Presence of rapidly degrading permafrost plateaus in southcentral Alaska
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Abstract. Permafrost presence is determined by a complex interaction of climatic, topographic, and ecological conditions operating over long time scales. In particular, vegetation and organic layer characteristics may act to protect permafrost in regions with a mean annual air temperature (MAAT) above 0 °C. In this study, we document the presence of residual permafrost plateaus on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands of southcentral Alaska, a region with a MAAT of 1.5±1 °C (1981 to 2010). Continuous ground temperature measurements between 16 September 2012 and 15 September 2015, using calibrated thermistor strings, documented the presence of warm permafrost (-0.04 to -0.08 °C). Field measurements (probing) on several plateau features during the fall of 2015 showed that the depth to the permafrost table averaged 1.48 m but was as shallow as 0.53 m. Late winter surveys (drilling, coring, and GPR) in 2016 showed that the average seasonally frozen ground thickness was 0.45 m, overlying a talik above the permafrost table. Measured permafrost thickness ranged from 0.33 to > 6.90 m. Manual interpretation of historic aerial photography acquired in 1950 indicates that residual permafrost plateaus covered 920 ha as mapped across portions of four wetland complexes encompassing 4,810 ha. However,
between 1950 and ca. 2010, permafrost plateau extent decreased by 60 %, with lateral feature
degradation accounting for 85 % of the reduction in area. Permafrost loss on the Kenai
Peninsula is likely associated with a warming climate, wildfires that remove the protective forest
and organic layer cover, groundwater flow at depth, and lateral heat transfer from wetland
surface waters in the summer. Better understanding the resilience and vulnerability of
ecosystem-protected permafrost is critical for mapping and predicting future permafrost extent
and degradation across all permafrost regions that are currently warming. Further work should
focus on reconstructing permafrost history in southcentral Alaska as well as additional
contemporary observations of these ecosystem-protected permafrost sites lying south of the
regions with relatively stable permafrost.

1 Introduction

Permafrost is a major component of the cryosphere in the northern hemisphere, covering ~24%
of the terrestrial landscape (Brown et al., 1998). Permafrost is defined as ground that remains at
or below 0 °C for at least two consecutive years (Van Everdingen, 1998). Four zones describe
the lateral extent of permafrost regions: continuous (90-100%), discontinuous (50-90%),
sporadic discontinuous (10-50%), and isolated discontinuous (< 10%). This zonation typically
represents the north to south changes in spatial distribution for terrestrial permafrost in high
latitudes. Mean annual ground temperatures (MAGT) in the continuous permafrost zone can be
as cold at -15 °C, fall within a narrow range around -2 °C in the discontinuous permafrost zone,
and can be warmer than -1 °C in sporadic and isolated permafrost zones (Smith and
Riseborough, 2002; Romanovsky et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2010). In the absence of extensive
ground temperature data, researchers have estimated the southern limit of permafrost in northern
high latitudes with continental-scale patterns of air temperature isotherms (Brown, 1960, 1970;
Ferrians, 1965; Brown et al., 1998). However, in reality complex interactions between climatic,
topographic, hydrologic, and ecologic conditions operating over long time scales regulate
permafrost presence and stability (Shur and Jorgenson, 2007). Due to these interactions, permafrost may persist in regions with a mean annual air temperature (MAAT) above 0 °C, and it may degrade in regions with a MAAT below -10 °C (Jorgenson et al., 2010). Thus, the extent and dynamics of permafrost and permafrost-related landscape features remain poorly mapped and modelled at sufficiently fine resolution needed for predicting the impact of climate change on specific local landscapes, which is necessary for many decision makers.

Permafrost warming, degradation, and thaw subsidence can have significant implications for ecosystems, infrastructure, and climate at local, regional, and global scales (Jorgenson et al., 2001; Nelson et al., 2001; Schuur et al., 2008). In general, permafrost in Alaska has warmed between 0.3 °C and 6 °C since ground temperature measurements began between the 1950s and 1980s (Lachenbruch and Marshall, 1986; Romanovsky and Osterkamp, 1995; Romanovsky et al., 2002; Osterkamp, 2007; Romanovsky et al., 2010). Warming and thawing of near-surface permafrost may lead to widespread terrain instability in ice-rich permafrost in the Arctic (Jorgenson et al., 2006; Lantz and Kokelj, 2008; Gooseff et al., 2009; Jones et al., 2015; Liljedahl et al., 2016) and the sub-Arctic (Osterkamp et al., 2000; Jorgenson and Osterkamp, 2005; Lara et al., 2016). Such land surface changes can impact vegetation, hydrology, aquatic ecosystems, and soil-carbon dynamics (Grosse et al., 2011; Jorgenson et al., 2013; Kokelj et al., 2015; O’Donnell et al., 2011; Schuur et al., 2008; Vonk et al., 2015). For example, in boreal peatlands, thaw of ice-rich permafrost often converts forested permafrost plateaus into lake and wetland bog and fen complexes (Camill, 1999; Jorgenson et al., 2001; Payette et al., 2004; Quinton et al., 2011; Lara et al., 2016; Swindles et al., 2015). Furthermore, the transition from permafrost peatlands to thawed or only seasonally frozen peatlands can have a positive or a negative feedback on regional and global carbon cycles depending on permafrost conditions and
differential effects of thaw on net primary productivity and heterotrophic respiration (Turetsky et al., 2007; Swindles et al., 2015), as well as on the degree of loss of the former deep permafrost carbon pool (O’Donnell et al., 2012).

In Alaska, a variety of permafrost conditions shape roughly 80% of the landscape (Jorgenson et al., 2008). Shur and Jorgenson (2007) proposed five classes of permafrost that describe the interaction of climatological and ecological processes. Arranged from coldest to warmest, these permafrost classes are as follows: climate-driven; climate-driven but ecosystem-modified; climate-driven but ecosystem-protected; ecosystem-driven; and ecosystem-protected. Ecosystem-protected permafrost is the warmest and most vulnerable of the five classes of permafrost and characterizes the sporadic and isolated permafrost zones. It comprises residual permafrost that persists due to favourable ecosystem factors under a climate that is not conducive to its formation. Press disturbances, associated with warming air temperatures and increases in precipitation (especially snow), and pulse disturbances, such as fire or human activities, can trigger immediate ecosystem modification and permafrost thaw in these regions (Shur and Jorgenson, 2007).

Since permafrost acts as a sentinel, integrator, and regulator of climate change, improved understanding of its distribution and dynamics is essential, particularly along the southern permafrost boundary (Lunardini, 1996). Southcentral Alaska, a region with a MAAT ~2 °C, is typically mapped as being within the permafrost-free zone (Ferrians, 1965; Brown et al., 1998; Pastick et al., 2015). However, ecosystem-protected permafrost persists in southcentral Alaska in regions with present-day climatic conditions that are no longer conducive to its formation (Shur and Jorgenson, 2007). Isolated permafrost patches in southcentral Alaska exist on the western Kenai Peninsula Lowlands (Berg et al., 2009; Hopkins et al., 1955; Jorgenson et al.,
2008) and in the vicinity of Anchorage (Jorgenson et al., 2003; Kanevskiy et al., 2013). Enhanced insight into the resilience and vulnerability of ecosystem-protected permafrost is important due to its utility as a climate indicator and a forecaster of the environmental consequences expected to arise from permafrost thaw elsewhere in the boreal forest where MAAT is expected to warm beyond 0 °C in the coming decades (Beilman et al., 2001). Nevertheless, to date, detailed studies of these southcentral Alaska ecosystem-protected permafrost deposits have remained limited (Kanevskiy et al., 2013).

In this study, we document the presence of rapidly degrading permafrost plateaus on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands of southcentral Alaska (Fig. 1), a region with a MAAT of 1.5±1 °C (Fig. 2). In mid-September 2012, we conducted field studies at several black spruce plateaus located within herbaceous wetland complexes. Continuous ground temperature measurements between 16 September 2012 and 15 September 2015 confirmed the presence and degradation of permafrost. Probing, drilling, coring, and ground-penetrating radar surveys conducted in the summer, fall, and winter seasons provided additional information on the geometry of the frozen ground below the forested plateaus. We also used historic aerial photography and high-resolution satellite imagery from 1950, 1984, 1996, and ca. 2010 to map decadal-scale changes in the aerial extent of the residual permafrost plateaus in portions of four wetland complexes on the western Kenai Peninsula. This study aims to document and incorporate the loss of ecosystem-protected permafrost into the overall understanding of landscape dynamics on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands. More importantly, insights into its stability will enhance mapping and predicting current and future permafrost extent along the southern fringe of the circumpolar permafrost region.
2 Study Area

The western Kenai Peninsula lowlands are located in southcentral Alaska, between 59.6 and 61.0 °N, and are generally less than 100 m asl (Fig. 1). The lowlands experience a semi-continental climate due to a rain shadow produced by the Kenai Mountains to the east and the presence of Cook Inlet to the west and north, and Kachemak Bay to the south (Jones et al., 2009). Regional MAAT for 1981-2010 is 1.5 °C, with a mean annual precipitation of 441 mm (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/crn/observations.htm). The lowlands represent a unique landscape where two major glacial ice fields converged during the Late Wisconsin, 25,000–21,000 kya (Reger et al., 2007). The modern topography, composed of moraines, outwash fans, kettle lakes, kames, and eskers, is indicative of this glacial history (Hopkins et al., 1955). During the Holocene, the Kenai Peninsula lowlands have succeeded to boreal forest, muskeg, and wetlands laced with rivers and creeks and dotted with lakes (Anderson et al., 2006; Reger et al., 2007). Pastick et al. (2015) recently mapped this region as being permafrost-free in the upper one meter of the ground surface.

The Kenai lowlands are situated in an ecotone between the coastal temperate rainforest and interior boreal forest. Species assemblages depend on topography and disturbance history, as well as their location relative to the rain shadow. Black spruce (Picea mariana), white spruce (Picea glauca), Sitka spruce (Picea sitchensis), Lutz spruce (Picea x lutzii, hybrid of white and Sitka spruce), paper birch (Betula kenaica), alder (Alnus sp.), black cottonwood (Populus trichocarpa), and aspen (Populus tremuloides) all occur within various forest stand types. Herbaceous and woody wetland complexes intermingle with these forests in low-lying areas and river corridors. Within wetland complexes, elevated forested plateaus, primarily black spruce but with some paper birch and cottonwood and an understory of dwarf shrubs, exist where the
ground surface has been elevated above the regional water table. We suspected these features were associated with a volumetric expansion of freezing peat, forming a permafrost plateau, an elevated permafrost feature associated with frost heave (Zoltai, 1972). These features are the focus of our studies on the Kenai Peninsula.

3 Methods

In September 2012, we conducted field studies at a number of black spruce plateaus located within herbaceous wetland complexes (Fig. 3). These studies documented frozen ground below an unfrozen layer with thicknesses ranging from 0.49 to >1.00 m. The plateau features tended to have sharply defined scalloped edges, marginal thermokarst moats, and collapse-scar depressions on their summits (Fig. 3). These traits were characteristic of the permafrost features described by Hopkins et al. (1955) on the Kenai Peninsula and similar to permafrost plateaus across colder boreal regions (Zoltai, 1972; Thie, 1974; Jorgenson et al., 2001; Camill, 2005; Sannel et al., 2015). To answer whether the frozen ground deposits encountered at the black spruce plateaus were indeed permafrost, we collected continuous ground temperature measurements for three years, measured late-summer thaw depths, mechanically drilled and cored for the base of the frozen ground, imaged the subsurface with ground-penetrating radar (GPR), and analysed a time series of high-resolution remotely sensed imagery. We describe these research efforts in more detail below.

3.1 Field Instrumentation and Surveys

To confirm the presence or absence of permafrost, we installed data loggers on 12 September 2012 at one ground temperature monitoring site in the Browns Lake and three in the Watson Lake area (Fig. 1). We used a 5-cm diameter Kovacs Enterprise ice auger to drill the boreholes
and cased the holes with a 4.5 cm outer-diameter PVC tube from the base of the borehole to within 10 cm of the surface. We instrumented each site with a 4-channel Hobo data logger (Onset U12-008) buried below the ground surface (bgs). The data loggers recorded hourly ground temperature at four depths from 0.10 m to 3.00 m bgs using Hobo TMC1-HD and TMC2-HD thermistors (Table 1). The manufacturer-specified accuracy of the thermistors is +/- 0.25 °C. Prior to deployment, we placed the data logger thermistors in a 0 °C ice bath for up to 45 minutes to estimate a calibration factor for post-processing of the data following download in the field. This calibration increased the accuracy of the ground temperature data to better than +/- 0.05 °C on average and is similar to improvements recorded for other measurement systems (Sannel et al., 2015; Cable et al., 2016). We post-processed all data prior to summarizing the hourly ground temperature data into daily, monthly, and annual means.

Additional field surveys at each study site provided information on the geometry of the frozen ground distribution and deposit types. We used a tile probe to measure the depth to frozen sediments at each ground temperature monitoring location in mid-September 2015 (limited to 2.2 m bgs). At the two forested plateaus in the Watson Lake wetland complex, we selected tile probing locations randomly and split between hummock and depression microtopography. At the Browns Lake site, we recorded this depth at three points every meter along a 100 m transect across the plateau feature. In addition, we collected a topographic profile of the primary Browns Lake plateau using a Leica survey-grade differential GPS (dGPS) system (+/- 0.02 m vertical accuracy) on 09 October 2015 to adjust the probing measurements relative to the local topography. An additional dGPS profile was acquired on 19 February 2016 at an adjacent plateau to provide more relative feature height information in the wetland complex. At both the Browns Lake and Watson Lake locations, we measured the frozen ground thickness
using the Kovacs Enterprise ice auger system powered by an 18V portable drill. At Browns Lake, we also collected a core for visual analysis of the frozen ground deposit using a SIPRE permafrost corer with an engine auger head. We calculated the excess ice fraction (EIF) for three sites at the Browns Lake plateau for which we had detailed height, depth to permafrost table, and permafrost base information following Lewkowicz et al. (2011) to enable comparison of EIF with previously studied permafrost plateaus.

Implementation of GPR allowed us to image certain characteristics of the frozen ground along the primary Browns Lake plateau feature. We used a shielded 100-MHz Mala antenna in July 2014 and Sensors & Software 100-MHz unshielded bi-static antennas in common-offset configuration in February 2016. We processed the data using commercially available Reflex-W processing software (Sandmeier, 2008). Basic processing steps included dewow, time-zero correction, removing bad traces, and bandpass filtering (40-67.2-128-369 MHz for Mala; 25-50-200-400 MHz for Sensors & Software). Additional processing steps included an average background subtraction with a running window of 20 to 100 traces to reduce noise from surface multiples, where applicable, and variable gain for viewing purposes. Care was taken during processing to preserve any flat-lying reflectors. Finally, we corrected the radargrams using the dGPS surface topography and converted two-way travel time to depth using an estimated average subsurface velocity of 0.038 m ns⁻¹ calibrated to average direct probe depths.

3.2 Remotely Sensed Imagery and Change Detection

Historic aerial photography and contemporary high resolution satellite imagery acquired between 1950 and ca. 2010 provided an estimated extent of forested plateaus centred on four wetland complexes on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands. We selected four change detection study areas (Fig. 1) based on the presence of forested, plateau features surrounded by herbaceous
wetland vegetation that likely indicated permafrost presence in the boreal wetlands on the Kenai Peninsula (Hopkins et al., 1955). Arranged from north to south, these included portions of the Mystery Creek, Watson Lake, Browns Lake, and Tustumena Lake wetland complexes (Fig. 1). Mapping forested plateau features and their change over time is a common method for detection of permafrost thaw in boreal wetlands. The land cover change associated with conversion of a forested permafrost plateau to a lake or herbaceous wetland (i.e. bog or fen) is readily detectable in high-resolution remotely sensed imagery (Thie, 1974; Camill and Clark, 1998; Osterkamp et al., 2000; Jorgenson et al., 2001; Payette et al., 2004; Quinton et al., 2011; Lara et al., 2016).

We overlaid a 25-km² square study area at each of the potential permafrost areas and clipped the wetland extent as defined by the 2001 National Land Cover Dataset for Alaska (http://www.mrlc.gov/nlcd2011.php) to define the mapping area. Panchromatic, Digital Orthophoto Quadrangle (DOQs) images were produced at a spatial resolution of 1.0 m for the entire Kenai Peninsula between July and August 1996. The DOQs provided the base upon which to georegister the other remotely sensed image datasets that consisted of panchromatic aerial photos collected in August 1950 (1:40,000 scale), color-infrared aerial photos acquired in 1984 (1:62,500 scale), and panchromatic high-resolution satellite images (< 1 m spatial resolution) acquired in ca. 2010. The mean RMS error associated with image georegistration was 1.82 m and ranged from 1.32 m to 2.61 m and all images were sampled to a ground resolution of 1 m. Following image registration, we manually digitized forested plateaus in a Geographic Information System (ArcGIS v. 10.1) at a mapping scale of 1:1,000 (Fig. 4). The high-spatial resolution, georegistered remotely sensed datasets allowed for the assessment of residual permafrost plateau extent in four time slices (1950, 1984, 1996, ca. 2010) and change rates.
across three decadal-scale time periods: (1) 1950 to 1984 (34 years), (2) 1984 to 1996 (12 years), and (3) 1996 to ca. 2010 (14 years).

### 3.3 Climate and Weather Data

We compiled climate and weather data from two regional stations to provide context for interpreting the ground thermal regime data and changes mapped in the remotely sensed data. We compiled hourly air temperature data from Kenai Municipal Airport (WBAN: 26523) for 1948 - 1971 and 1973 – Present and sub-hourly air temperature data from the Kenai 29 ENE station (WBAN:26563) located at the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Moose Research Center (MRC) from September 2010 - Present. Since the MRC station is more representative of the field study sites, we reconstructed the temperature record for MRC back to 1948 using a linear regression function found between Kenai and MRC daily mean temperatures as summarized from hourly and sub-hourly measurements. The regression equation was calculated by comparing daily mean temperature for 1 January 2012 to 31 December 2015, and validated against daily mean temperatures at the MRC for 1 September 2010 to 31 December 2011. Lastly, we acquired daily snow depth totals recorded at the MRC from September 2012 – September 2015 (http://wcc.sc.egov.usda.gov/nwcc/site?sitenum=966).

### 4 Results

#### 4.1 Ground thermal regime of southcentral Alaska permafrost

Calibrated ground temperature records collected between 16 September 2012 and 15 September 2015 at one forested plateau near Browns Lake and two forested plateaus near Watson Lake confirmed the presence of near-surface permafrost on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands (Fig. 5a-5c). Over this time period, the MAGT of permafrost at 1 m bgs ranged from -0.04 °C to
-0.08 °C (Table 1). At the Browns Lake PF1 and the Watson Lake PF2 sites, permafrost at 2.0 m
bgs had a MAGT between -0.06 °C and -0.08 °C. At the Browns Lake PF1 site, permafrost at
3.0 m bgs had a MAGT between -0.07 °C and -0.08 °C (Table 1). We detected no permafrost at
a black spruce forested, non-plateau site near Watson Lake between September 2012 and August
2014 (Fig. 5d).

During the three-year observation period, an increase in near-surface ground
temperatures was recorded at all three permafrost sites in response to increases in air temperature
(Table 1, Fig. 5). The ground temperature at 0.5 m depth was substantially below 0 °C at all
three sites during the 2012-2013 winter with minimum temperatures between -1.33 °C (Browns
Lake) and -2.5°C (Watson Lake PF2). In the 2013-2014 winter, the ground at 0.5 m depth was
barely frozen at the Browns Lake and Watson Lake PF1 sites (Fig. 5a and 5b), with minimum
winter temperatures at -0.32 °C and -0.2 °C, respectively. The increase in summer ground
temperatures at 0.5 m depth was also substantial. By the end of the 2012 warm period, this
temperature was above 0 °C only at the Browns Lake site (the maximum was at 0.4 °C). At the
Watson Lake PF1 and PF2 sites the temperature at 0.5 m depth was just below 0 °C and never
exceeded the thawing threshold, indicating that the maximum summer thaw (the active layer
thickness) was just below 0.5 m during 2012. However, during the summer of 2013 and 2014,
the active layer thickness was more than 0.5 m at both of these sites and the maximum
temperatures in 2014 exceeded 1°C at the Watson Lake sites (Fig. 5b and 5c). At the Browns
Lake site the temperature at a 0.5 m reached almost 2 °C before the thermistor malfunction. The
ground temperature warming at 0.5 m depth continued in 2015 (Fig. 5b and 5c).

The increase in the shallow ground temperatures triggered warming in the near-surface
permafrost at all three permafrost sites (Fig. 6). This warming was strong enough to initiate top-
down permafrost thaw at the Watson Lake PF1 site in the fall of 2014 (Fig. 6b). Sensor failure during the winter of 2014/2015 prevented further observations of ground temperature at this site following thaw that winter. At the Watson Lake PF2 site bottom up permafrost thaw was detected during the fall of 2015 and likely associated with groundwater flow or degradation of the permafrost in the thermokarst moat that borders the plateau. At the Browns Lake site permafrost persisted at the depths between 1 and 3 m bgs over the three-year observation period (Fig. 6a). However, MAGT warmed by 0.02 to 0.01 °C at all three depths during the observation period. The temperature at 1 m bgs is only -0.04 °C now.

4.2 Depth to permafrost table and permafrost thickness

The thaw depth at our data logger observation sites as measured with the tile probe on 16 September 2015 was 0.64 m for the Watson Lake PF1 site (n = 3), 0.53 m for the Watson Lake PF2 site (n = 6), and 0.57 m for the Browns Lake PF1 site (n = 6). More systematic probing at all three sites on 16 September 2015 showed that the average depth to the permafrost table where detectable (max probe length = 2.20 m) was 1.48 m (n = 222). However, probing did not encounter frozen ground in the upper 2.20 m of the ground surface at an additional 140 measurement points, mostly associated with collapse-scar features and thermokarst moats. In general, depth to the permafrost table depended on the local topographic conditions at each site. Hummocks (n = 164) tended to have a shallower depth to the permafrost table where measureable (average of 1.12 m), while depth to the permafrost table measurements in depressions (n = 58) was larger (average of 1.53 m).

The measurements of the depth to permafrost table were complemented with mechanical drilling, coring, and GPR surveys in July 2014, September 2015, and February 2016 to constrain permafrost thickness at the field observation sites. The most detailed measurements were
collected at the Browns Lake PF1 plateau feature (Fig. 7a). At this site, we conducted a topographic survey of the plateau feature to plot depth to permafrost table along with seasonally frozen depth and constraints on permafrost thickness in relation to the relative ground surface elevation along a 100 m transect (Fig. 7b). The relative mean elevation of the plateau above the surrounding wetland area was 0.49 m (not including the collapse-scar bog in the center), with a maximum along the transect of 0.95 m, and a maximum across the feature of 1.3 m. A topographic survey on an adjacent plateau feature produced a mean relative height of 0.59 m and a maximum of 1.81 m. We measured permafrost thickness at five locations and minimum-limiting permafrost thicknesses at another five locations along the Browns Lake primary plateau feature, with one limiting thickness measurement at an adjacent plateau feature using the Kovacs auger. The base of the permafrost at the two marginal plateau measurement sites at the primary plateau feature indicated a permafrost thickness of 0.45 and 0.33 m (Fig. 7b). At the three interior plateau measurements points, permafrost was 5.57 to 5.65 m thick. At one of these locations (0.98 m relative height), we acquired a core that consisted of frozen peat from 0.48 m bgs down to 5.69 m bgs, overlying 0.25 m of unfrozen peat, with unfrozen mineral sediment at the base. At the other five locations where the bottom of permafrost was not reached, drilling operations documented permafrost at least down to between 3.5 and 4.0 bgs (Fig. 7b), and contained frozen peat as well. The EIF for the three interior measurements points on the Browns Lake plateau, where we had information on relative height, depth to permafrost table, and depth of permafrost base, ranged from 0.09 to 0.13. At an adjacent plateau (not shown) the minimum permafrost thickness was 6.90 m bgs, at which point we ran out of auger flight extensions. At Watson Lake PF1, drilling efforts detected permafrost base between 1.30 and 1.50 meters bgs. At the Watson Lake PF2 site, the permafrost base was between 1.96 and 2.04 m bgs.
GPR surveys conducted in July 2014 and February 2016 provided more continuous information on the geometry associated with the permafrost table in the residual plateaus on the primary Browns Lake plateau feature (Fig. 8). The topography-corrected radargrams show a prominent reflector between 1 – 3 m depth that coincides with the permafrost table in both the summer (Fig. 8a) and winter (Fig. 8b) survey. The center portion of both images is characterized by moderately continuous and chaotic reflectors (Neal, 2004) as expected for records in unfrozen peat sequences (Parsekian et al., 2010) associated with the collapse-scar bog. The areas underlain by permafrost (i.e. 0 – 30 m, 60 – 90 m) show subdued reflection events deeper than the permafrost table; however, we were unable to image the permafrost base. Our interpretation of these radargrams provides lateral subsurface information on the presence of a talik overlying the permafrost table.

4.3 Remote identification of permafrost plateaus

In 1950, residual permafrost plateau extent accounted for 920 ha of the 4,810 ha (19.1%) of wetlands mapped within four change detection areas (Fig. 1, Table 2). Between 1950 and 1984, permafrost plateau extent decreased to 750 ha, at an average rate of 5.1 ha yr\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). Between 1984 and 1996, permafrost extent dropped to 520 ha, at an average rate of 18.8 ha yr\(^{-1}\), the greatest rate documented in our study periods. Between 1996 and 2010, permafrost features continued to degrade at a rate of 9.5 ha yr\(^{-1}\) so that by 2010, only 370 ha of the permafrost features remained. Thus, between 1950 and ca. 2010, 60% of the residual permafrost plateaus disappeared in our mapped study areas (Fig. 9).

Assessment of change in the four wetland complexes showed differences in the extent and change rate of residual permafrost plateaus overtime. The Mystery Creek study area had the most extensive permafrost plateau coverage (32.8 % of the wetland area analysed) in the 1950s
relative to the Watson Lake (9.8 %), Browns Lake (11.1 %), and Tustumena Lake (15.8 %) study areas (Table 2). By ca. 2010, permafrost plateau extent in each of the study areas diminished to a cover of 14.8 %, 3.5 %, 3.8 %, and 5.2 %, respectively. Thus, there was a loss of 54.8 % of the plateau extent in the Mystery Creek study area, 64.7 % in the Watson Lake study area, 65.5 % in the Browns Lake study area, and 66.9 % in the Tustumena Lake study area between 1950 and ca. 2010. These changes equate to loss rates of 0.9 % yr\(^{-1}\) for Mystery Creek and 1.1 % yr\(^{-1}\) for the Watson, Browns, and Tustumena Lake study areas (Table 3). Mean area loss for all four sites was 0.8 % yr\(^{-1}\) between 1950 and 1984. During this time, loss rate was greatest for Watson Lake and Brown Lake and least for Mystery Creek. Mean loss rate for all four sites increased to 2.3 % yr\(^{-1}\) between 1984 and 1996. During this time, loss rates were greatest in the north and least in the south with Mystery Creek and Tustumena Lake losing 3.0 % yr\(^{-1}\) and 1.2 % yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. Average loss rates decreased to 1.8 % yr\(^{-1}\) between 1996 and 2010, with the three most northern sites losing approximately 1.2 % yr\(^{-1}\), while the Tustumena Lake study area lost 3.2 % yr\(^{-1}\). In terms of plateau area lost per year within the three time periods, Mystery Creek (13.8 ha yr\(^{-1}\)), Watson Lake (1.6 ha yr\(^{-1}\)), and Browns Lake (1.3 ha yr\(^{-1}\)) experienced the greatest areal loss rate during the 1984 to 1996 time period. At the Tustumena Lake study area, the greatest rate of plateau extent loss (4.6 ha yr\(^{-1}\)) occurred between 1996 and ca. 2010 (Table 3).

We also assessed whether the permafrost degradation occurred along the perimeter of the plateau (marginal), whether degradation was internal to the plateau, or if complete degradation of a plateau occurred. Between 1950 and 2010, 85 % of the degradation occurred as lateral thaw along the plateau margins, while internal thaw and complete loss of features accounted for 1.5 % and 13.4 %, respectively. Lateral loss of permafrost was greatest in the Watson Lake study area (90.9 %) and least (77 %) in the Browns Lake study area. Both Mystery Creek and Tustumena
Lake shared a lateral loss of 86%. Mystery Creek saw the greatest percent of internal collapse loss (3.3%) compared to Tustumena (1.7%) and Watson and Browns Lake (both <1%). The complete loss of permafrost features was greatest in Browns Lake (22.4%) and least in Watson Lake (8.3%). Mystery Creek and Tustumena Lake had 10.5% and 12.3%, respectively, of their permafrost plateaus disappear in the form of complete feature loss. During the period of remotely sensed observations complete feature loss increased from 6.7% (1950 to 1984) to 21.0% (1996 to ca. 2010) of the detected change, while lateral feature loss decreased from 91.0% (1950 to 1984) to 78.1% (1996 to ca. 2010) of the detected change, likely highlighting the role of fragmentation promoting complete feature degradation.

4.4 Climate and Weather Data

The MAAT of the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands between 1981 and 2010 was 2.22 °C for the Kenai Municipal Airport and estimated to be 1.79 °C for the MRC station. There was significant correlation between Kenai daily mean air temperature and the MRC daily mean air temperature for the 2012-2015 period ($r^2 = 0.97$). The regression equation performed well during validation tests ($r^2 = 0.95$) and was therefore used to estimate daily temperature data for the MRC station back to July 1948. Mean annual air temperature has increased by 0.4 °C since 1950, with a step increase occurring in 1976 associated with the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (Hartmann and Wendler, 2005) (Fig. 2). Between July 1948 and December 1976, MAAT was 0.83 °C and 0.29 °C for Kenai and MRC, respectively. Following the PDO shift MAAT increased to 1.97 °C and 1.51 °C for Kenai and the MRC, respectively (Fig. 2). Prior to the PDO shift, 18 (MRC) and 6 (Kenai) out of 27 years had a MAAT below freezing and after the PDO shift, only 10 (MRC) and 0 (Kenai) out of 39 years had a MAAT below freezing. MAAT at the MRC station was 0.88 °C (2012), 2.58 °C (2013), and 3.24 °C (2014) during our three-year
This is a sample text.
Alaska will be accompanied by the addition of soil moisture probes as well as borehole, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) which provides a direct measure of liquid water content (Parsekian et al., 2013).

Field surveys that included probing, drilling, coring and GPR provided additional information on the vertical and spatial distribution of the warm permafrost on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands. The average active layer thickness at our permafrost plateau ground temperature observation sites was 0.58 m. We chose these sites for initial instrumentation in September 2012 based in part on the relatively shallow depth to the frost table. More comprehensive probing in September 2015 revealed that the average depth to the permafrost table was 1.48 m (n=222) as averaged across three plateaus. At the Brown Lake plateau, a talik overlying the permafrost table was present in February 2016. Average permafrost thickness at this feature was 5.61 m thick, whereas at an adjacent feature it was more than 6.90 m, the maximum depth of our auger flights. GPR survey data confirmed the presence of a continuous surface talik at the Browns Lake site (Fig. 8); however, we were unable to image the base of the permafrost using solely GPR, as similarly described by Lewkowicz et al. (2011). EIF was 0.09 to 0.13 for three measurement sites on the primary Browns Lake plateau feature. In comparison, Allard et al. (1986) studied similar peat plateau features in Canada which typically were as high as one-third the thickness of permafrost or an EIF of 0.33. Lewkowicz et al. (2011) demonstrated that features with EIF values below 0.33 likely results from ice-poor permafrost and/or a high unfrozen water content of the permafrost. Based on visual interpretation of the permafrost peat core acquired in February 2016, the permafrost deposit consists entirely of frozen peat that appears to be ice-rich, with a number of ice bands, ice lenses, and ice inclusions. This evidence combined with the low EIF values and the flat-line ground temperature data...
suggest high unfrozen water content associated with degrading permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula.

5.2 Extent and change in residual permafrost plateaus since the 1950s

While previous reports of permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula exist (Hopkins et al., 1955; Jorgenson et al., 2008), they were restricted to the wetland complex (Mystery Creek) north of Sterling (Berg et al., 2009). Information on its dynamics here and elsewhere was lacking. Our analysis of remotely sensed imagery and field surveys identified residual permafrost plateaus in three additional wetland complexes where it had not been previously identified (Fig. 1 and Fig. 9) and indicates that the state of permafrost within the Kenai lowlands is highly dynamic. In 1950, forested, permafrost plateau extent accounted for 19% of the land cover in the 4,810 ha of wetland complexes analysed in the four change detection study areas. In each of the wetland areas analysed, permafrost plateaus accounted for more than 10% of the area in 1950. However, inferred permafrost extent decreased by 60% between 1950 and ca. 2010, and its lateral coverage dropped below 5% in three of the four study areas (Table 2).

The residual permafrost plateaus documented in this study share similar attributes to features elsewhere in boreal peatlands for which permafrost degradation has been inferred due to the ease of remotely detecting the conversion from forested permafrost plateau to non-permafrost herbaceous wetland or waterbody (Jorgenson et al., 2001). Thie (1974) inferred a permafrost plateau loss rate of 0.47% yr\(^{-1}\) between 1800 and 1960 for a 130,000 ha area of southern Manitoba. In Québec, Canada, a 13 ha peat bog lost 1.80% yr\(^{-1}\) between 1957 and 2003 (Payette et al., 2004). In the Northwest Territories, Canada, Quinton et al. (2011) reported a loss rate of 0.62% yr\(^{-1}\) between 1947 and 2008 across a 100 ha study area. In Interior Alaska (Tanana Flats), Jorgenson et al. (2001) reported a loss rate of 0.76% yr\(^{-1}\) for birch forested permafrost
plateaus between 1949 and 1995 using a point sampling method within a 260,000 ha wetland area. Lara et al. (2016) recently updated these numbers for the Tanana Flats by manually digitizing features with methods similar to ours and demonstrated that birch forest plateaus decreased at a much slower rate of 0.12 % yr$^{-1}$, and that black spruce forested permafrost plateau features appeared to be stable. Thus, the loss rate of 1.0 % yr$^{-1}$ that we report for the 4,810 ha mapped on the western Kenai Peninsula Lowlands between 1950 and ca. 2010 are the second fastest change rates reported thus far in boreal peatlands.

### 5.3 Drivers of permafrost loss

Permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula is likely degrading as a result of warming air temperatures (+0.4 °C decade$^{-1}$ since 1950), especially where warming during the winter season likely exacerbates these effects (Table 4). During our three-year observation period as well as since the 1950s, warming in the winter has been more pronounced than in the summer (Table 1 and Fig. 2) and 2014 and 2015 had a MAAT roughly double the 1981 to 2010 climate normal period. Storm systems regularly bring warm air masses (> 4 °C) to the region during the winter. Air temperature warming during the winter months has decreased the number of freezing degree days which means that the ground freezes to a much lesser degree in the winter (Fig. 2, Table 1). Therefore ground temperatures decreased less over the winter period (Table 1 and Fig. 5), potentially leading to talik development. Previous research on permafrost plateaus in colder regions indicate that preferential warming in the winter and increased snow accumulation leads to enhanced permafrost thaw in boreal peatlands (Camill, 2005; Osterkamp, 2007). Since the Kenai Peninsula lowlands experience a semi-continental climate due to the rain shadow produced by the Kenai Mountains, a lack of winter snow fall may have contributed to permafrost persistence in this region by allowing relatively cold winter air temperatures to propagate into the
sub-surface. Thus, talik formation and permafrost degradation at our study sites in southcentral Alaska are likely being driven for the most part by winter fire air temperature warning (Fig. 2).

The increase in permafrost loss rate in southcentral Alaska following the 1980s is likely due to the combined effects of forest fires and a shift in the PDO after 1976. The respective pulse and press disturbances may have promoted large areas of permafrost already close to thawing, to quickly thaw, leaving only colder permafrost and permafrost with intact peat and forest cover. Fire can be an important driver of permafrost thaw (Yoshikawa et al., 2002) and thermokarst development (Jones et al., 2015). The Kenai Fire of 1947 burned the majority of the Mystery Creek study area, all of the Watson Lake study area, and the majority of the Browns Lake study area. We saw evidence of this fire at numerous sites within the Watson Lake and Browns Lake study areas. Watson Lake and Browns Lake subsequently had the two greatest loss rates between 1950 and 1984 and may be related to the 1947 fire. However, the presence of black spruce burn poles were not found on all permafrost plateaus visited indicating that the burning was likely relatively patchy in the wetlands. At Browns Lake, permafrost islands that did not burn in 1947 exhibited less degradation, had thicker permafrost, denser tree cover, and larger trees than the islands that burned. Large portions of the Tustumena Lake study area burned in the 1996 Crooked Creek Fire and 2005 Fox Creek Fire. These fires likely damaged, and partially removed the protective ecosystem cover (black spruce forest and peat), and degraded several permafrost plateau features. This resulted in the Tustumena study area having the highest change rate for the latter time period and 77% of the plateau loss that occurred between 1996 and ca. 2010 did so in areas that burned in the 1996 and 2005 fires.
We documented bottom-up permafrost degradation over the short period of direct measurements between 2012 and 2015. The bottom-up permafrost thaw observed at the Watson Lake PF2 site indicates that the flow of groundwater below the permafrost plateaus could be responsible for degradation (Walters et al., 1998). In addition, analysis of the remotely sensed imagery for the four select wetland complexes primarily documented lateral permafrost degradation since the 1950s as inferred by the conversion of forested plateau margins to herbaceous wetland vegetation. This type of feature loss accounted for 85% of the change between 1950 and ca. 2010. This pattern of loss was further observed in the field through the presence of thermokarst moats and drowning black spruce trees along the margins of the permafrost plateaus (Fig. 3). This is similar to the dominant processes documented in more northerly boreal peatlands with permafrost plateaus (Thie, 1974; Camill and Clark, 1998; Osterkamp et al., 2000; Jorgenson et al., 2001; Payette et al., 2004; Quinton et al., 2011; Lara et al., 2015). These findings highlight the importance of groundwater flow and also the impact of saturated herbaceous wetlands that absorb heat during the summer that likely degrades permafrost along the peat plateau margins (Walters et al., 1998). It is possible that lateral permafrost degradation caused by these processes are overwhelming the protection provided by the ecosystem cover for permafrost stability on the Kenai Peninsula lowlands. Future research is required to more fully understand the role of groundwater movement on permafrost instability in the study region.

5.4 Proposed history of permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula

During the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), northern hemisphere permafrost extended much further south than present day (Lindgren et al., 2015). However, permafrost history in southcentral Alaska is poorly constrained. Even though the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands
were almost completely glaciated during the LGM (Reger et al., 2007), the permafrost features identified in this study occur in glaciolacustrine or glaciofluvial wetland complexes that were either not glaciated during the LGM (Mystery Creek) or became deglaciated before 16,000 cal yrs BP (Reger et al., 2007). Perhaps permafrost formed on the Kenai Peninsula during deglaciation or shortly thereafter during the Younger Dryas 12,900 to 11,700 years ago (Jones et al., 2009). However, this permafrost would have likely thawed during the Holocene Thermal Maximum (Zoltai, 1972; Kaufman et al., 2004). As the regional climate became cooler and wetter, between 8,000 and 5,000 years ago, *Sphagnum* accumulation and preservation on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands may have promoted more widespread permafrost aggradation (Jones et al., 2009). Following this period, the peatlands may have progressively froze, heaving the permafrost plateaus above the water table, drying the peat-rich soils, promoting growth of black spruce, and creating a buffer layer protecting the underlying permafrost (ecosystem-protected) from the unfavourable climate for permafrost that currently exists today (Zoltai, 1972, 1995; Payette et al., 2004; Camill, 2005). Growth of permafrost and heaving the peatland surface above the water table could explain low peat accumulation rates calculated in many Kenai Peninsula peatlands between 3,300-2,000 years ago (Jones and Yu, 2010; Jones et al., 2014). This also coincides with widespread neoglacialation on the Kenai Peninsula 3,000 to 1,500 years ago (Wiles and Calkin, 1994, Barclay et al., 2009). Alternatively, the Little Ice Age (365 - 165 years ago), promoted shallow permafrost formation in areas that were predominantly unfrozen throughout the Holocene (Romanovsky et al., 1992; Jorgenson et al., 2001), and thus, could account for the presence of residual permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula. The widespread loss of permafrost plateaus in central Alaska may be a result of degradation of Little Ice Age
permafrost (Jorgenson et al., 2001). The age, history, and future trajectory of permafrost on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands require further study.

5.5 Landscape dynamics and permafrost thaw on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands

Previous and ongoing land cover change on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands are primarily in response to the interaction of climate change and human development. Increases in summer air temperature and late-summer droughts, along with human disturbance, have been linked to the massive spruce bark beetle (Dendroctonus rufipennis) outbreak of the late 1990s (Berg et al., 2006; Sherriff et al., 2011), which led to subsequent timber salvage (Jones, 2008). Berg and Anderson (2006) caution that overall drier conditions on the western Kenai Peninsula, combined with standing dead spruce stands, may alter the future fire regime of this region. Wetland drying (Klein et al., 2005) and establishment of woody vegetation in wetlands (Berg et al., 2009) may be attributed to warmer air temperatures and decreases in precipitation. Furthermore, tectonic activity associated with the Great Alaska Earthquake of 1964 caused the western Kenai Peninsula to lower in elevation by 0.7 to 2.3 m (Plafker, 1969), while the northern portion of the peninsula subsequently uplifted 0.8 – 0.9 m (Cohen and Freymueller, 1997), potentially altering groundwater flow paths (Gracz, 2011).

In our study, we document and incorporate the loss of ecosystem-protected permafrost in the overall understanding of landscape dynamics on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands. The degradation of permafrost can impact terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, hydrology, infrastructure, and carbon cycling on the Kenai Peninsula (Schuur et al., 2008; Grosse et al., 2011; Jorgenson et al., 2013; Kokelj et al., 2015; Vonk et al., 2015). Permafrost degradation within the wetlands is responsible for a shift from black spruce forest plateaus to fen and bog wetland ecosystems at a mean rate of 9.2 ha yr\(^{-1}\) since the 1950s in the four change detection
study areas. Permafrost plateaus redirect surface and near-surface drainage in boreal wetlands (Quinton et al., 2011), and the thaw subsidence of these features increases drainage network connectivity (Beilman and Robinson, 2003), and alters the local hydrological cycle (Hayashi et al., 2007). Thus, the loss of permafrost and/or changes in seasonally frozen ground phenology could in part be aiding in observations of terrestrial and aquatic changes that have occurred on the Kenai Peninsula during the past several decades. Further work is required to better understand the past influence of permafrost on the Kenai Peninsula as well as the future loss of these warm permafrost deposits.

6 Conclusions

Based on our ground data and remotely sensed observations, we found that peatland permafrost is currently more extensive than previously reported in southcentral Alaska, a region with a MAAT of 1.5 °C. Warm permafrost (-0.04 to -0.08 °C) persists on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands in forested (black spruce), peat plateaus found in glaciolacustrine and glaciofluvial wetland complexes. At our field study sites, the depth to permafrost table on the peat plateaus averaged 1.48 m in September 2015, but was as shallow as 0.53 m. Permafrost thickness ranged from 0.33 m to greater than 6.90 m. Field surveys conducted in February 2016 documented the presence of a surface talik overlying the permafrost table. In 1950, residual permafrost plateaus covered 19 % of the 4,810 ha wetland area mapped in our study. Within our changed detection study areas, 60 % of the permafrost plateaus present in 1950 had degraded by ca. 2010. In most cases, permafrost degradation equated to the loss of forest and its replacement by bog or fen vegetation, preferentially occurring along permafrost plateau margins. Permafrost loss on the Kenai Peninsula is likely associated with a warming climate, particularly during the winter
season, wildfires that remove the protective ecosystem cover, groundwater flow at depth, and lateral heat transfer from wetland surface waters in the summer. Future studies on the residual permafrost plateaus on the Kenai Peninsula will provide further insight for mapping and predicting permafrost extent across Boreal permafrost regions that are currently warming.
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Table 1. Mean annual ground temperature data for four observation sites on the Kenai Peninsula lowlands. Browns Lake PF1, Watson Lake PF1, and Watson Lake PF2 represent permafrost plateaus and the Watson Lake non-PF site a black spruce forested non-plateau site. Sensor depths that were perennially frozen in a given year are in bold. Mean annual air temperature (MAAT), thawing and freezing degree days (TDD and FDD), and average winter snow depth (MASD) are from the Kenai 29 ENE AWS 702590 weather station.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>MAAT (°C)</th>
<th>TDD Sums</th>
<th>FDD Sums</th>
<th>MASD (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1865.9</td>
<td>1544.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9/16/2012 - 9/15/2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>MAAT (°C)</th>
<th>TDD Sums</th>
<th>FDD Sums</th>
<th>MASD (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2066.6</td>
<td>1123.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thermistor failed on 24 August 2014

9/16/2013 - 9/15/2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>Sensor depth (cm)</th>
<th>MAGT (°C)</th>
<th>MAAT (°C)</th>
<th>TDD Sums</th>
<th>FDD Sums</th>
<th>MASD (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50*</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>25*</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2009.8</td>
<td>829.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Thermistor or data logger failure

*Permafrost thaw during observation period
Table 2. Permafrost plateau extent mapped in each study region in 1950, 1984, 1996, and ca. 2010. Analyzed wetland area for each study region is given along with the number of features, total plateau area, mean plateau area, and plateau extent for each image observation year. In ca. 2010, images were acquired in 2011 (Mystery Creek and Watson Lake), 2012 (Tustumena Lake), and 2013 (Browns Lake).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Region</th>
<th>Wetland Area (ha)</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1984</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>ca. 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Features</td>
<td>Total Plateau Area (ha)</td>
<td>Mean Plateau Area (ha)</td>
<td>Plateau Extent (%)</td>
<td>Number of Features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>1562.0</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>511.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>904.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>1013.0</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>1333.4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>210.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>4812.7</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>920.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Change in the extent of permafrost plateaus for each of the study regions between 1950 and ca. 2010, 1950 and 1984, 1984 and 1996, and 1996 and ca. 2010. Change is reported in aerial units per year, proportional area change, percent change per year, and by the type of change. Change type refers to whether the plateau loss occurred along the periphery of a feature (lateral), in the centre of a feature (internal), or whether complete loss of a feature occurred. In ca. 2010, images were acquired in 2011 (Mystery Creek and Watson Lake), 2012 (Tustumena Lake), and 2013 (Browns Lake).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Area Change (ha yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proportional Area Change (ha yr⁻¹ 100 ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Percent Change (% yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Lateral (%)</th>
<th>Internal (%)</th>
<th>Complete (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Area Change (ha yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proportional Area Change (ha yr⁻¹ 100 ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Percent Change (% yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Lateral (%)</th>
<th>Internal (%)</th>
<th>Complete (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Area Change (ha yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proportional Area Change (ha yr⁻¹ 100 ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Percent Change (% yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Lateral (%)</th>
<th>Internal (%)</th>
<th>Complete (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>-18.7</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Area Change (ha yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Proportional Area Change (ha yr⁻¹ 100 ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Percent Change (% yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Lateral (%)</th>
<th>Internal (%)</th>
<th>Complete (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mystery Creek</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson Lake</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns Lake</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tustumena Lake</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Sites</td>
<td>-9.5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Mean annual, mean summer (May to September), and mean winter (October to April) air temperature for the three remotely sensed image observation periods compiled from the Kenai Municipal Airport (WBAN 26523) and estimated from the MRC station (Kenai 29 ENE - AWS 702590).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remote Sensing Time Period</th>
<th>Mean Annual Air Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean Summer Air Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean Winter Air Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenai Airport</td>
<td>MRC</td>
<td>Kenai Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950 to 1984</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>9.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984 to 1996</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>10.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996 to 2015</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>10.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Study area figure. (a) Recent permafrost map of Alaska (Pastick et al., 2015) indicating permafrost presence (purple) and absence (white) in the upper one meter of the ground surface. (b) Hillshade relief image showing a portion of southcentral Alaska. The study region on the Kenai Peninsula lowlands is shown with the black box outline. (c) The portion of the Kenai Peninsula lowlands where field studies and remotely sensed observations were conducted. Ground temperature observations were collected at the Browns Lake and Watson Lake sites. The remote sensing change detection areas are shown with a white box: (A) Mystery Creek, (B) Watson Lake, (C) Browns Lake, and (D) Tustumena Lake wetland complexes.
Figure 2: a) Historical (1948-2015) mean annual air temperature compiled from Kenai Municipal Airport (WBAN 26523) hourly surface data and interpolated (broken) and measured (solid) mean annual air temperature for the MRC station (Kenai 29 ENE AWS 702590). b) Thawing degree day (TDD) and freezing degree day (FDD) sums for 1948-2015 derived from historical and interpolated daily mean temperature. c) Cumulative annual precipitation data from the Kenai Municipal Airport (WBAN 26523) between 1948 and 2015.
Figure 3: Field photos of residual permafrost plateau landforms and thermokarst on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands. (a) A forested permafrost plateau in the Browns Lake wetland complex. A thermokarst moat and drowning black spruce trees in the (b) Browns Lake and (c) Watson Lake wetland complexes.
Figure 4: Time series documenting the extent of a subset of permafrost features in the Browns Lake wetland complex in (a) 1950, (b) 1984, (c) 1996, and (d) 2013. Permafrost plateau extent in 1950 is shown as a yellow polygon in each frame and other time slices outlined as green (1984), blue (1996), and red (2013).
Figure 5: Mean daily ground temperature data plots for the four shallow boreholes on the western Kenai Peninsula lowlands for the period of 16 September 2012 to 15 September 2015: (a) Browns Lake PF1 site, (b) Watson Lake PF1 site, (c) Watson Lake PF2 site, and (d) Watson Lake non-PF site. All axes scales are the same but sensor depths vary among sites based on site characteristics. Missing data indicates sensor or thermistor failure.
Figure 6: Mean daily ground temperature data plots indicating the presence of permafrost on the
western Kenai Peninsula lowlands for the period of 16 September 2012 to 15 September 2015:
(a) Browns Lake PF1 site, (b) Watson Lake PF1 site, and (c) Watson Lake PF2 site. Top-down
permafrost thaw occurred at Watson Lake PF1 during the fall of 2014 and bottom-up permafrost
thaw occurred at Watson Lake PF2 during the fall of 2015.
Figure 7: (a) High-resolution satellite image showing the permafrost plateau in the Browns Lake wetland complex where detailed field surveys were conducted as well as the location of the Browns Lake PF1 data logger (green dot). (b) A ~100 m transect across the Browns Lake PF1 permafrost plateau site showing ground surface height above the wetland (green line), depth to the permafrost table (blue line and blue arrows), permafrost thickness constraints (grey arrows), seasonally frozen ground depth (maroon arrows), and lack of permafrost (yellow stars) as measured by probing, drilling, and coring. Locations where the permafrost table exceeded 2.2 m from the ground surface (limiting depth for September surveys) are indicated with a non-existent blue line. Locations where the base of the permafrost was encountered are indicated with an upward looking grey triangle and those locations where it was not encountered, a downward looking grey triangle.
Figure 8. GPR profiles at the intensive Browns Lake permafrost plateau (Fig. 7a) from a) late-July 2014 with Mala shielded 100-MHz antennas and b) mid-February 2016 with Sensors & Software unshielded bi-static 100-MHz antennas. Processed radargrams are on the left and processed, interpreted radargrams are on the right. Both summer and winter profiles clearly show reflectors associated with the permafrost table and in the case of (b) show the presence of a talik. However, we were unable to image the permafrost base using GPR. Note that the two GPR transects differ slightly in their orientation across the feature.
Figure 9. Spatial and temporal pattern of permafrost loss within four change detection areas: a) Mystery Creek, b) Watson Lake, c) Browns Lake, and d) Tustumena Lake. Red indicates feature loss between 1950 and 1980, orange is feature loss between 1984 and 1996, yellow is feature loss between 1996 and ca. 2010, and green is ca. 2010 permafrost plateau extent. The white dots indicate the location of field verified permafrost between 2009 and 2016. The hatched white polygons indicate the wetland extent where plateau features were mapped in each study area. Background imagery is the 1996 orthophotography.