 days. This SLP dipole pattern emerged from the second empirical orthogonal function (EOF) of daily SLP anomalies in both winter and summer, with maximum correlation east and west of the FS. An implication of this result is to use station based observed cross-strait SLP pressure gradient like we have done here.
The observed cross-strait SLP gradient, the dipole pattern analyzed by Tsukernik et al. (2009) and the Arctic Dipole (AD) are similar expressions of varying strength of the southerly winds in FS (Wu et al., 2006). The AD has been suggested previously as a major driver of the record low Arctic summer SIE in 2007 (Wang et al., 2009) and was defined as the second leading mode (PC2) of spring (April-July) SLP anomalies within the Arctic Circle. In this study, we define a positive AD pattern as having a positive SLP anomaly over Greenland and a negative SLP anomaly over the Kara and Laptev Seas, a pattern which enhances transpolar ice drift. We calculate the AD index onwards from 1948 using the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data, for which data are not available before 1948. The observed AD index and spring export correlates \( r_{AD-FS \text{ ice export}} = 0.45 \) over the longer period 1948-2014 (Fig. 8), as well as over the shorter satellite data record \( r_{AD-FS \text{ ice export}} = 0.44 \) for 1979-2014. These correlations suggest the AD explains part of the FS ice export variability, but that the cross-strait SLP gradient remains the best predictor of the local wind forcing and therefore FS sea ice drift. These results substantiate the results of Wu et al. (2006) that found a similar link for FS ice motion and the AD using buoy data from 1979 – 1998. In future projections, high rates of summer Arctic sea ice loss are also associated with enhanced transpolar drift and FS ice export driven by changing sea level pressure patterns (Wettstein et al., 2014). Wettstein et al. (2014) found co-varying atmospheric circulation patterns resembling the AD, with maximum amplitude between April and July.

4.4 High annual export during the last decade

Our updated time series shows large annual values of Arctic sea ice export during the last decade. The same SAR-based export values used here were previously used by Smedsrud et al. (2011) for 2004 – 2010, but 2011, 2012 and 2013 had unreported annual exports above 1 million km² (Fig. 5). A comparison between SAR-based and passive microwave-based drift speeds gave mostly similar values for both methods since 2007, but indicated some high export events that are only detected using the SAR-based drift speeds (Smedsrud et al., 2011). This is likely the major explanation for the difference between previous passive microwave-based export values (Kwok 2009) and our results. Note that Kwok et al. (2013) does find a positive trend for annual export for 2001 – 2009, and the values are lower. We believe that the cause of the differences primarily results from the coarse resolution of the passive satellite observations missing some high-speed export events during winter. We speculate that high sea-ice concentrations in the FS make it difficult to track individual sea ice floes using the coarser resolution passive microwave images as done by Kwok et al. (2013), and note that changes in FS sea ice concentration between 1979 and 2004 does not lead to such differences in ice export (Fig. 5).

The Arctic Basin covers an area of about 7.8 million km², and has been fully ice covered from November through May since 1979. The annual ice export during the 1980’s (~800,000 km²) was 10 % of this winter ice covered area. However, during the last seven years (2007-2014) the mean annual ice export increased to nearly 1 million km², representing 13 % of this area. This is the relative ice export, or the large-scale divergence of the Arctic Basin sea ice cover; the export divided by the area covered by sea ice.

If the sea ice cover decreases and the export remains constant, the divergence, or the relative export, increases too. The observed increase in export represents a 30 % increase in the relative area export, but with a smaller annual mean ice covered area inside the Arctic Basin the increase rises to about 40 %. This value is based on using a 1 million km² in export and a mean annual ice covered area inside the Arctic Basin of 7.0 million km² for the last 7 years (2007 – 2014). Such an increase in export is expected to contribute towards both a thinner and smaller Arctic ice cover in general (Langehaug et al., 2013), because older and thicker sea ice than the Arctic Basin average is transported southward through FS. During winter, the open water anomalies created within the basin quickly refreeze, and thus, an impact of the modestly increased winter ice export...
since 1979 has likely been towards a thinner ice cover (Lindsay and Schweiger 2015). This is consistent with Fučkar et al. (2015) who found that a reduction of the FS winter export related to their Canadian-Siberian Dipole cluster explains a thickening over most of the Arctic Basin between 0.2 – 0.5 m. This cluster is the one with the largest change in FS export and explains 28.6 % of the variability, while the other two clusters mostly describe divergence within the Basin. Williams et al. (2016) recently found a clear link between FS area export anomalies and September SIE (r=0.72) for the years 1993-2014, and that export anomalies from February until June contributed to the following September SIE. We therefore proceed with a discussion of the spring export that has increased since 1979.

4.5 Consequences of spring Fram Strait ice export anomalies

The later in the season the export anomaly occurs, the stronger effect one might expect on the September minimum SIE. But working against this, is the overall decrease in export from March towards August (Fig. 4). However, even if there is some re-growth in March, April and May, from increased spring export, the newly formed ice will be thin and have a thin snow cover, and therefore likely melt more easily and deform later the same season. The transition from winter and re-freezing, to summer and positive ice-albedo feedback, occurs gradually later in the year as one moves north, but melting will prevail over most of the Arctic Basin onwards from May (Markus et al., 2009). Williams et al. (2016) found that FS export anomalies are a better predictor for the September SIE than coastal divergence between 1993 and 2014. This was caused by the ice export being a good estimate also for the net perennial pack ice divergence. Our results confirm their findings for the last two decades, but we also find a more moderate link for the entire 80 year period. For the recent ten years (2004-2014) when our ice export values are directly observed by SAR the de-trended anti-correlation is $r_{\text{FS ice export - Sept SIE}} = -0.74$ (Table 1), similar to Williams et al. (2016). For the last 20 years we get a more modest influence ($r=-0.47$), and for the entire 80 year period we get $r=-0.43$ (Table 1). The explained general variance is thus in the range 15-20 %.

The increase in spring FS ice export from the 1980’s – 2010 was on the order of 200,000 km² (Fig. 5). Meanwhile the September SIE decreased by about 2.0 million km² (Fig. 6). It is thus a similar magnitude of influence, about 10 %, between the direct change in spring FS ice export compared to the anomalies in September SIE themselves, as found based on the anti-correlations in Table 1.

Any perturbations in Arctic sea ice cover may be further enhanced by positive feedback mechanisms. Two strong positive feedbacks that enhance summer ice loss are the ice-albedo feedback (Perovich et al., 2007) and the smaller resistance of thinner ice to wind driven ridging (Rampal et al., 2009, Hutchings and Perovich 2015). Since 1979 melt onset also begins about 10 days earlier than it used to inside the Arctic Basin, allowing for earlier development of open water (Stroeve et al., 2014). Using observed solar forcing from the North Pole stations (Björk and Söderkvist 2002) and representative change in surface albedo and open water area (Perovich et al., 2007), we estimate that an additional ice area exported during spring (March – August) of 200,000 km² can lead to melting of about 400,000 km² of a 1.5 m thick sea ice cover (Zygmuntowska et al., 2014). The ice-albedo feedback thus has the potential to increase initial open water areas to a total of 600,000 km², or explain about 25 % of the total 2.5 million km² loss of September SIE since the 1980’s.

4.6 Other drivers of September Sea Ice Extent variability

Finally, a large number of processes have likely contributed to the September SIE variability over the last 80 years. Among these are advection of heat towards the Arctic in the ocean and the atmosphere, sea ice divergence within the Arctic Ocean, increased greenhouse gases of the atmosphere, and also changes in sea ice export. From the above discussion the influence from ice export appears to be generally between 10-20 %. For individual years like 2007, sea ice divergence is found to be
an important factor (Hutchings and Perovich, 2015), while the influence from atmospheric and oceanic heat transport is further discussed below.

Using coupled climate model simulations, Zhang (2015) identified the northward Atlantic heat transport, Pacific heat transport, and the spring Arctic Dipole as the main predictors of low-frequency variability of summer Arctic SIE. The study focused on variability longer than 30 years, and used a 3,600-year segment of the pre-industrial control simulation from the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) Coupled Model version 2.1 (CM2.1). The influence of oceanic heat transport is smaller for the year-to-year variability that we focus on in this paper, leaving the AD as one of the main causes of simulated summer Arctic SIE variability at the inter-annual time scale.

Using the same 3600-year long GFDL CM2.1 control simulation, we find that the simulated spring FS ice export is indeed significantly inversely correlated with the September SIE ($r = -0.34$) and positively correlated with the AD index ($r=0.63$, not shown). This is similar to the inverse correlation found between the observed de-trended spring FS ice export and September SIE over the 80 years from 1935 to 2014 ($r = -0.43$, Table 1) and supports a general level of influence from the spring FS ice export of around 10% on the observed September SIE loss. The link between the AD and spring FS ice export appears stronger in model simulations than for the available observations ($r_{\text{AD-FS ice export}} = 0.45$) between 1948 and 2014.

The simulations in Zhang (2015) suggested the AD to be one of the main drivers of low frequency summer Arctic SIE. Here we would like to estimate how much of this AD influence can be explained by FS ice export at the inter-annual time scale from observed correlations since 1979. In this period $r_{\text{AD-Sept SIE}} = -0.53$. We roughly estimate that 45% of this correlation is caused indirectly by the FS spring export variability, because: $r = r_{\text{AD-FS ice export}} \times r_{\text{FS ice export-Sept SIE}} = 0.44 \times -0.54 = -0.24$, and $(-0.24) / (-0.53) = 0.45$. The FS spring export and the AD therefore have roughly equal contributions to September SIE variability in addition to the common mechanism being stronger northerly geostrophic winds driving higher spring export. The additional direct AD influence on summer Arctic SIE is probably due to ridging inside the Arctic Basin, and atmospheric advection of heat and moisture (Graversen et al., 2011). The FS export influence independent from the AD is plainly export variability not driven by AD.

We also examined a forced historical simulation for the 20th century combined with a forced 21st century projection under the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 3 (CMIP3) A1B scenario using the GFDL model. Such a simulation is forced with changes in all external forcings such as anthropogenic greenhouse gases and aerosols. In these simulations we found no significant trend in simulated spring FS ice export between 1979 and 2013. We thus suggest further studies on the role of FS export anomalies using dedicated numerical simulations. This is consistent with the 1935-2014 long-term trend being close to zero, and further suggests that the atmospherically-driven FS ice export increase since 1979 (Fig. 5) is mostly due to natural variability.

5 Conclusions

A new and updated time-series of Fram Strait ice area export from 1935-2014 was presented in this study. The new time-series was constructed using high resolution radar satellite imagery of sea ice drift across 79°N from 2004 - 2014, regressed on the observed cross-strait surface pressure difference back to 1935. The overall long-term mean annual export is 883,000 km², and there are no significant trends over this 80-year record. Winter export (September - February) carries about 60% of the annual export, while the spring export (March - August) carries the remaining 40%.
The pressure difference from observed sea level pressure across the Fram Strait on Svalbard and Greenland directly explains 53 % of the variance in the observed ice export for 2004 - 2014. The best fit between ice drift and geostrophic winds results in a seasonal difference of ~3 cm/s, suggesting that the East Greenland Current, carrying a large part of the export, flows faster during winter and slower during summer, consistent with generally stronger large-scale or thermal wind forcing. The ice export based on observed sea level pressure, including a seasonal variation in the underlying current, explains almost 80 % of the observed ice export variance.

While there are no long-term trends in export from 1935 to 2014, we do find positive and robust trends in sea ice area export over the last 35 years. This increase in export is created by stronger geostrophic winds, largely due to an observed increase in the surface pressure on Greenland, creating a positive trend of +6 % per decade for annual mean ice area export since 1979 (Fig. 5). The trend is mostly explained by the high trends for spring and summer months (March-August), when ice export has a robust trend of +11 % per decade. These seasonal values of ice export since 1979 are not sensitive to changes in Fram Strait sea ice concentration from Walsh et al. (2015), but the ice export in the 1950’s may have been higher because of higher sea ice concentration during winter and summer then.

Despite not explaining all of the variance in ice export, the surface pressure based time series suggest about 18% of the observed variance in September sea ice extent is explained by spring ice export through Fram Strait between 1935 and 2014. The remaining 82% of the variance would be caused by a large number of other processes, such as changes in ocean stratification and heat transport (Zhang 2015), cloud cover (Francis et al., 2005), atmospheric heat transport (Graversen et al., 2011) and wind driven ridging (Hutchings and Perovich 2015). We have confidence in the moderate contribution from the Fram Strait export this result because it is a physical process, and the results are similar to simulations partially explaining the correlation between the observed Arctic Dipole anomalies and the September sea ice extent (SIE) (Zhang 2015). This is simply the wind forcing (Arctic Dipole) driving the ice export, again leading to anomalies in September SIE. Onwards from the 1990’s, the covariance between influence of Fram Strait spring ice area export and September SIE appears to increases, consistent with the results from Williams et al. (2016). Between 1993 and 2014, 22 % of the observed variance in mid-September sea ice extent is explained by the spring ice export, increasing to 55 % for the last 10 years (Table 1). To reach such a level of influence, feedbacks or other processes like ridging inside the basin and related coastal divergence have likely contributed and may have been correlated with the export anomalies (Williams et al. 2016). Positive feedback mechanisms enhancing summer SIE anomalies are the ice-albedo feedback and increased deformation of thinner ice (Perovich et al., 2007; Rampal et al., 2009).

During the last 10-20 years, the Arctic sea ice cover has decreased quite rapidly, and the contributions from natural variability and greenhouse gas forcing are still being debated. We calculated an important driver of Arctic sea ice variability for the last 80 years, and found that over this time scale there is no systematic increase in sea ice area exported southwards out of the Arctic Ocean in the Fram Strait. This is consistent with available historical simulations stating that we do not expect any systematic ice export change related to global warming (Langehaug et al., 2013). This is also consistent with studies stating that there is little systematic change in the Arctic large-scale circulation (Vihma 2014). The Arctic ice cover is now thinner and more mobile than before, and over the last three decades the coupling between September ice cover seems to have acquired an increased coupling to and the Fram Strait spring sea ice area export has increased. The increased export over the last 35 years appears to be linked to natural variability on multi-decadal time-scales because there is no trend over the last 80 years. Such a long-term variability has been found in Northern Hemisphere surface air temperature and temperature of inflowing Atlantic Water to the Barents Sea (Smethie et al., 2013). Consequently we speculate that there
The potential for a partial recovery of the September SIE in the next decade or two, when, or if, the spring ice export decreases back to the long-term mean level of the last 80 years.
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Table 1. Correlations over time between Fram Strait Spring Export and mid-September Sea Ice Extent ($r_{FS\ ice\ export-Sept\ SIE}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Export and extent</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De-trended values</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures and Captions:

Figure 1: The Arctic Ocean and surrounding shelf and land areas. The large black arrow shows location of Fram Strait, and the red circles positions of the meteorological stations with Sea Level Pressure observations. The 1935 to 2014 mean positions of the
mid-month Sea Ice Extent is plotted for September (red) and March (black). The 1935-2014 mean annually exported sea ice area (883,000 km²) is illustrated by the polygon. The outer extent of the Arctic Ocean Domain is drawn using the yellow dashed line.

Figure 2: The Fram Strait between Greenland (left) and Svalbard (right) with summer (black arrows) and winter (white arrows) mean sea ice drift speed. Southward ice drift across 79°N (red line) from February 2004 to December 2014 were interpolated to 1° bins based on SAR imagery. Summer speeds are June – September means, while winter speeds are December - March means. Shades of blue show ocean bathymetry in 100 m steps down to 500 m depth. Red circles show locations for surface pressure observations on Svalbard (Longyearbyen) and Greenland (Station Nord and Danmarkshavn). Pressure observations were interpolated between the Greenland stations to calculate the mean pressure gradient along 78.25°N. Before 1958 pressure observations from Danmarkshavn are lacking, so observations from Tasiilaq (65.60°N, 37.63°W) were used, further south along the Greenland coast (Fig. 1).
Figure 3: Monthly mean Fram Strait sea ice export. The SAR-based values are calculated from 3-day displacement vectors and corresponding sea ice concentration, while the other time series uses observed mean Sea Level Pressure (mSLP) and the seasonal correction for a stronger East Greenland current during winter.

Figure 4: Annual cycle of monthly mean southward ice drift speed in Fram Strait between 2004 and 2014. Observed ice drift speed (SAR) are shown in blue, and our pressure based ice drift speed in black. The corrected ice drift speed is shown in red. Standard deviations of observed ice drift speed are shaded in purple, and of calculated ice drift speed as vertical, coloured lines.
Figure 5: Southward ice area export in Fram Strait. Ice export from 1935 - 2003 is based on the relationship between observed mean sea level pressure and observed ice export by SAR, and ice export from 2004 - 2014 are solely observations by SAR. Annual values (black) are averaged for September 1st through August 31st. Winter export is September 1st - February 28th. (blue) and Spring is March 1st - August 31st. (red). Values are plotted half way through the respective period. Smoothed time-series are included produced by filtering with a 20 year cutoff 8-order Butterworth filter (dashed lines), and linear trends are plotted onwards from 1979. The long-term (1935-2014) trends are not included because they are not significantly different from zero. The effect of using a time varying seasonal sea ice concentration based on Walsh et al. (2015) is shown using thin dashed lines.
Figure 6: Spring Fram Strait ice area export (red) and mid-September Arctic SIE (green). The ice export is averaged for March 1st through August 31st. Both time-series have been normalized by subtracting the mean and dividing with the standard deviation. The ice export is here plotted with negative values as high southward export for easier comparison. Smoothed time-series are included produced by filtering with a 20 year cutoff 8-order Butterworth filter. The 1979 - 2014 trends in ice export and mid-September SIE is shown as solid straight lines. SIE values are obtained from Walsh et al., (2015).

Figure 7: Consecutive 30-year trends of mid-September SIE in the Arctic Basin. The first green symbol shows the 30 year trend between 1935 and 1964, and is plotted at the center year in 1950. The next value in 1951 shows the trend for 1936 to 1965, and so on. The last green symbol in 1975 is for the 1961 to 1990 trend. The red symbols show trends after 1990, ending with the 1984 to 2013 trend. The blue symbol shows that the 1935 to 1990 trend was zero, and the orange symbol shows the trend from 1991 to 2013. SIE values are obtained from Walsh et al., (2015).
Figure 8. : Fram Strait Spring export (March-August) and April-July Arctic Dipole (NCEP/NCAR reanalysis) anomalies from 1948 – 2014. Both time series are de-trended and normalized by their standard deviations, 0.817 million km² and 28.8 hPa, respectively. The correlation between them is $r_{AD-FS \text{ ice export}} = 0.45$ for the entire period, and similar for 1979-2014 ($r_{AD-FS \text{ ice export}} = 0.44$).