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PRESENCE OF RAPIDLY DEGRADING PERMAFROST PLATEAUS IN SOUTHCENTRAL ALASKA

GENERAL COMMENTS:
This manuscript is based on complex study of degrading frozen peat plateaus in the area of warm isolated permafrost. Such studies are very important because permafrost degradation strongly affects environment and infrastructure. Permafrost dynamics near
the southern margin of the permafrost region is extremely complicated and has been studied very poorly, so this paper makes a significant contribution to permafrost science.

Thank you for the general praise Misha and for the very helpful and constructive review. We address each of your comments and suggested edits below in red bold text.

The manuscript contains unique information and is clearly written, and I strongly support its publication. Though I don’t have any major concerns, I believe that this manuscript needs some minor revision. These are my main recommendations:

1. I recommend to add several more references:


We appreciate the recommendations for incorporating additional references to these important research efforts and findings. We have incorporated a number of these references into the revised manuscript. These are highlighted above in red, italicized text.

2. I’m not satisfied with your explanations of low EIF values (0.09 to 0.13) calculated for your study sites (Pages 19-20, Lines 433-443). You wrote that “Lewkowicz et al. (2011) demonstrated that features with EIF values below 0.33 likely results from ice-
poor permafrost and/or a high unfrozen water content of the permafrost,” but in the cited paper high unfrozen water content is supposed to explain low electrical resistivities, not low EIF. I’m not sure there is any correlation between low EIF values and high unfrozen water content, because you already mentioned (Line 440) that frozen peat contains a lot of excess ice. Even in warm permafrost, only a fraction of pore ice transforms into unfrozen water, while relatively large (clearly visible) ice lenses and inclusions remain frozen (according to your description, “...frozen peat ... appears to be ice-rich, with a number of ice bands, ice lenses, and ice inclusions”). Probably low EIF values in your case result mainly from the nature of peat, which keeps its volume upon thawing pretty well in comparison with ice-rich mineral soil.

Besides, you didn’t provide any information on ice content of soils (except EIF). It will be good to compare ice content values obtained from frozen cores with EIF values. If you don’t have such data, you may find some information obtained from similar peat plateaus of boreal Alaska or Canada. For example, our team has published several papers with some ground-ice data: see Jorgenson et al., 2012, 2013; O’Donnell et al., 2012; Kanevskiy et al., 2014. I also recommend to add photos and descriptions of your frozen cores to Results.

During the initial submission of this paper we opted to indirectly estimate excess ice fraction based on previous research by Lewkowicz et al. (2011). We do understand the short-coming of such an approach for better describing the permafrost characteristics at our study sites in southcentral Alaska. Based on this comment and further discussion among coauthors, we asked our colleague Eva Stephani to contribute to this paper through analysis and incorporation of frozen core material that we had archived in freezers at the USGS Alaska Science Center. We now include material in the methods section on core prep and analysis, a paragraph in the results section describing the peat and ground-ice characteristics, and two new figures showing the cryostratigraphy and ice contents for a core collected in the Browns Lake wetland complex as well as photos of sections of the frozen cores prior to processing. We have also removed the material on EIF since we now directly quantify the icy nature of the permafrost deposits. We really appreciate this recommendation and we think that addition of this component makes the paper more well-rounded.
SPECIFIC COMMENTS:

Page 1, Line 24. I recommend to add “at some locations” or “the minimum depth” after “but.”

Thanks for this suggestion. We have modified the text here.

Page 5, Lines 111-118. I recommend to move this text to Methods.

Thanks for this suggestion. We have opted to retain this text here in the final paragraph of the introduction to highlight our objectives and study design. We also go into further detail on each of these aspects in the methods section.

Page 7, Line 148. I recommend to replace “These features are...” with “Degradation of permafrost plateaus is...” I also recommend to move this sentence to Introduction.

Thanks for this suggestion. We have modified the text here.

Page 13, Lines 298-300. You are talking about hummocks and depressions but you didn’t describe micro-topography in the paper. I recommend to add a short description to Chapter 2 (Study area).

Thanks for this suggestion. We have briefly described the microtopography in the methods section in the additional field surveys paragraph. We also show these results in Fig. 7b.

Page 19, Lines 422-431. You already presented these data in Results, so I recommend to shorten this paragraph.

Thanks for this suggestion. We have shortened this paragraph.

Page 22, Lines 489-499. Something is missing here.

Thanks for catching this mistake. We have fixed this sentence.

Page 22, Lines 495-496. I recommend to add several more references (see attached file).

Thanks for these suggestions. We have included these recommendations.

Page 23, Lines 520-522. I recommend to add several more references (see attached file).
Thanks for these suggestions. We have included these recommendations.

Page 24, Lines 545-546. I recommend to add one more reference (Shur and Jorgenson, 2007).

Thanks for this suggestion. We have included this reference here.

Page 47, Figure 7. I recommend to show expected permafrost boundary with dashed (or dotted) line (see attached file). Also it will be good to show the thickness of peat.

We appreciate this suggestion but have refrained from drawing the permafrost base boundary on Fig. 7b since we do not have the detailed information required to do this through our point measurements. We attempted to image this boundary continuously using GPR but were not able to resolve it. For these two reasons, we prefer to stick with our point data collection efforts in Fig. 7b. We now include a new figure that shows the thickness of peat and ground ice characteristics (Fig. 8).

More comments and suggestions (small edits mostly) are provided in the attached file.

Thanks for these additional minor edits Misha! We have incorporated all of them into the revised manuscript. You really helped us improve this paper.

Good luck!

Mikhail Kanevskiy, Institute of Northern Engineering University of Alaska Fairbanks

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
http://www.the-cryosphere-discuss.net/tc-2016-91/tc-2016-91-RC1-supplement.pdf
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I write a short review for this paper even if I am editor of it as it turned out impossible to find a 2nd referee due to holiday and fieldwork season. I have already given feedback to the paper before publication in TCD and the authors have adopted my recommendations.

Thank you for the previous feedback on the paper Andreas. We appreciated the comments and suggestions prior to publication in TCD. We have incorporated your further suggestions below in the revised version of the paper.

The paper is an interesting and nicely integrative (ground measurements, ground surveys, geophysical surveys, remote sensing) study about a little investigated but important topic. The paper is well written. I ask the authors to consider the following remaining comments:
- some PF temperatures seem very little below zero, in the range of logger accuracy. Some more explicit discussion about the logger accuracy with respect to your temperature results could be helpful.

Thanks for this suggestion. We have addressed this potential issue further by incorporating this text in the methods section: The manufacturer-specified accuracy of the thermistors is ±0.25 °C. Prior to deployment, we placed the data logger thermistors in a 0 °C ice bath for up to 45 minutes to estimate a calibration factor for post-processing of the data following download in the field. After calibration in a 0 °C ice bath, the precision of temperature measurements near 0 °C is limited only by the sensor-logger system sensitivity, which is 0.031 °C in this case. This means that the temperatures in our case were measured with the precision better than +/- 0.02 °C and changes in soil temperature exceeding 0.03 1°C can be recorded properly using this measuring system. This fact was established and demonstrated many times during our measurements in deeper boreholes using similar measuring systems when the annually measured temperature in some boreholes at deeper depths (50 m and deeper) will remain constant. These calibration techniques and measurement sensitivities are similar to improvements recorded for other measurement systems (Sannel et al., 2015; Cable et al., 2016).

- You don’t mention much the role of snow depths, timing and distribution playing a role in the spatial pattern and temporal evolution of your PF. At least theoretically, also changes in snow cover could be in parts behind the spatio-temporal variations you found. You collect and show snow depth data for your field sites, but seem not to discuss these, and the role of snow in general in the phenomena and changes studied. Is snow in your study area too shallow to play an important role? Was this always the case for the time periods and time scales considered?

These are very good questions and a valid point to raise here. Unfortunately, we lack well distributed snow depth data for the study region and in particular on the permafrost peat plateaus over short as well as long time scales. Previous research on permafrost plateaus in colder regions indicate that preferential
warming in the winter and increased snow accumulation leads to enhanced permafrost thaw in boreal peatlands (Camill, 2005; Osterkamp, 2007). Since the Kenai Peninsula lowlands experience a semi-continental climate due to the rain shadow produced by the Kenai Mountains, a lack of winter snow fall may have contributed to permafrost persistence in this region by allowing relatively cold winter air temperatures to propagate into the sub-surface. In table 1 we show that average end of winter snow depth declined over the three year ground temperature observation period, whereas ground temperatures have remained stable to slightly warmed in some instances. Permafrost loss on the Kenai Peninsula is likely associated with higher air temperature, particularly during the winter season, wildfires that remove the protective ecosystem cover, groundwater flow at depth, and lateral heat transfer from wetland surface waters in the summer. But the role of snow cover warrants further study in the region.
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Abstract. Permafrost presence is determined by a complex interaction of climatic, topographic, and ecological conditions operating over long time scales. In particular, vegetation and organic layer characteristics may act to protect permafrost in regions with a mean annual air temperature (MAAT) above 0 °C. In this study, we document the presence of residual permafrost plateaus on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands of southcentral Alaska, a region with a MAAT of 1.5±1 °C (1981–2010). Continuous ground temperature measurements between 16 September 2012 and 15 September 2015, using calibrated thermistor strings, documented the presence of warm permafrost (−0.04 °C to −0.08 °C). Field measurements (probing) on several plateau features during the fall of 2015 showed that the depth to the permafrost table averaged 1.48 m but at some locations was as shallow as 0.53 m. Late winter surveys (augering, coring, and GPR) in 2016 showed that the average seasonally frozen ground thickness was 0.45 m, overlaying a talik above the permafrost table. Measured permafrost thickness ranged from 0.33 m to >6.90 m. Manual interpretation of historic aerial photography acquired in 1950 indicates that residual permafrost plateaus covered...
920 ha as mapped across portions of four wetland complexes encompassing 4,810 ha. However, between 1950 and ca. 2010, permafrost plateau extent decreased by 60.0 %, with lateral feature degradation accounting for 85.0 % of the reduction in area. Permafrost loss on the Kenai Peninsula is likely associated with a warming climate, wildfires that remove the protective forest and organic layer cover, groundwater flow at depth, and lateral heat transfer from wetland surface waters in the summer. Better understanding the resilience and vulnerability of ecosystem-protected permafrost is critical for mapping and predicting future permafrost extent and degradation across all permafrost regions that are currently warming. Further work should focus on reconstructing permafrost history in southcentral Alaska as well as additional contemporary observations of these ecosystem-protected permafrost sites lying south of the regions with relatively stable permafrost.

1 Introduction

Permafrost is a major component of the cryosphere in the northern hemisphere, covering ~24 % of the terrestrial landscape (Brown et al., 1998). Permafrost is defined as ground that remains at or below 0 °C for at least two consecutive years (Van Everdingen, 1998). Four zones describe the lateral extent of permafrost regions: continuous (90–100 %), discontinuous (50–90 %), sporadic discontinuous (10–50 %), and isolated discontinuous (<10 %). This zonation typically represents the north to south changes in spatial distribution for terrestrial permafrost in high latitudes. Mean annual ground temperatures (MAGT) in the continuous permafrost zone can be as cold at -15 °C, fall within a narrow range around -2 °C in the discontinuous permafrost zone, and can be warmer than -1 °C in sporadic and isolated permafrost zones (Smith and Riseborough, 2002; Romanovsky et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2010). In the absence of extensive ground temperature data, researchers have estimated the southern limit of permafrost in northern high latitudes with continental-scale patterns of air temperature isotherms (Brown, 1960, 1970; Ferrians, 1965; Brown et al., 1998). However, in reality complex interactions between climatic, topographic, hydrologic, and ecologic conditions operating over long time scales regulate permafrost presence and stability (Shur and...
Due to these interactions, permafrost may persist in regions with a mean annual air temperature (MAAT) above 0 °C, and it may degrade in regions with a MAAT below -10 °C (Jorgenson et al., 2010). Thus, the extent and dynamics of permafrost and permafrost-related landscape features remain poorly mapped and modelled at sufficiently fine resolution needed for predicting the impact of climate change on specific local landscapes, which is necessary for many decision makers.

Permafrost warming, degradation, and thaw subsidence can have significant implications for ecosystems, infrastructure, and climate at local, regional, and global scales (Jorgenson et al., 2001; Nelson et al., 2001; Schuur et al., 2008). In general, permafrost in Alaska has warmed between 0.3–6.0 °C since ground temperature measurements began between the 1950–1980s (Lachenbruch and Marshall, 1986; Romanovsky and Osterkamp, 1995; Romanovsky et al., 2002; Osterkamp, 2007; Romanovsky et al., 2010). Warming and thawing of near-surface permafrost may lead to widespread terrain instability in ice-rich permafrost in the Arctic (Jorgenson et al., 2006; Lantz and Kokelj, 2008; Gooseff et al., 2009; Jones et al., 2015; Liljedahl et al., 2016) and the sub-Arctic (Osterkamp et al., 2000; Jorgenson and Osterkamp, 2005; Lara et al., 2016). Such land surface changes can impact vegetation, hydrology, aquatic ecosystems, and soil-carbon dynamics (Grosse et al., 2011; Jorgenson et al., 2013; Kokelj et al., 2015; O’Donnell et al., 2011; Schuur et al., 2008; Vonk et al., 2015). For example, in boreal peatlands, thaw of ice-rich permafrost often converts forested permafrost plateaus into lake and wetland bog and fen complexes (Camill, 1999; Jorgenson et al., 2001; Payette et al., 2004; Sannel and Kuhry 2008; Sannel and Kuhry, 2011; Quinton et al., 2011; Jorgenson et al., 2012; Kanevskiy et al., 2014; Swindles et al., 2015; Lara et al., 2016). Furthermore, the transition from permafrost peatlands to thawed or only seasonally frozen peatlands can have a positive or a negative feedback on regional...
and global carbon cycles depending on permafrost conditions and differential effects of thaw on
net primary productivity and heterotrophic respiration (Turetsky et al., 2007; Swindles et al.,
2015), as well as on the degree of loss of the former deep permafrost carbon pool (O’Donnell et
al., 2012).

In Alaska, a variety of permafrost conditions shape roughly 80% of the landscape (Jorgenson et al., 2008). Shur and Jorgenson (2007) proposed five classes of permafrost that describe the interaction of climatological and ecological processes. Arranged from coldest to warmest, these permafrost classes are as follows: climate-driven; climate-driven but ecosystem-modified; climate-driven but ecosystem-protected; ecosystem-driven; and ecosystem-protected. Ecosystem-protected permafrost is the warmest and most vulnerable of the five classes of permafrost and characterizes the sporadic and isolated permafrost zones. It comprises residual permafrost that persists due to favourable ecosystem factors under a climate that is not conducive to its formation.

Press disturbances, associated with warming air temperatures and increases in precipitation (especially snow), and pulse disturbances, such as fire or human activities, can trigger immediate ecosystem modification and permafrost thaw in these regions (Shur and Jorgenson, 2007).

Since permafrost acts as a sentinel, integrator, and regulator of climate change, improved understanding of its distribution and dynamics is essential, particularly along the southern permafrost boundary (Lunardini, 1996). Southcentral Alaska, a region with a MAAT ~2 °C, is typically mapped as being within the permafrost-free zone (Ferrians, 1965; Brown et al., 1998; Pastick et al., 2015). However, ecosystem-protected permafrost persists in southcentral Alaska in regions with present-day climatic conditions that are no longer conducive to its formation (Shur and Jorgenson, 2007). Isolated permafrost patches in southcentral Alaska exist on the western Kenai Peninsula Lowlands (Berg et al., 2009; Hopkins et al., 1955; Jorgenson et al., 2008) and in
the vicinity of Anchorage (Jorgenson et al., 2003; Riddle and Rooney, 2012; Kanevskiy et al., 2013). Enhanced insight into the resilience and vulnerability of ecosystem-protected permafrost is important due to its utility as a climate indicator and a forecaster of the environmental consequences expected to arise from permafrost thaw elsewhere in the boreal forest where MAAT is expected to warm beyond 0 °C in the coming decades (Beilman et al., 2001). Nevertheless, to date, detailed studies of these southcentral Alaska ecosystem-protected permafrost deposits have remained limited (Kanevskiy et al., 2013).

This study documents the presence of rapidly degrading permafrost plateaus on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands of southcentral Alaska (Fig. 1), a region with a MAAT of 1.5±1 °C (Fig. 2). In mid-September 2012, we conducted field studies at several black spruce plateaus located within herbaceous wetland complexes. Continuous ground temperature measurements between 16 September 2012 and 15 September 2015 confirmed the presence and degradation of permafrost. Probing, drilling, coring, and ground-penetrating radar surveys conducted in the summer, fall, and winter seasons provided additional information on the geometry of the frozen ground below the forested plateaus. Historic aerial photography and high-resolution satellite imagery from 1950, 1984, 1996, and ca. 2010 were also used to map decadal-scale changes in the aerial extent of the residual permafrost plateaus in portions of four wetland complexes on the western Kenai Peninsula. This study aims to document and incorporate the loss of ecosystem-protected permafrost into the overall understanding of landscape dynamics on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands. More importantly, insights into its stability will enhance mapping and predicting current and future permafrost extent along the southern fringe of the circumpolar permafrost region.
2 Study Area

The western Kenai Peninsula lowlands are located in southcentral Alaska, between 59.6–61.0 °N, and are generally less than 100 m above sea level (asl) (Fig. 1). The lowlands experience a semi-continental climate due to a rain shadow produced by the Kenai Mountains to the east and the presence of Cook Inlet to the west and north, and Kachemak Bay to the south (Jones et al., 2009). Regional MAAT for 1981–2010 was 1.5 °C, with a mean annual precipitation of 441 mm (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/crn/observations.htm) (Fig. 2). The lowlands represent a unique landscape where two major glacial ice fields converged during the Late Wisconsin, 25,000–21,000 kya (Reger et al., 2007). The modern topography, composed of moraines, outwash fans, kettle lakes, kames, and eskers, is indicative of this glacial history (Hopkins et al., 1955). During the Holocene, the Kenai Peninsula lowlands have succeeded to boreal forest, muskeg, and wetlands laced with rivers and creeks and dotted with lakes (Anderson et al., 2006; Reger et al., 2007). Pastick et al. (2015) recently mapped this region as being permafrost-free in the upper one meter of the ground surface.

The Kenai lowlands are situated in an ecotone between the coastal temperate rainforest and interior boreal forest. Species assemblages depend on topography and disturbance history, as well as their location relative to the rain shadow. Black spruce (Picea mariana), white spruce (Picea glauca), Sitka spruce (Picea sitchensis), Lutz spruce (Picea x lutzii, hybrid of white and Sitka spruce), paper birch (Betula kenaica), alder (Alnus sp.), black cottonwood (Populus trichocarpa), and aspen (Populus tremuloides) all occur within various forest stand types. Herbaceous and woody wetland complexes intermingle with these forests in low-lying areas and river corridors. Within wetland complexes, elevated forested plateaus, primarily black spruce but with some paper birch and cottonwood and an understory of dwarf shrubs, exist where the ground surface has been
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elevated above the regional water table. We suspected these features were associated with a volumetric expansion of freezing peat, forming a permafrost plateau, an elevated permafrost feature associated with frost heave (Zoltai, 1972, Zoltai, 1993). Characterization of degrading permafrost plateaus is the focus of our studies on the Kenai Peninsula.

3 Methods

In September 2012, we conducted field studies at a number of black spruce plateaus located within herbaceous wetland complexes (Fig. 1, Fig. 3). These studies documented frozen ground below an unfrozen layer with thicknesses ranging from 0.49m to >1.00 m. The plateau features tended to have sharply defined scalloped edges, marginal thermokarst moats, and collapse-scar depressions on their summits (Fig. 3). These traits were characteristic of the permafrost features described by Hopkins et al. (1955) on the Kenai Peninsula and similar to permafrost plateaus across colder boreal regions (Zoltai, 1972; Thie, 1974; Jorgenson et al., 2001; Camill, 2005; Sannel et al., 2015). To answer whether the frozen deposits encountered at the black spruce plateaus were indeed permafrost, we collected continuous ground temperature measurements for three years, measured late-summer thaw depths, mechanically drilled and cored for the base of the frozen ground, imaged the subsurface with ground-penetrating radar (GPR), and analysed a time series of high-resolution remotely sensed imagery. These research efforts are described in more detail below.

3.1 Field Instrumentation and Surveys

To confirm the presence or absence of permafrost, data loggers were installed on 12 September 2012 at one ground temperature monitoring site in the Browns Lake and at three sites in the Watson Lake area (Fig. 1). A 5 cm diameter Kovacs Enterprise ice auger was used to drill the boreholes.
and cased the holes with a 4.5 cm outer-diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tube from the base of the borehole to within 10 cm of the surface. Each site was instrumented with a four channel Hobo data logger (Onset U12-008) buried below the ground surface (bgs). The data loggers recorded hourly ground temperature at four depths from 0.10–3.00 m bgs using Hobo TMC1-HD and TMC2-HD thermistors (Table 1). The manufacturer-specified accuracy of the thermistors is ±0.25 °C. Prior to deployment, we placed the data logger thermistors in a 0°C ice bath for up to 45 minutes to estimate a calibration factor for post-processing of the data following download in the field. After calibration in a 0°C ice bath, the precision of temperature measurements near 0 °C is limited only by the sensor-logger system sensitivity, which is 0.031 °C in this case. Therefore, the temperatures in our case were measured with the precision better than +/- 0.02 °C and changes in soil temperature exceeding 0.031 °C can be recorded properly using this measuring system. This fact was established and demonstrated many times during our measurements in deeper boreholes using similar measuring systems when the annually measured temperature in some boreholes at deeper depths (50 m and deeper) will remain constant. These calibration techniques and measurement sensitivities are similar to improvements recorded for other measurement systems (Sannel et al., 2015; Cable et al., 2016). All data were post-processed prior to summarizing the hourly ground temperature data into daily, monthly, and annual means.

Additional field surveys at each study site provided information on the geometry of the frozen ground distribution and deposit types. A tile probe was used to measure the depth to frozen sediments at each ground temperature monitoring location in mid-September 2015 (limited to 2.2 m bgs). At the two forested plateaus in the Watson Lake wetland complex, tile probing locations were selected randomly and split between hummock and depression microtopography. At the Browns Lake site, this depth was recorded at three points every meter along a 100 m transect across...
the plateau feature. In addition, a topographic profile of the primary Browns Lake plateau was collected using a Leica survey-grade differential GPS (dGPS) system (±0.02 m vertical accuracy) on 09 October 2015 to adjust the probing measurements relative to the local topography. An additional dGPS profile was acquired on 19 February 2016 at an adjacent plateau to provide more relative feature height information in the wetland complex. At both the Browns Lake and Watson Lake locations, the frozen ground thickness was measured using the Kovacs Enterprise ice auger system powered by an 18V portable drill. At Browns Lake (site PF-BL-6), a borehole was also core-drilled to a depth of 5.38 m using a SIPRE permafrost corer (5 cm diameter) with an engine auger head for analysis of the frozen ground deposit (Fig. 1). The frozen cores were described according to the cryofacies method (French and Shur, 2010) using cryostructure classification systems inspired from Murton and French (1994) and Kanevskiy et al. (2014). Gravimetric ice contents of eleven samples were measured by oven drying at 60 °C for 168 hours. Volumetric ice contents were measured from ten well-preserved samples.

Implementation of GPR allowed to image certain characteristics of the frozen ground along the primary Browns Lake plateau feature. A shielded 100 MHz Mala antenna was used in July 2014 and Sensors & Software 100 MHz unshielded bi-static antennas in common-offset configuration in February 2016. The data were processed using commercially available Reflex-W processing software (Sandmeier, 2008). Basic processing steps included dewow, time-zero correction, removing bad traces, and bandpass filtering (40–67.2–128–369 MHz for Mala; 25–50–200–400 MHz for Sensors & Software). Additional processing steps included an average background subtraction with a running window of 20–100 traces to reduce noise from surface multiples, where applicable, and variable gain for viewing purposes. Care was taken during processing to preserve any flat-lying reflectors. Finally, the radargrams were corrected using the...
dGPS surface topography and converted two-way travel time to depth using an estimated average subsurface velocity of 0.038 m ns⁻¹ calibrated to average direct probe depths.

### 3.2 Remotely Sensed Imagery and Change Detection

Historic aerial photography and contemporary high resolution satellite imagery acquired between 1950–ca. 2010 provided an estimated extent of forested plateaus centered on four wetland complexes on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands. Four change detection study areas (Fig. 1) were selected based on the presence of forested plateau features surrounded by herbaceous wetland vegetation that likely indicated permafrost presence in the boreal wetlands on the Kenai Peninsula (Hopkins et al., 1955). Arranged from north to south, these included portions of the Mystery Creek, Watson Lake, Browns Lake, and Tustumena Lake wetland complexes (Fig. 1).

Mapping forested plateau features and their change over time is a common method for detection of permafrost thaw in boreal wetlands. The land cover change associated with conversion of a forested permafrost plateau to a lake or herbaceous wetland (i.e. bog or fen) is readily detectable in high-resolution remotely sensed imagery (Thie, 1974; Camill and Clark, 1998; Osterkamp et al., 2000; Jorgenson et al., 2001; Jorgenson et al., 2008; Payette et al., 2004; Quinton et al., 2011; Lara et al., 2016).

A 25 km² square study area was overlaid at each of the potential permafrost areas and clipped the wetland extent as defined by the 2001 National Land Cover Dataset for Alaska (http://www.mrlc.gov/nlcd2011.php) to define the mapping area. Panchromatic, Digital OrthoPhoto Quadrangle (DOQs) images were produced at a spatial resolution of 1.0 m for the entire Kenai Peninsula between July–August 1996. The DOQs provided the base upon which to georegister the other remotely sensed image datasets that consisted of panchromatic aerial photos collected in August 1950 (1:40,000 scale), color-infrared aerial photos acquired in 1984 (1:62,500 scale), and
scale), and panchromatic high-resolution satellite images (<1 m spatial resolution) acquired in ca. 2010. The mean RMS error associated with image georegistration was 1.82 m and ranged from 1.32–2.61 m. All images were sampled to a ground resolution of 1 m. Following image registration, forested plateaus were manually digitized in a Geographic Information System (ArcGIS v. 10.1) at a mapping scale of 1:1,000 (Fig. 4). The high-spatial resolution, georegistered remotely sensed datasets allowed for the assessment of residual permafrost plateau extent in four time slices (1950, 1984, 1996, ca. 2010) and change rates across three decadal-scale time periods: (1) 1950–1984 (34 years), (2) 1984–1996 (12 years), and (3) 1996–ca. 2010 (14 years).

3.3 Climate and Weather Data

Climate and weather data were compiled from two regional stations to provide context for interpreting the ground thermal regime data and changes mapped in the remotely sensed data. Hourly air temperature data were compiled from Kenai Municipal Airport (KMA) (WBAN: 26523) for 1948–1971 and 1973–Present and sub-hourly air temperature data from the Kenai ENE station (WBAN: 26563) located at the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Moose Research Center (MRC) from September 2010–Present. Since the MRC station is more representative of the field study sites, the temperature record for MRC were reconstructed back to 1948 using a linear regression function found between KMA and MRC daily mean temperatures as summarized from hourly and sub-hourly measurements. The regression equation was calculated by comparing daily mean temperature for 1 January 2012 to 31 December 2015, and validated against daily mean temperatures at the MRC for 1 September 2010 to 31 December 2011. Lastly, daily snow depth totals were acquired from September 2012–September 2015 from MRC records (http://wcc.sc.egov.usda.gov/nwcc/site?sitenum=966).
4 Results

4.1 Ground thermal regime of southcentral Alaska permafrost

Calibrated ground temperature records collected between 16 September 2012 and 15 September 2015 at one forested plateau near Browns Lake and two forested plateaus near Watson Lake confirmed the presence of near-surface permafrost on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands (Fig. 5a–5c). Over this time period, the MAGT of permafrost at 1.0 m bgs ranged from -0.04 °C to -0.08 °C (Table 1). At the Browns Lake PF1 and the Watson Lake PF2 sites, permafrost at 2.0 m bgs had a MAGT between -0.06 °C and -0.08 °C. At the Browns Lake PF1 site, permafrost at 3.0 m bgs had a MAGT between -0.07 °C and -0.08 °C (Table 1). No permafrost was detected at a black spruce forested, non-plateau site near Watson Lake between September 2012 and August 2014 (Fig. 5d).

During the three-year observation period, an increase in near-surface ground temperatures was recorded at all three permafrost sites in response to increases in air temperature (Table 1, Fig. 5). The ground temperature at 0.5 m depth was substantially below 0 °C at all three sites during the 2012–2013 winter with minimum temperatures between -1.33 °C (Browns Lake) and -2.50 °C (Watson Lake PF2). In the 2013–2014 winter, the ground at 0.5 m depth was barely frozen at the Browns Lake and Watson Lake PF1 sites (Fig. 5a and 5b), with minimum winter temperatures at -0.32 °C and -0.20 °C, respectively. The increase in summer ground temperatures at 0.5 m depth was also substantial. By the end of the 2012 warm period, this temperature was above 0 °C only at the Browns Lake site (the maximum was at 0.40 °C). At the Watson Lake PF1 and PF2 sites the temperature at 0.5 m depth was just below 0 °C and never exceeded the thawing threshold, indicating that the maximum summer thaw (the active layer thickness) was just below 0.5 m during 2012. However, during the summer of 2013 and 2014, the active layer thickness was more than...
0.5 m at both of these sites and the maximum temperatures in 2014 exceeded 1 °C at the Watson Lake sites (Fig. 5b and 5c). At the Browns Lake site the temperature at 0.5 m depth reached almost 2 °C before the thermistor malfunction. The ground temperature warming at 0.5 m depth continued in 2015 (Fig. 5b and 5c).

The increase in the shallow ground temperatures triggered warming in the near-surface permafrost at all three permafrost sites (Fig. 6). This warming was strong enough to initiate top-down permafrost thaw at the Watson Lake PF1 site in the fall of 2014 (Fig. 6b). Sensor failure during the winter of 2014–2015 prevented further observations of ground temperature at this site following thaw that winter. At the Watson Lake PF2 site bottom-up permafrost thaw was detected at a depth of 2 m during the fall of 2015 and likely associated with groundwater flow or degradation of the permafrost in the thermokarst moat that borders the plateau. At the Browns Lake site permafrost persisted at the depths between 1.0–3.0 m bgs over the three-year observation period (Fig. 6a). However, MAGT warmed by 0.02 °C to 0.01 °C at all three depths during the observation period. The temperature at 1.0 m bgs is only -0.04 °C now.

4.2 Depth to permafrost table and permafrost thickness

The thaw depth at the data logger observation sites, as measured with the tile probe on 16 September 2015, was 0.64 m for the Watson Lake PF1 site (n=3), 0.53 m for the Watson Lake PF2 site (n=6), and 0.57 m for the Browns Lake PF1 site (n=6). More systematic probing at all three sites on 16 September 2015 showed that the average depth to the permafrost table where detectable (max probe length 2.20 m) was 1.48 m (n=222). However, probing did not encounter frozen ground in the upper 2.20 m of the ground surface at an additional 140 measurement points, mostly associated with collapse-scar features and thermokarst moats. In general, depth to the permafrost table depended on the local topographic conditions at each site. Hummocks (n=164) tended to
The measurements of the depth to permafrost table were complemented with mechanical augering, coring, and GPR surveys in July 2014, September 2015, and February 2016 to constrain permafrost thickness at the field observation sites. The most detailed measurements were collected at the Browns Lake PF1 plateau feature (Fig. 7a). At this site, a topographic survey of the plateau feature was conducted to plot depth to permafrost table along with seasonally frozen depth and constraints on permafrost thickness in relation to the relative ground surface elevation along a 100 m transect (Fig. 7b). The relative mean elevation of the plateau above the surrounding wetland area and the collapse-scar bog in the center was 0.49 m, with a maximum along the transect of 0.95 m, and a maximum across the feature of 1.09 m. A topographic survey on an adjacent plateau feature produced a mean relative height of 0.59 m and a maximum of 1.81 m. We measured permafrost thickness at five locations and minimum-limiting permafrost thicknesses at another five locations along the Browns Lake primary plateau feature, with one limiting thickness measurement at an adjacent plateau feature using the Kovacs auger. The base of the permafrost at the two marginal plateau measurement sites at the primary plateau feature indicated a permafrost thickness of 0.45 m and 0.33 m (Fig. 7b). At the three interior plateau measurements points, permafrost was 5.57-5.65 m thick. At one of these locations (0.98 m relative height), a core was acquired. It consisted of frozen peat from 0.48-5.69 m bgs, overlying 0.25 m of unfrozen peat, with unfrozen mineral sediment at the base. At the other five locations where the bottom of permafrost was not reached, drilling operations documented permafrost at least down to between 3.5-4.0 m bgs (Fig. 7b), and contained frozen peat as well.
The permafrost core at the PF-BL-6 site was described as poorly decomposed peat with well-developed organic-matrix cryostructures, except between depths of 3.32–3.65 m where a layer of silt and peat with mainly microlenticular cryostructure was observed (Fig. 8 and 9). The gravimetric and volumetric ice contents of the peat varied between 883–1873 % and 80–96 %, respectively, while they were 379–447 % and 81 %, respectively, in the previously mentioned silt and peat layer. The upper two meters of peat were characterized mainly by an organic-matrix porphyritic cryostructure transitioning to an organic-matrix microlenticular cryostructure with some layered ice lenses. Below two meters, the peat was characterized mainly by an organic-matrix microlenticular cryostructure with some belt-like and suspended cryostructures.

GPR surveys conducted in July 2014 and February 2016 provided more continuous information on the geometry associated with the permafrost table in the residual plateaus on the primary Browns Lake plateau feature (Fig. 10). The topography-corrected radargrams show a prominent reflector between 1–3 m depth that coincides with the permafrost table in both the summer (Fig. 10a) and winter (Fig. 10b) survey. The center portion of both images is characterized by moderately continuous and chaotic reflectors (Neal, 2004), as expected for records in unfrozen peat sequences (Parsekian et al., 2010) associated with the collapse-scar bog. The areas underlain by permafrost (i.e. 0–30 m, 60–90 m) show subdued reflection events deeper than the permafrost table; however, we were unable to image the permafrost base. The interpretation of these radargrams provides lateral subsurface information on the presence of a talik overlying the permafrost table.

4.3 Remote identification of permafrost plateaus

In 1950, residual permafrost plateau extent accounted for 920 ha of the 4,810 ha (19.1 %) of wetlands mapped within four change detection areas (Fig. 1, Table 2). Between 1950 and 1984,
permafrost plateau extent decreased to 750 ha, at an average rate of 5.1 ha yr⁻¹ (Table 3). Between 1984 and 1996, permafrost extent dropped to 520 ha, at an average rate of 18.8 ha yr⁻¹, the greatest rate documented in the study periods. Between 1996 and 2010, permafrost features continued to degrade at a rate of 9.5 ha yr⁻¹ so that by 2010, only 370 ha of the permafrost features remained. Thus, between 1950 and ca. 2010, 60 % of the residual permafrost plateaus disappeared in the mapped study areas (Fig. 11 and Table 2).

Assessment of change in the four wetland complexes showed differences in the extent and change rate of residual permafrost plateaus overtime. The Mystery Creek study area had the most extensive permafrost plateau coverage (32.8 % of the wetland area analysed) in the 1950s relative to the Watson Lake (9.8 %), Browns Lake (11.1 %), and Tustumena Lake (15.8 %) study areas (Table 2). By ca. 2010, permafrost plateau extent in each of the study areas diminished to a cover of 14.8 %, 3.5 %, 3.8 %, and 5.2 %, respectively. Thus, there was a loss of 54.8 % of the plateau extent in the Mystery Creek study area, 64.7 % in the Watson Lake study area, 65.5 % in the Browns Lake study area, and 66.9 % in the Tustumena Lake study area between 1950 and ca. 2010. These changes equate to loss rates of 0.9 % yr⁻¹ for Mystery Creek and 1.1 % yr⁻¹ for the Watson, Browns, and Tustumena Lake study areas (Table 3). Mean area loss for all four sites was 0.8 % yr⁻¹ between 1950 and 1984. During this time, loss rate was greatest for Watson Lake and Brown Lake and least for Mystery Creek. Mean loss rate for all four sites increased to 2.3 % yr⁻¹ between 1984 and 1996. During this time, loss rates were greatest in the north and least in the south with Mystery Creek and Tustumena Lake losing 3.0 % yr⁻¹ and 1.2 % yr⁻¹, respectively. Average loss rates decreased to 1.8 % yr⁻¹ between 1996 and 2010, with the three most northern sites losing approximately 1.2 % yr⁻¹, while the Tustumena Lake study area lost 3.2 % yr⁻¹. In terms of plateau area lost per year within the three time periods, Mystery Creek (13.8 ha yr⁻¹), Watson Lake (1.6 ha...
yr\(^{-1}\)), and Browns Lake (1.3 ha yr\(^{-1}\)) experienced the greatest areal loss rate during the 1984–1996 time period. At the Tustumena Lake study area, the greatest rate of plateau extent loss (4.6 ha yr\(^{-1}\)) occurred between 1996–ca. 2010 (Table 3). This study also assessed whether the permafrost degradation occurred along the perimeter of the plateau (marginal), whether degradation was internal to the plateau, or if complete degradation of a plateau occurred. Between 1950–2010, 85.0 % of the degradation occurred as lateral thaw along the plateau margins, while internal thaw and complete loss of features accounted for 1.5 % and 13.4 %, respectively. Lateral loss of permafrost was greatest in the Watson Lake study area (90.9 %) and least (77.0 %) in the Browns Lake study area. Both Mystery Creek and Tustumena Lake shared a lateral loss of 86.0 %. Mystery Creek saw the greatest percent of internal collapse loss (3.3 %) compared to Tustumena (1.7 %) and Watson and Browns Lake (both <1.0 %). The complete loss of permafrost features was greatest in Browns Lake (22.4 %) and least in Watson Lake (8.3 %). Mystery Creek and Tustumena Lake had 10.5 % and 12.3 %, respectively, of their permafrost plateaus disappear in the form of complete feature loss. During the period of remotely sensed observations complete feature loss increased from 6.7 % (1950–1984) to 21.0 % (1996–ca. 2010) of the detected change, while lateral feature loss decreased from 91.0 % (1950–1984) to 78.1 % (1996–ca. 2010) of the detected change, likely highlighting the role of fragmentation promoting complete feature degradation.

### 4.4 Climate and Weather Data

The MAAT of the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands between 1981–2010 was 2.22 °C for the Kenai Municipal Airport and estimated to be 1.79 °C for the MRC station. There was significant correlation between the Kenai Municipal Airport and MRC daily mean air temperatures for the 2012–2015 period (\(r^2=0.97\)). The regression equation performed well during validation tests (\(r^2=0.95\)) and was therefore used to...
estimate daily temperature data for the MRC station back to July 1948. Mean annual air
temperature has increased by 0.4 °C since 1950, with a step increase occurring in 1976 associated
with the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (Hartmann and Wendler, 2005) (Fig. 2). Between July
1948 and December 1976, MAAT was 0.83 °C and 0.29 °C for KMA and MRC, respectively.
Following the PDO shift MAAT increased to 1.97 °C and 1.51 °C for KMA and the MRC,
respectively (Fig. 2). Prior to the PDO shift, 18 (MRC) and 6 (KMA) out of 27 years had a MAAT
below freezing and after the PDO shift, only 10 (MRC) and 0 (KMA) out of 39 years had a MAAT
below freezing. MAAT at the MRC station was 0.88 °C (2012), 2.58 °C (2013), and 3.24 °C
(2014) during our three-year ground temperature observation period of 16 September 2012 to 15
September 2015. Therefore, the observations during 2014–2015 occurred during a period with
anomalously high MAAT relative to the previous climate normal period, with more warming in
the winter than the summer months (Table 1). Additionally, between 1948–2015, warm season
(May–September) air temperatures increased by 0.02 °C yr⁻¹ for both the Kenai and MRC station,
while winter season (October–April) air temperature increased by 0.04 °C yr⁻¹ (Table 4).

5 Discussion

5.1 Presence of ecosystem-protected permafrost in southcentral Alaska

These permafrost data for the residual permafrost plateaus on the Kenai Peninsula are the first such
observations for isolated permafrost bodies in southcentral Alaska (Osterkamp, 2007). Based on
the five classes of permafrost proposed by Shur and Jorgenson (2007), the permafrost present in
wetland complexes of the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands is ecosystem-protected. The
permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula is extremely warm, with a MAGT that ranges from -0.04 to -
0.08 °C (Table 1; Fig. 6). Permafrost ground temperatures at all monitoring sites were near the

Deleted: Kenai
Deleted: Sept
Deleted: our
Deleted: Sept
Deleted: Oct

Deleted: Our
Deleted: ground temperature
Deleted: and depths from 1.0 to 3.0 m
phase-equilibrium temperature at depths from 1.0–3.0 m. Latent-heat effects associated with
unfrozen water content in permafrost and with seasonal phase changes in the active layer can buffer
the ground thermal regime from changes in air temperature at warm permafrost sites (Romanovsky
and Osterkamp, 2000) and in part can explain the persistence of ecosystem-protected permafrost
on the Kenai Peninsula (Shur and Jorgenson, 2007; Jorgenson et al., 2010). Even though all
thermists were calibrated prior to installation, the ability to resolve such warm permafrost
temperatures and their change over time using temperature alone is somewhat limiting. Thus,
future measurements at the residual permafrost plateau sites in southcentral Alaska will be
accompanied by the addition of soil moisture probes as well as borehole, nuclear magnetic
resonance (NMR) which provides a direct measure of liquid water content (Parsekian et al., 2013).

Field surveys that included probing, augering, coring and GPR provided additional
information on the vertical and spatial distribution of the warm permafrost on the western Kenai
Peninsula lowlands. The average active layer thickness at the permafrost plateau ground
temperature observation sites was 0.58 m. These sites were chosen for initial instrumentation in
September 2012 based in part on the relatively shallow depth to the frost table. More
comprehensive probing in September 2015 revealed that the average depth to the permafrost table
was 1.48 m (n=222) as averaged across three plateaus. At the Brown Lake plateau, a talik
overlying the permafrost table was present in February 2016. Average permafrost thickness at this
feature was 5.61 m thick, whereas at an adjacent feature it was more than 6.90 m, the maximum
depth of the auger flights. GPR survey data confirmed the presence of a continuous surface talik
at the Browns Lake site (Fig. 10); however, we were unable to image the base of the permafrost
using solely GPR, as similarly described by Lewkowicz et al. (2011). Based on visual
interpretation of the permafrost peat core acquired at the PF-BL-6 site in February 2016, the
EIF was 0.09 to 0.13 for three measurement sites on the primary Browns Lake plateau feature. In comparison, Allard et al. (1986) studied similar peat plateau features in Canada which
typically were as high as one-third the thickness of permafrost or an EIF of 0.33. Lewkowicz et al. (2011) demonstrated that features
with EIF values below 0.33 likely result from ice-poor permafrost
and/or a high unfrozen water content of the permafrost.
permafrost deposit consists mainly of ice-rich frozen peat with well-developed organic-matrix porphyritic and microlenticular cryostructures and some layered ice lenses, belt-like, and suspended cryostructures (Fig. 8 and Fig. 9). Laboratory analysis also revealed gravimetric and volumetric ice contents up to 1873 % and 96 %, respectively (Fig. 8).

5.2 Extent and change in residual permafrost plateaus since the 1950s

While previous reports of permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula exist (Hopkins et al., 1955; Jorgenson et al., 2008), they were restricted to the wetland complex (Mystery Creek) north of Sterling (Berg et al., 2009). Information on its dynamics here and elsewhere was lacking. The analysis of remotely sensed imagery and field surveys identified residual permafrost plateaus in three additional wetland complexes where it had not been previously identified (Fig. 1 and Fig. 11) and indicated that the state of permafrost within the Kenai lowlands is highly dynamic. In 1950, forested permafrost plateau extent accounted for 19.0 % of the land cover in the 4,810 ha of wetland complexes analysed in the four change detection study areas. In each of the wetland areas analysed, permafrost plateaus accounted for more than 10.0 % of the area in 1950. However, inferred permafrost extent decreased by 60.0 % between 1950–ca. 2010, and its lateral coverage dropped below 5.0 % in three of the four study areas (Table 2).

The residual permafrost plateaus documented in this study share similar attributes to features elsewhere in boreal peatlands for which permafrost degradation has been inferred due to the ease of remotely detecting the conversion from forested permafrost plateau to non-permafrost herbaceous wetland or waterbody (Jorgenson et al., 2001, 2008, 2012). Thie (1974) inferred a permafrost plateau loss rate of 0.47 % yr⁻¹ between 1800–1960 for a 130,000 ha area of southern Manitoba. In Québec, Canada, a 13 ha peat bog lost 1.80 % yr⁻¹ between 1957–2003 (Payette et al., 2004). In the Northwest Territories, Canada, Quinton et al. (2011) reported a loss rate of 0.62
% yr\(^{-1}\) between 1947–2008 across a 100 ha study area. In Interior Alaska (Tanana Flats), Jorgenson et al. (2001) reported a loss rate of 0.76% yr\(^{-1}\) for birch forested permafrost plateaus between 1949–1995 using a point sampling method within a 260,000 ha wetland area. Lara et al. (2016) recently updated these numbers for the Tanana Flats by manually digitizing features with methods similar to ours and demonstrated that birch forest plateaus decreased at a much slower rate of 0.12% yr\(^{-1}\), and that black spruce forested permafrost plateau features appeared to be stable. Thus, the loss rate of 1.0% yr\(^{-1}\) that we report for the 4,810 ha mapped on the western Kenai Peninsula Lowlands between 1950–ca. 2010 are the second fastest change rates reported thus far in boreal peatlands.

5.3 Drivers of permafrost loss

Permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula is likely degrading as a result of warming air temperatures (+0.4°C decade\(^{-1}\) since 1950), especially where warming during the winter season likely exacerbates these effects (Table 4). During the three-year observation period as well as since the 1950s, warming in the winter has been more pronounced than in the summer (Table 1 and Fig. 2) and 2014–2015 had a MAAT roughly double the 1981–2010 climate normal period. Storm systems regularly bring warm air masses (>4°C) to the region during the winter. Air temperature warming during the winter months has decreased the number of freezing degree-days which means that the ground freezes to a much lesser degree in the winter (Fig. 2, and Table 1). Therefore, ground temperatures decreased less over the winter period (Fig. 5 and Table 1), potentially leading to talik development. Previous research on permafrost plateaus in colder regions indicate that preferential warming in the winter and increased snow accumulation leads to enhanced permafrost thaw in boreal peatlands (Camill, 2005; Osterkamp, 2007). Since the Kenai Peninsula lowlands experience a semi-continental climate due to the rain shadow produced by the Kenai Mountains, a lack of
winter snowfall may have contributed to permafrost persistence in this region by allowing relatively cold winter air temperatures to propagate into the sub-surface. Thus, talik formation and permafrost degradation at the study sites in southcentral Alaska are likely being driven for the most part by winter air temperature warming (Fig. 2).

The increase in permafrost loss rate in southcentral Alaska following the 1980s is likely due to the combined effects of forest fires and a shift in the PDO after 1976. The respective pulse and press disturbances may have promoted large areas of permafrost already close to thawing, to quickly thaw, leaving only colder permafrost and permafrost with intact peat and forest cover. Fire can be an important driver of permafrost thaw (Yoshikawa et al., 2002) and thermokarst development (Jones et al., 2015). The Kenai Fire of 1947 burned the majority of the Mystery Creek study area, all of the Watson Lake study area, and the majority of the Browns Lake study area. Evidence of this fire was seen at numerous sites within the Watson Lake and Browns Lake study areas. Watson Lake and Browns Lake subsequently had the two greatest loss rates between 1950–1984, which may be related to the 1947 fire. However, the presence of black spruce burn poles were not found on all permafrost plateaus visited indicating that the burning was likely relatively patchy in the wetlands. At Browns Lake, permafrost islands that did not burn in 1947 exhibited less degradation, had thicker permafrost, denser tree cover, and larger trees than the islands that burned. Large portions of the Tustumena Lake study area burned in the 1996 Crooked Creek Fire and 2005 Fox Creek Fire. These fires likely damaged, and partially removed the protective ecosystem cover (black spruce forest and peat), and degraded several permafrost plateau features. This resulted in the Tustumena study area having the highest change rate for the latter time period and 77.0% of the plateau loss that occurred between 1996–ca. 2010 did so in areas that burned in the 1996 and 2005 fires.
Bottom-up permafrost degradation was documented over the short period of direct measurements between 2012–2015. The bottom-up permafrost thaw observed at the Watson Lake PF2 site indicates that the flow of groundwater below the permafrost plateaus could be responsible for degradation (Walters et al., 1998). In addition, analysis of the remotely sensed imagery for the four select wetland complexes primarily documented lateral permafrost degradation since the 1950s, as inferred by the conversion of forested plateau margins to herbaceous wetland vegetation. This type of feature loss accounted for 85.0% of the change between 1950–ca. 2010. This pattern of loss was further observed in the field through the presence of thermokarst moats and drowning black spruce trees along the margins of the permafrost plateaus (Fig. 3). This is similar to the dominant processes documented in more northerly boreal peatlands with permafrost plateaus (Thie, 1974; Camill and Clark, 1998; Osterkamp et al., 2000; Jorgenson et al., 2001; Payette et al., 2004; Quinton et al., 2011, Jorgenson et al., 2012; O’Donnell et al., 2012; Lara et al., 2015). These findings highlight the importance of groundwater flow and also the impact of saturated herbaceous wetlands that absorb heat during the summer that likely degrades permafrost along the peat plateau margins (Walters et al., 1998). It is possible that lateral permafrost degradation caused by these processes is overwhelming the protection provided by the ecosystem cover for permafrost stability on the Kenai Peninsula lowlands. Future research is required to more fully understand the role of groundwater movement on permafrost instability in the study region.

5.4 Proposed history of permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula

During the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), northern hemisphere permafrost extended much further south than present day (Lindgren et al., 2015). However, permafrost history in southcentral Alaska is poorly constrained. Even though the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands were almost completely glaciated during the LGM (Reger et al., 2007), the permafrost features identified in this study occur
in glaciolacustrine or glaciofluvial wetland complexes that were either not glaciated during the LGM (Mystery Creek) or became deglaciated before 16,000 cal yrs BP (Reger et al., 2007). Perhaps permafrost formed on the Kenai Peninsula during deglaciation or shortly thereafter during the Younger Dryas 12,900–11,700 years ago (Jones et al., 2009). However, this permafrost would have likely thawed during the Holocene Thermal Maximum (Zoltai, 1972; Kaufman et al., 2004). As the regional climate became cooler and wetter, between 8,000–5,000 years ago, Sphagnum accumulation and preservation on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands may have promoted more widespread permafrost aggradation (Jones et al., 2009). Following this period, the peatlands may have progressively froze, heaving the permafrost plateaus above the water table, drying the peat-rich soils, promoting growth of black spruce, and creating a buffer layer protecting the underlying permafrost (ecosystem-protected) from the unfavourable climate for permafrost that currently exists today (Zoltai, 1972, 1995; Payette et al., 2004; Camill, 2005; Shur and Jorgenson, 2007).

Growth of permafrost and heaving the peatland surface above the water table could explain low peat accumulation rates calculated in many Kenai Peninsula peatlands between 3,300–2,000 years ago (Jones and Yu, 2010; Jones et al., 2014). This also coincides with widespread neoglacialion on the Kenai Peninsula 3,000–1,500 years ago (Wiles and Calkin, 1994, Barclay et al., 2009).

Alternatively, the Little Ice Age (365–165 years ago), promoted shallow permafrost formation in areas that were predominantly unfrozen throughout the Holocene (Romanovsky et al., 1992; Jorgenson et al., 2001), and thus, could account for the presence of residual permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula. The widespread loss of permafrost plateaus in central Alaska may be a result of degradation of Little Ice Age permafrost (Jorgenson et al., 2001). The age, history, and future trajectory of permafrost on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands require further study.
5.5 Landscape dynamics and permafrost thaw on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands

Previous and ongoing land cover change on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands are primarily in response to the interaction of climate change and human development. Increases in summer air temperature and late-summer droughts, along with human disturbance, have been linked to the massive spruce bark beetle (*Dendroctonus rufipennis*) outbreak of the late 1990s (Berg et al., 2006; Sherriff et al., 2011), which led to subsequent timber salvage (Jones, 2008). Berg and Anderson (2006) caution that overall drier conditions on the western Kenai Peninsula, combined with standing dead spruce stands, may alter the future fire regime of this region. Wetland drying (Klein et al., 2005) and establishment of woody vegetation in wetlands (Berg et al., 2009) may be attributed to warmer air temperatures and decreases in precipitation. Furthermore, tectonic activity associated with the Great Alaska Earthquake of 1964 caused the western Kenai Peninsula to lower in elevation by 0.7–2.3 m (Plafker, 1969), while the northern portion of the peninsula subsequently uplifted 0.8–0.9 m (Cohen and Freymueller, 1997), potentially altering groundwater flow paths (Gracz, 2011).

In this study, the loss of ecosystem-protected permafrost in the overall understanding of landscape dynamics on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands was documented and incorporated. The degradation of permafrost can impact terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, hydrology, infrastructure, and carbon cycling on the Kenai Peninsula (Schuur et al., 2008; Grosse et al., 2011; Jorgenson et al., 2013; Kokelj et al., 2015; Vonk et al., 2015). Permafrost degradation within the wetlands is responsible for a shift from black spruce forest plateaus to fen and bog wetland ecosystems at a mean rate of 9.2 ha yr⁻¹ since the 1950s in the four change detection study areas. Permafrost plateaus redirect surface and near-surface drainage in boreal wetlands (Quinton et al., 2011), and the thaw subsidence of these features increases drainage network connectivity (Beilman and Robinson, 2003), and alters the local hydrological cycle (Hayashi et al., 2007). Thus, the loss
of permafrost and/or changes in seasonally frozen ground phenology could in part be aiding in observations of terrestrial and aquatic changes that have occurred on the Kenai Peninsula during the past several decades. Further work is required to better understand the past influence of permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula as well as the future loss of these warm permafrost deposits.

6 Conclusions

Based on the ground data and remotely sensed observations, it was found that peatland permafrost is currently more extensive than previously reported in southcentral Alaska, a region with a MAAT of 1.5 °C. Warm permafrost (-0.04 °C to -0.08 °C) persists on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands in forested (black spruce), peat plateaus found in glaciolacustrine and glaciofluvial wetland complexes. At the field study sites, the depth to permafrost table on the peat plateaus averaged 1.48 m in September 2015, but was as shallow as 0.53 m at some locations. Permafrost thickness ranged from 0.33 m to greater than 6.90 m. Field surveys conducted in February 2016 documented the presence of a surface talik overlying the permafrost table. In 1950, residual permafrost plateaus covered 19.0% of the 4,810 ha wetland area mapped in the study. Within the changed detection study areas, 60.0% of the permafrost plateaus present in 1950 had degraded by ca. 2010. In most cases, permafrost degradation equated to the loss of forest and its replacement by bog or fen vegetation, preferentially occurring along permafrost plateau margins. Permafrost loss on the Kenai Peninsula is likely associated with a warming climate, particularly during the winter season, wildfires that remove the protective ecosystem cover, groundwater flow at depth, and lateral heat transfer from wetland surface waters in the summer. Future studies on the residual permafrost plateaus on the Kenai Peninsula will provide further insight for mapping and predicting permafrost extent across Boreal permafrost regions that are currently warming.
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Table 1. Mean annual ground temperature (MAGT) data for four observation sites on the Kenai Peninsula lowlands. Browns Lake PF1, Watson Lake PF1, and Watson Lake PF2 represent permafrost plateaus and the Watson Lake non-PF site a black spruce forested non-plateau site. Sensor depths that were perennially frozen in a given year are in bold. Mean annual air temperature (MAAT), thawing and freezing degree days (TDD and FDD), and average winter snow depth (MASD) are from the MRC station (Kenai 29 ENE - AWS 702590).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT °C</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT °C</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT °C</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thermistor failed on 24 August 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT °C</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT °C</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT °C</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50*</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thermistor or data logger failure

*Permafrost thaw during observation period
Table 2. Permafrost plateau extent mapped in each study region in 1950, 1984, 1996, and ca. 2010. Analyzed wetland area for each study region is given along with the number of features, total plateau area, mean plateau area, and plateau extent for each image observation year. In ca. 2010, images were acquired in 2011 (Mystery Creek and Watson Lake), 2012 (Tustumena Lake), and 2013 (Browns Lake).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Region</th>
<th>Wetland Area (ha)</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>ca. 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Features</td>
<td>Total Plateau Area (ha)</td>
<td>Mean Plateau Area (ha)</td>
<td>Plateau Extent (%)</td>
<td>Number of Features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>1562.0</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>511.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>904.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>1013.0</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>1333.4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>210.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>4812.7</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>920.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Change in the extent of permafrost plateaus for each of the study regions between 1950 and ca. 2010, 1950 and 1984, 1984 and 1996, and 1996 and ca. 2010. Change is reported in aerial units per year, proportional area change, percent change per year, and by the type of change. Change type refers to whether the plateau loss occurred along the periphery of a feature (lateral), in the centre of a feature (internal), or whether complete loss of a feature occurred. In ca. 2010, images were acquired in 2011 (Mystery Creek and Watson Lake), 2012 (Tustumena Lake), and 2013 (Browns Lake).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Area Change (ha yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proportional Area Change (ha yr⁻¹ 100 ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Percent Change (% yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Change Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>77.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Area Change (ha yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proportional Area Change (ha yr⁻¹ 100 ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Percent Change (% yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Change Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>94.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Area Change (ha yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proportional Area Change (ha yr⁻¹ 100 ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Percent Change (% yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Change Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>88.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>-18.7</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Area Change (ha yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proportional Area Change (ha yr⁻¹ 100 ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Percent Change (% yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Change Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>-9.5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Mean annual, mean summer (May to September), and mean winter (October to April) air temperature for the three remotely sensed image observation periods compiled from the Kenai Municipal Airport (WBAN 26523) and estimated from the MRC station (Kenai 29 ENE - AWS 702590).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remote Sensing Time Period</th>
<th>Mean Annual Air Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean Summer Air Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean Winter Air Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenai Airport</td>
<td>MRC</td>
<td>Kenai Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950 to 1984</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>9.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984 to 1996</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>10.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996 to 2015</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>10.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Study area figure. (a) Recent permafrost map of Alaska (Pastick et al., 2015) indicating permafrost presence (purple) and absence (white) in the upper one meter of the ground surface. (b) Hillshade relief image showing a portion of southcentral Alaska. The study region on the Kenai Peninsula lowlands is shown with the black box outline. (c) The portion of the Kenai Peninsula lowlands where field studies and remotely sensed observations were conducted. Ground temperature observations were collected at the Browns Lake and Watson Lake sites. The remote sensing change detection areas are shown with a white box: (A) Mystery Creek, (B) Watson Lake, (C) Browns Lake, and (D) Tustumena Lake wetland complexes.
Figure 2: a) Historical (1948-2015) mean annual air temperature compiled from Kenai Municipal Airport (WBAN 26523) hourly surface data and interpolated (broken) and measured (solid) mean annual air temperature for the MRC station (Kenai 29 ENE AWS 702590). b) Thawing degree day (TDD) and freezing degree day (FDD) sums for 1948-2015 derived from historical and interpolated daily mean temperature. c) Cumulative annual precipitation data from the Kenai Municipal Airport (WBAN 26523) between 1948 and 2015.
Figure 3: Field photos of residual permafrost plateau landforms and thermokarst on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands. (a) A forested permafrost plateau in the Browns Lake wetland complex. A thermokarst moat and drowning black spruce trees in the (b) Browns Lake and (c) Watson Lake wetland complexes.
Figure 4: Time series documenting the extent of a subset of permafrost features in the Browns Lake wetland complex in (a) 1950, (b) 1984, (c) 1996, and (d) 2013. Permafrost plateau extent in 1950 is shown as a yellow polygon in each frame and other time slices outlined as green (1984), blue (1996), and red (2013).
Figure 5: Mean daily ground temperature data plots for the four shallow boreholes on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands for the period of 16 September 2012 to 15 September 2015: (a) Browns Lake PF1 site, (b) Watson Lake PF1 site, (c) Watson Lake PF2 site, and (d) Watson Lake non-PF site. All axes scales are the same but sensor depths vary among sites based on site characteristics. Missing data indicates sensor or thermistor failure.
Figure 6: Mean daily ground temperature data plots indicating the presence of permafrost on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands for the period of 16 September 2012 to 15 September 2015: (a) Browns Lake PF1 site, (b) Watson Lake PF1 site, and (c) Watson Lake PF2 site. Top-down permafrost thaw occurred at Watson Lake PF1 during the fall of 2014 and bottom-up permafrost thaw occurred at Watson Lake PF2 during the fall of 2015.
Figure 7: (a) High-resolution satellite image showing the permafrost plateau in the Browns Lake wetland complex where detailed field surveys were conducted as well as the location of the Browns Lake PF1 data logger (green dot). (b) A ~100 m transect across the Browns Lake PF1 permafrost plateau site showing ground surface height above the wetland (green line), depth to the permafrost table (blue line and blue arrows), permafrost thickness constraints (grey arrows), seasonally frozen ground depth (maroon arrows), and lack of permafrost (yellow stars) as measured by probing, drilling, and coring. Locations where the permafrost table exceeded 2.2 m from the ground surface (limiting depth for September surveys) are indicated with a non-existent blue line. Locations where the base of the permafrost was encountered are indicated with an upward looking grey triangle and those locations where it was not encountered, a downward looking grey triangle. The black dashed vertical line represents the location of the PF-BL-6 permafrost core.
Figure 8: Cryostratigraphy and ice contents from borehole PF-BL-6 (inspired by Kanevskiy et al., 2014). The gravimetric and volumetric ice contents of the peat varied between 883–1873% and 80–96%, respectively, while they were 379–447% and 81%, respectively, in the silt and peat layer located between 3.32 m and 3.65 m.
Figure 9: (a) Stratigraphic contact between the base of the frozen silt and peat layer occurring at 3.65 m and the underlying frozen peat. (b) Example of organic-matrix microlenticular to suspended cryostructures developed in the peat below the stratigraphic contact shown in (a).
Figure 10: GPR profiles at the intensive Browns Lake permafrost plateau (Fig. 7a) from a) late-July 2014 with Mala shielded 100-MHz antennas and b) mid-February 2016 with Sensors & Software unshielded bi-static 100-MHz antennas. Processed radargrams are on the left and processed, interpreted radargrams are on the right. Both summer and winter profiles clearly show reflectors associated with the permafrost table and in the case of (b) show the presence of a talik. However, we were unable to image the permafrost base using GPR. Note that the two GPR transects differ slightly in their orientation across the feature.
Figure 11: Spatial and temporal pattern of permafrost loss within four change detection areas: a) Mystery Creek, b) Watson Lake, c) Browns Lake, and d) Tustumena Lake. Red indicates feature loss between 1950 and 1980, orange is feature loss between 1984 and 1996, yellow is feature loss between 1996 and ca. 2010, and green is ca. 2010 permafrost plateau extent. The white dots indicate the location of field verified permafrost between 2009 and 2016. The hatched white polygons indicate the wetland extent where plateau features were mapped in each study area. Background imagery is the 1996 orthophotography.