Climate warming over the past half century has led to thermal degradation of permafrost in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau
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Abstract. Air temperatures increases thermally degrade permafrost, which is very important for engineering design, resource development, and environmental protection in cold regions. This study evaluates the potential thermal degradation of permafrost over the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) from the 1960s to the 2000s using estimated decadal mean annual air temperatures (MAATs) by integrating remote sensing-based estimates of mean annual land surface temperatures (MASTs), leaf area index (LAI) and fractional snow cover values, and decadal mean MAAT date from 152 weather stations with a geographically weighted regression (GWR). The results reflect a continuous rise of approximately 0.04 °Ca⁻¹ in the decadal mean MAAT values over the past half century. A thermal condition classification matrix is used to convert modelled MAATs to permafrost thermal type. Result shows that the climate warming has led to a thermal degradation of permafrost in the past half century. The total area of thermally degraded permafrost is approximately 153.76×10⁴ km², which corresponds to 88% of the permafrost area in the 1960s. The thermal condition of 75.2% of the very cold permafrost, 89.6% of the cold permafrost, 90.3% of the cool permafrost, 92.3% of the warm permafrost, and 32.8% of the very warm permafrost has been degraded to lower levels of thermal condition. Approximately 49.4% of the very warm permafrost and 96% of the likely thawing permafrost has degraded to seasonally frozen ground. The mean elevations of the very cold, cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing permafrost areas increased by 88 m, 97 m, 155 m, 185 m, 161 m, and 250 m, respectively. The degradation mainly occurred from the 1960s to the 1970s and from the 1990s to the 2000s. This degradation may lead to increases in risks to infrastructure, increased flood risks, reductions in ecosystem resilience, and positive climate feedback effects. It therefore affects the well-being of millions of people and sustainable development at the Third Pole.

1 Introduction

Permafrost is defined as earth materials, including ice or organic material, that remain at or below 0 °C for at least two years (Permafrost Subcommittee, National Research Council of Canada. 1988; Williams et al., 1989). An increase in air temperatures often thermally degrades permafrost, which is very important for engineering design, construction, resource
development, carbon and water cycles, and ecological protection in cold regions (Collett, 2002; Cheng and Wu, 2007; Tarnocai et al., 2009; Schuur et al., 2009; Schaefer et al., 2011; Hinzman et al., 2013; Mu et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2016). In terms of middle- and high-elevation permafrost regions, the area of permafrost in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) is the largest in the world. The permafrost in the QTP experiences higher temperatures than those observed in Siberia and the Arctic, which are more sensitive to global climate warming and human activity (Wu et al., 2002; Haeberli and Hohmann, 2008; Li et al., 2008; Ran et al., 2012; Ran and Li, 2016).

Monitoring and simulation show that substantial permafrost degradation is occurring on the QTP. For example, the mean annual air temperature (MAAT) increased by approximately 0.2–0.4 °C from the 1970s to the late 1990s (Wang et al., 2000). From 1961–2010, the decadal average MAAT rose by 1.3 °C, with an average rate of increase of 0.03 °C yr⁻¹ (Jin et al., 2011; Ran and Li, 2016). From 1996 to 2001, the thickness of the active layer increased by 0.15–0.50 metres, and the mean annual ground temperature (MAGT) rose by 0.1–0.5 °C in the past 30 years (Yang et al., 2010). At Xidatan, near the city of Golmud (Figure 1) at the northern boundary of permafrost adjacent to the Qinghai-Tibet Railway (QTR), the lower elevation limit of permafrost moved upward ~ 25 m from 1975 to 2002 (Nan et al., 2003). On northern and southern slopes of the Bayan Har Mountains (Figure 1), the lower elevation limits of discontinuous permafrost have moved upward ~ 90 m and ~ 100 m, respectively, from 1991 to 2010 (Luo et al., 2012). On the southern side of the Tanggula Mountains (Figure 1), climate change and infrastructure development have resulted in permafrost degradation; from 2006 to 2012, permafrost temperatures at 10 m depth have increased by 0.03 °C in undisturbed areas and 0.06 °C beneath an embankment, and respective active layers have deepened by 0.29 m and 0.41 m (Sun et al., 2014). Cheng et al. (2012) reported on the decadal changes in permafrost distribution on the QTP over the past 50 years (1960–2009) and demonstrated that the rate of permafrost loss had accelerated since the 1980s, and about one-fifth of the total area of permafrost that existed in the 1960s has degraded.

However, many of these studies focus on either the local or in situ scales, and few studies have focused on the regional scale. Although the decadal changes in the permafrost distribution over the QTP were simulated by Cheng et al. (2012), this study emphasized the migration of permafrost “boundaries”. However, such boundaries are continuous, inexact representations of the permafrost distribution and permafrost degradation (Yang et al., 2010). As an alternative, Ran and Li (2016) used spatially distributed near-surface air temperature reanalysis data to assess spatial variation in the thermal degradation of permafrost in China over a 30-year period, but the data set has a low resolution and large uncertainties. These studies are not comprehensive and do not adequately reflect changes in the thermal state of the permafrost, especially in the interior of permafrost zones. Therefore, the thermal state of permafrost should be evaluated with a higher resolution at a longer period than previous studies. However, the evaluation is general limited by the sparse data. Of the most commonly used indices (i.e. MAAT and MAGT), MAGT is the most direct indicator of the thermal state of permafrost (Cheng, 1984); however, long-term measurements of the MAGT is almost impossible due to the high cost of drilling boreholes. The potential problems of using MAAT to predict permafrost degradation are well known, such as the neglecting the influences of thermal inertia of deep soil layers or geothermal heat flux (Smith and Riseborough, 2002; Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a). However, MAAT is easy to measure and has high spatial representativeness. Importantly, long-term in situ measurements of MAATs are available.
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However, MAAT measurement stations are sparse on the QTP, especially in the western region. In previous studies MAAT measurements were interpolated onto grids based on digital elevation models (DEMs), but the uncertainty of the gridded MAAT is substantial because of the locations of weather stations and heterogeneity of the surface characteristics, including snow cover and vegetation (Vancutsem et al., 2010).

Fortunately, the remote sensing era has led to changes in this situation. Thermal infrared remote sensing provides direct observations of land surface temperatures (LSTs) at high spatial and temporal resolutions. For example, the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) LST product is freely available and has been validated over large areas via a series of field campaigns. Its accuracy is better than 1 °C (0.5 °C in most cases) (Wan et al, 2002; 2004; 2008). Remote sensing-based estimates of LSTs provide a key high-resolution temperature pattern of the land surface that can potentially be used in monitoring permafrost degradation; however, criteria for using LST estimates to distinguish permafrost types are not traditionally available, and the relatively short time series of LST data does not meet the needs of long-term permafrost monitoring.

Several studies have demonstrated the high correlation between satellite-based LST and near surface air temperature, and thus the potential of satellite-based methods in estimating near-surface air temperatures (Hachem et al., 2009; 2012; Vancutsem et al., 2010; Yao and Zhang, 2013; Ran et al., 2015). Variation and uncertainty in estimated near surface air temperatures are mainly related to surface conditions such as snow cover and vegetation, the amount of solar radiation, and cloud cover (Henderson-Sellers and Hughes, 1982; Zhang, 2005; Vancutsem et al., 2010; Lawrence et al., 2011; Hachem et al., 2012; Ran et al., 2015). Highly accurate remote sensing-based snow cover and vegetation products are now available to reduce some of the uncertainty, and solar radiation and cloud cover can be accounted for. Therefore we propose that high resolution remote sensing-based LST and the long-term in situ MAAT measurement can be integrated to monitor the permafrost thermal state. The objective of this study is to evaluate potential thermal degradation of permafrost including temporal changes, spatial changes in the map plane, and spatial changes with elevation over the QTP from 1960 to 2010 by integrating multi-criterion remote sensing observations and an air temperature observation network.

2 Methods and Datasets

In this study, the potential thermal degradation of permafrost is evaluated based on the MAAT model and the improved MAAT data over the QTP in the past half century. The MAAT in situ measurement data at 152 sites over the QTP and remote sensing data with six independent variables were combined using a Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) model to estimate the MAAT with a 1 km resolution over the QTP during the past five decades.

2.1 Permafrost thermal condition classification system

In this study, a permafrost thermal condition classification system is used. That is defined according to the high altitude permafrost zonation proposed by Cheng (1984). In Cheng’s system, permafrost is classified into extremely stable, stable,
sub-stable, transitional, unstable, and extremely unstable types. The system is a high-level summary of the relation between MAGT measurements and the three-dimensional zonation of high altitude permafrost (elevation, latitude, and aridity). Cheng’s (1984) system relates air temperature to permafrost conditions according to the statistical relations between MAGT, elevation, and in situ MAAT measurements. The extremely unstable type in this system refers to regions that include cave ice and frozen gravel below the lower limit of permafrost, which is a very scattered distribution. However, the thermal stability of permafrost is a function of surficial materials and ground-ice content as much as temperature. From a strictly thermal perspective, the notion that cold permafrost is more “thermally stable” than warm permafrost typically does not hold true. From a changing stability perspective, for a given increase in MAAT, MAGT in cold permafrost often responds more quickly than warm permafrost because of relatively low latent heat effects in the former versus the latter. In the extreme case, thawing permafrost that is nearly 0 °C may appear thermally stable, because heat is used for phase change rather than temperature change. This process intertwined with the changing geothermal flux makes it is difficult to reflect the thermal stability change using MAAT index as a thermal condition. Therefore, we rename the permafrost stability types into thermal condition types include very cold, cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing types in this paper, as shown in Table 1. This system is more useful to describe permafrost degradation from a spatially distributed perspective, rather than a boundary perspective. On the QTP, a MAAT of −2 °C has typically been used to distinguish permafrost from seasonally frozen ground (Cheng, 1984; Ran and Li, 2016). Here we use a MAAT of −1 °C is to distinguish likely thawing permafrost from seasonally frozen ground based on experience judgment for the region of cave ice and frozen gravel distribution area.

2.2 Simulation of MAAT using geographically weighted regression

In this study, geographically weighted regression (GWR) is used to simulate MAATs. Local parameters are employed in the GWR model to estimate MAATs while considering the spatial locations of meteorological stations (Brunsdon et al., 1998; Kumar et al., 2012). The weighting is a function of the distance between the location of each regression point and the sites where observations are available. The GWR model used in the present study is shown below in Equation (1):

\[ y_i = \beta_0(\mu_i, \nu_i) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \beta_k(\mu_i, \nu_i)x_{ik} + \varepsilon_i \]  

(1)

where \( y_i \) is the MAAT at pixel \( i \), \( x_{ik} \) is the \( k^{th} \) explanatory factor at pixel \( i \), \( \beta_0(\mu_i, \nu_i) \) and \( \beta_k(\mu_i, \nu_i) \) represent the intercept and slope for the \( k^{th} \) explanatory factor, \( m \) is the number of explanatory factors, and \( \varepsilon_i \) is the residual term.

The quantities \( \beta_0(\mu_i, \nu_i) \) and \( \beta_k(\mu_i, \nu_i) \) are estimated using Equation (2):

\[ \hat{\beta}(\mu_i, \nu_i) = (X^TW(\mu_i, \nu_i)X)^{-1}X^TW(\mu_i, \nu_i)Y \]  

(2)
where $\hat{\beta}(\mu_i, \nu_i)$ is an unbiased estimation of the regression coefficients. It is a vector that includes an intercept and $m$ regression coefficients associated with $m$ explanatory factors, i.e., the 6 independent variables selected by a stepwise linear regression analysis (see below). $X$ is a matrix of explanatory factors ($n \times m$); $W(\mu_i, \nu_i)$ is the spatial weight matrix, which is a diagonal matrix; $Y$ is a vector ($n \times 1$) for the dependent variables, i.e., the decadal mean MAAT in the 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s; and $n$ is the number of MAAT observation stations for each year.

In this study, the Gaussian function is used as a spatial weighting function, as shown in Equation (3):

$$W(\mu_i, \nu_i) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_i}{r}\right)^2\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $d_i$ is the distance between the $i$th observation station and the point to be estimated, and $r$ is the bandwidth parameter.

To accommodate different station densities, the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) is used to determine the optimal bandwidth parameters.

A stepwise linear regression analysis is used to select the independent variables for the GWR model. The variance inflation factor (VIF) is used to assess the multicollinearity of the model. The GWR is implemented in SAGA (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses) (Conrad et al., 2015), using the GWR for multiple predictor grids geoprocessing tool with a Gaussian weighting function and a global search range.

Due to the unavailability of vegetation, snow cover, and LST datasets during the 1960s to 2000s, the effects of the dynamics of vegetation, snow cover, and LST on MAAT during this period are unknown. This will inevitably cause some errors in the estimation of MAAT. Recent studies of the QTP show overall that vegetation increased during the past 30 years and snow cover decreased during the past 15 years (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017). The effect of vegetation and snow cover change on MAAT and related feedback process are highly complex. For example, the vegetation-snow interaction effect on MAAT is related to humidity (Zhong et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2017). However, we believe that such effects mainly occur at the local level in vegetation dominated areas (Wang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017), and they can be partially compensated by in situ time series MAAT measurements over the QTP for the past 50 years.

### 2.3 Evaluation of the rate of permafrost thermal degradation

A linear regression model is used to evaluate the rates of MAAT change in the QTP over the past 50 years, and the statistical significance is evaluated using Student’s $t$-test. Thirteen elevation ranges ($< 3600$ m, $3600-3800$ m, $3800-4000$ m, $4000-4200$ m, $4200-4400$ m, $4400-4600$ m, $4600-4800$ m, $4800-5000$ m, $5000-5200$ m, $5200-5400$ m, $5400-5600$ m, $5600-5800$ m, and $> 5800$ m) are used to evaluate the elevation dependence of the warming rate.

The degradation of permafrost thermal condition is assessed from two perspectives, the change in area of the different permafrost types and the spatial heterogeneity of the change. For the area change, we determined the total area of each permafrost type during the past five decades and calculated the rate of change (i.e., the degradation rate) using the linear
regression model. The spatial pattern of the degradation of permafrost thermal condition is assessed at two levels. At the pixel level the spatial distribution of the degradation is evaluated, and at the level of the permafrost types a transfer matrix is used to evaluate the conversions among the types (Stehman, 1997). We also analyse the changes in the elevation histograms for each permafrost type in the past 50 years.

2.4 Datasets

2.4.1 Mean annual land surface temperature

MODIS Terra/Aqua daytime and nighttime LST products (MOD11A1 and MYD11A1, version 5) with a spatial resolution of 1 km and covering 2006 to 2010 were acquired from the Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC) operated by the U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). These data are used in this study to estimate MASTs. A pragmatic approach proposed by Ran et al. (2015, 2017) is employed to estimate the MASTs using the four daily MODIS LST products. This approach assumes that the arithmetic average of the daytime and nighttime LSTs represent the daily mean LST with acceptable accuracy, and that the daily amplitude of LST is more homogeneous than the LST itself (Liu et al., 2006; Kogan et al., 2011; Ran et al., 2015). The approach allows the full use of every value at any time in any pixel of the MODIS LST products through the use of the temporally and spatially complete LST daily amplitude, which is interpolated using a gap filling algorithm (Garcia, 2010). This algorithm employs a penalized least squares regression based on discrete cosine transforms that explicitly utilize information from a time series to predict the missing values. The penalized least squares regression is a thin-plate spline smoother for a generally one-dimensional data array, and it can trade off fidelity to the data versus the roughness of the mean function (Garcia, 2010; Wang et al., 2012). This approach is easy to implement and independent of other observations. Validation shows that the scheme is effective in restoring the missing values in MODIS instantaneous LST observations and produces a spatially and temporally continuous daily average LST dataset that displays good agreement with observations made at the ground surface. The errors in the results originate mainly from the original instantaneous LST MODIS products. A more detailed description of this scheme can be found in Ran et al. (2015, 2017). The temporally and spatially continuous daily mean LSTs from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2010 and the corresponding MASTs used in this study are produced using the above approach.

2.4.2 Fractional snow cover

Arithmetic mean values of daily cloud-removed FSC products from 2006 to 2010 are used in this study. This product is derived from the daily MODIS 500-m snow cover product (MOD10A1) using a gap filling process based on a cubic spline interpolation algorithm. A comparison with reference FSC data obtained from Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) shows the high accuracy with which this product reflects snow cover information over the QTP (Tang et al., 2013). The cloud-removed FSC products were acquired from the Cold and Arid Regions Science Data Center, Lanzhou, China (http://westdc.westgis.ac.cn).
2.4.3 Leaf area index

Annual mean LAI values obtained from the Global Land Surface Satellite (GLASS), which make up a high-quality LAI product with an eight-day temporal resolution and a 1-km spatial resolution and cover the period from 2006 to 2010, are used in this study. The GLASS LAI product is derived from the fused MODIS and CYCLOPES LAI products, and the remaining effects of cloud contamination have been removed using MODIS time series surface reflectance data and general regression neural networks (Xiao et al., 2014). The results of validation show that the GLASS LAI product has a lower uncertainty than the MODIS and CYCLOPES LAI products (Xiang et al., 2014). The GLASS LAI product was acquired from the GLASS project website (http://glass-product.bnu.edu.cn/en).

2.4.4 In situ MAAT observations

The MAAT measurements, which were collected at 131 stations for the 1960s and 1970s, 133 stations for the 1980s, 144 stations for the 1990s, and 152 stations for the 2000s within the QTP and the surrounding area, were acquired from the data centre of the China Meteorological Administration (http://cdc.nmic.cn). The distribution of the 152 stations for the 2000s is shown in Figure 1. The density of stations in the eastern QTP is higher than other years. The decadal mean MAAT values over the past five decades are used in this study.

2.4.5 Validation data

Validation of the long-term permafrost thermal condition is difficult due to the limited amounts of reference data that are available. In this study, we evaluate the results by comparing the estimated permafrost distribution in the 2000s with previous regional-scale permafrost maps and borehole measurements at individual sites. The permafrost maps that cover the QTP from Li and Cheng, (1996), Nan et al. (2002), and Zou et al., (2016) are used at the regional scale. In particular, the map of Zou et al., (2016) integrates the MODIS eight-day LST product within the framework of the temperature at the top of the permafrost (TTOP) model (Smith and Riseborough, 1996), and careful validation of this map has been performed using MAGT data. At the site scale, the MAGT values used in this study were collected from 142 boreholes presented in the existing literature (Yu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2013) and the International Permafrost Association (IPA)-International Polar Year (IPY) Thermal State of Permafrost (TSP) Snapshot Borehole Inventory downloaded from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) (http://nsidc.org) (International Permafrost Association, 2010). The distribution of these boreholes is shown in Figure 1.

2.4.6 Ancillary data

The distribution of water bodies in the MODIS land cover product (MOD12Q1) and the map showing the distribution of glacier ice from the second Chinese glacier inventory are used to support the permafrost area statistics. The MOD12Q1 product is used for consistency with the other remote sensing products employed in this study. On the other hand, the glacier
extents from the second Chinese glacier inventory are compiled based on Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) or ETM+ images acquired from 2004 to 2011, as well as other ancillary data, such as digital elevation models (DEMs). The robust band ratio segmentation method is first used to delineate the glacier outlines, and intensive manual improvements are then performed to improve its accuracy. An error assessment shows that the area error for all of the glaciers in China is approximately 3.2% (Guo et al., 2015).

3 Results

Stepwise linear regression analysis shows that the use of the mean annual land surface temperature (MAST), the leaf area index (LAI), the fractional snow cover (FSC), elevation, latitude, and longitude as independent variables results in the highest degree of explanatory power for the past five decades, and the significance level is less than 0.0001 (Model 6 in Table 2). The VIF value less than 1.5 shows that the degree of tolerance is high, and the multicollinearity of the model is thus acceptable. The performance of the GWR model in the 2010s is shown in Table 2. Then, decadal mean MAAT estimates with a 1 km resolution over the QTP in the past 50 years are produced using the model 6. The permafrost stability map in the past five decades is then produced based on the simulated MAAT and the permafrost types defined in Table 1.

3.1 Change of MAAT over the QTP in the past 50 years

The MAATs over the QTP have risen continuously in the past 50 years. The mean MAAT values for the 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s are -2.38 °C, -1.85 °C, -1.78 °C, -1.32 °C, and -0.58 °C, respectively. These values reflect a continuous rise with a rate of approximately 0.04 °C a⁻¹. This value is higher than the global average warming rate, as well as the estimated warming rates for the QTP reported by Cheng et al. (2012) and Ran et al. (2016) that are based on interpolated elevation-based air temperature data or surface air temperature reanalysis data. The warming rate in the western part of the QTP is higher than that in the eastern part and depends on elevation, as shown in Figures 2 and 3. The warming rate increases with increasing elevation from approximately 0.33 °C per decade at 3600 m to 0.49 °C per decade at 5200 m. This finding is similar to that of previous studies (Liu and Chen, 2000; Qin et al., 2009). The physical mechanisms of this phenomenon may be related to the combined effects of the cloud-radiation and snow-albedo feedbacks (Giorgi et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2009; Pepin et al., 2015). These elevated warming rates may have a substantial impact on the thermal condition of the permafrost.

3.2 Potential thermal degradation

Based on the map of permafrost types covering the past five decades (Figure 4a-e), we analyse the degradation from three perspectives, including temporal changes, spatial changes in the map plane, and spatial changes with elevation.
3.2.1 Temporal dynamics of thermal degradation

Permafrost has thermally degraded continuously over the past 50 years. The area occupied by the cold types has decreased continuously, and the area occupied by the very warm types has increased continuously (Table 3). The areas occupied by the very cold, cold, cool, and warm types display net decreases of approximately $8.99 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (72.79%), $27.06 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (70.12%), $9.30 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (27.24%), and $1.18 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (4.77%) from the 1960s to the 2000s, respectively. In particular, the cold type displays the most serious degradation, and its rate of loss is approximately $6.15 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (15.94%) per decade. Moreover, the area occupied by the very warm type has increased by approximately $3.99 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (9.02%) at a rate of $1.06 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (2.4%) per decade. Specifically, this degradation mainly occurred during the 1960s to 1970s and the 1980s to 1990s for the very cold type, the 1960s to the 1970s and the 1990s to the 2000s for the cold type, and the 1980s to the 2000s for the cool type. The area occupied by the likely thawing type has not changed substantial. If glaciers and the likely thawing type are included, the total permafrost area has decreased significantly from $174.76 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ in the 1960s to $133.1 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ in the 2000s at a rate of approximately $9.52 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (5.45%) per decade, and this loss of area occurred mainly during the 1960s to the 1970s and the 1990s to the 2000s (Table 3).

3.2.2 Spatial variation of thermal degradation

Degradation of permafrost thermal condition has likely occurred over a broad region of permafrost on the QTP within the past 50 years, especially during the 1960s to the 1970s and the 1990s to the 2000s. The degradation of permafrost condition in the western QTP was substantial during the 1960s to the 1970s. In the subsequent 40 years, the degradation of permafrost condition in the QTP was relatively homogeneous (Figure 4f-i). Specifically, the extents of the very cold, cold, and cool types retreated from the south to the north (Figure 4a-e). The extents of the warm, very warm, and likely thawing types extended northward correspondingly. Approximately 42.30% of the very cold type, 42.09% of the cold type, and 39.83% of the cool type have degraded to the cold, cool, and warm types from the 1960s to the 1970s, respectively. At the same time, approximately 57.26% of the warm type, 29.34% of the very warm type, and 59.47% of the likely thawing type, have degraded to the very warm type, likely thawing type, and seasonally frozen ground, respectively. Overall, approximately 75.24% of the very cold type, 89.56% of the cold type, 90.3% of the cool type, 92.31% of the warm type, and 32.8% of the very warm type have degraded to lower levels of thermal condition in the past 50 years (Table 4). The potential reduction in the area of permafrost is mainly due to the degradation of the very warm and likely thawing types. Approximately 49.4% of the very warm type and 95.95% of the likely thawing type has degraded to seasonally frozen ground (Table 4). The total degraded area is approximately $153.76 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, which accounts for 87.98% of the area occupied by the permafrost region in the 1960s (Figure 4j). Permafrost thermal condition did not change over a $21 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ area (12.02%) located primarily in the central part of the plateau, which contains extremely high mountains, and it is dominated by the very cold type.

Notably, the thermal condition of a permafrost area of approximately $1.63 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ has likely improved. This area is located primarily east of Lhasa in the southeastern part of QTP where there are numerous marine glaciers and substantial snow cover
The improved permafrost thermal condition in this area may relate to large uncertainties as the MAAT trend is estimated using regression parameters that are appropriate for low-elevation areas. This relates to the lack of long-term MAAT measurements in the high mountain regions where glaciers and snow are prevalent. The effects of snow or glacier cover on permafrost may be more important than those of MAAT due to the former has greater latent heat than atmosphere. Recent study also shows that no evidence of widespread decline of snow cover in QTP (Wang et al., 2017). Although records of long-term snow cover and glacier changes in the past 50 years are not available in this study, the sensitivity of glacier and snow cover in a warming climate is dependent on the climate zone. Low snow–climate sensitivities have been found in continental interior climates with relatively cold and dry winters (Brown and Mote, 2009). Larger glaciers have lower climate sensitivities (Ding and Haeberli, 1996; Ye et al., 2001).

3.2.3 Relation of variation of thermal degradation to elevation

The elevation statistics of the permafrost type distribution over the QTP in the past five decades indicate that the mean elevation occupied by each permafrost type in the QTP has likely increased continuously (Table 5). For the very cold type, the mean elevation of the distribution decreased from 5240 m to 5161 m from the 1960s to the 1970s and then rose continuously at a rate of approximately 56.4 m per decade. The reduction in elevation during 1960s to 1970s for very cold permafrost is mainly due to the degradation of the very cold permafrost type in the Kailas Mountains. The degradation leads to a decrease in the proportion of very cold permafrost type in high elevation area and a decrease in mean elevation for very cold permafrost type in 1970s relative to the 1960s. This fluctuation of the mean elevation for very cold permafrost during the 1960s to 1980s reduced its statistical significance (low R² in Table 5) for the increasing rate of mean elevation over the past 50 years. Overall, in the past 50 years, the mean rate of increase of the very cold type has been approximately 24.7 m per decade. Moreover, the mean elevation of the cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing types have risen at a rate of 23.6 m, 36.3 m, 43 m, 36.5 m, and 56.2 m, respectively. Overall, the mean elevation of the very cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing types increased by 88 m, 97 m, 155 m, 185 m, 161 m, and 250 m, respectively, over the past 50 years. As in the last section, the degradation mainly occurred from the 1960s to the 1970s and from the 1990s to the 2000s.

4 Discussion

4.1 Cross validation and uncertainty analysis

We validate the permafrost extent only in the 2000s because long-term records of permafrost thermal condition and extent are not available in earlier periods, as mentioned in section 2.4.5. Comparison of the estimated permafrost extent in the
2000s with the permafrost map provided by Zou et al. (2016) shows that the difference is small. Within permafrost areas, the likely thawing permafrost type mainly refers to cave ice and frozen gravel, which are distributed below the lower limit of permafrost (Cheng, 1984). This kind of permafrost is usually not counted in the total area of permafrost. Therefore, the permafrost area in the 2000s is approximately \(107.19 \times 10^4\) km\(^2\) if glaciers and lakes are neglected. This result is similar to that of Zou et al., (2016), who showed that the permafrost area in the 2000s was approximately \(106.47 \times 10^4\) km\(^2\). The permafrost distribution is also very similar (consistency is 92%; kappa coefficient is 0.82) to Zou et al. (2016) (Figure 5b). At the site scale, 89% of the 142 locations are consistent with the borehole survey, whereas this proportion is only 74%, 28%, and 86% for the maps of Li and Cheng, (1996), Nan et al. (2002), and Zou et al. (2016), respectively. These proportions indicate that the accuracy of the permafrost extent identified in this study is at least comparable with that of Zou et al. (2016).

The uncertainty of the results may result primarily from the MAAT model, insufficient resolution, inaccuracies in the surface station data, or the sparseness of these stations, which are especially so in high mountain areas. Beyond these uncertainties, the response time and the depth to which permafrost is affected by climate warming depend on the extents, durations, amplitudes, and rates of climate warming and are closely related to soil types, surface coverage, ice content, groundwater occurrence, geothermal anomalies, and human activities (Stieglitz et al., 2003; Zhang, 2005; Lawrence et al., 2008; Cheng and Jin, 2013; Westermann et al., 2016). For example, the low heat conductivity of soil leads to lags between increases in surface temperatures and the subsequent increases in permafrost temperature or reductions in permafrost thickness (Li et al., 1996). The delay time is longer for permafrost thickness than temperature and varies with the thermal condition (Li et al., 1996; Wu et al., 2010a). For the cold permafrost, the thermal degradation may be delayed by “thermal offset” and “seasonal offset” effects in the permafrost table due to the negative heat budget; i.e., the amount of heat released from the active layer during the winter is greater than the amount of heat absorbed in summer (Smith and Riseborough, 2002; Wu et al., 2010a). For the warm permafrost, a positive heat budget appears in the upper soil layer that leads to a greater degradation rate than that seen in cold permafrost, since the thickness of the warm type is smaller than that of the cold type (Li et al., 1996; Wu et al., 2010a). However, the complex physical mechanisms of the interactions between climate change and permafrost are currently poorly understood (Jin et al., 2011), and a large degree of uncertainty may exist in previous evaluations as well as the permafrost area change over the past 50 years in this study. To summarize, despite current warming, large permafrost areas may persist due to the effective thermal inertia of permafrost (Cheng et al., 2012). A final consideration is that the geothermal heat flux leads to thawing of the base of the permafrost (Jin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2010a). However, the MAAT model cannot reflect the change of geothermal flux from the crustal interior. Additionally, the geothermal flux data are generally limited or unavailable. The missing geothermal heat flux may lead to a delay in permafrost degradation, especially for the cold permafrost, because the geothermal flux is independent of air temperature. Third, although the resolution of the simulation has been significantly improved to 1 km, it is still coarse relative to the degradation rate of mountain permafrost. The degradation of mountain permafrost is presented in terms of the increase in the elevation of the lower limit of the permafrost, which is generally \(\sim 100\) m. A 1 km change in the horizontal extent change may correspond to a change in elevation of
hundreds of metres. Last, the lack of long-term MAAT measurements in the glacier- and snow-dominated high mountain regions may lead to errors in the estimated MAATs.

Overall, the “accelerated degradation” effect of the MAAT model may be partly counteracted by the “delayed degradation” effect of the missing geothermal heat flux component thermal inertia of permafrost. However, long-term observation shows that the mean increasing rate of ground temperature at 10-20 m depth in the QTP is approximately 0.024 °C (Zhao et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2010b; Jin et al., 2011), which is comparable with the warming rate of air temperature. This shows that the evaluation results of permafrost thermal degradation using the MAAT model is generally accepted at the overall QTP scale.

4.2 The implications of the degradation of thermal condition

The degradation of permafrost thermal condition in the QTP has important impacts on the safety of infrastructure in permafrost regions, water quality, ecosystem health, and the feedbacks on regional and global climates. First, as the permafrost thermal degrades, the risk of deterioration and damage to engineered structures in permafrost zones will likely increase. This indicates that the measures used to prevent permafrost degradation may need to be enhanced for new structures. For example, permafrost accounted for 90.1% of a 10-km-long segment of the QTR from Golmud to Lhasa in the 1960s, and these permafrost areas were dominated by the cool type; however, after 50 years (i.e., in the 2000s), these permafrost areas accounted for only 67.77% and were dominated by the very warm type. For the very warm permafrost, an enhanced measure to prevent permafrost degradation, i.e., the proactive roadbed cooling approach, has been successfully applied in constructing the QTR (Cheng, 2004; 2005; Cheng et al., 2008). Second, the degradation of permafrost in the QTP may affect the hydrologic cycle in the Third Pole region, which includes the QTP and the surrounding arid regions. Permafrost controls the distribution, recharge, flow paths, discharge, dynamics, and hydrochemistry of groundwater (Cheng and Jin, 2013). The degradation of permafrost affects the interactions among the surface water, subsoil water, and groundwater by changing the hydraulic conductivity and hydraulic connectivity of the soil. The degradation of the ice-rich permafrost itself makes important contributions to surface runoff and the development of thermokarst lakes in the inner Tibetan Plateau (Zhang et al., 2013). The enhanced drainage may lead to increases in flood risk (Larsen et al., 2008) and reductions in ecosystem resilience via seasonal shifts in stream flow and groundwater abundance, because the decrease in permafrost water storage capacity in the QTP will lead to a reduction in dry-season water availability. All of these changes will affect the well-being of millions of people and sustainable development at the Third Pole, which contains the headwater areas of several of the major rivers in Southeast Asia, such as the Yellow, Yangtze, Mekong, Yarlung Zangbo and Shiquan Rivers. The Third Pole also includes many inland rivers, such as the Shiyang, Heihe, Shule, and Tarim Rivers, in northwestern China. Last, the permafrost region in the QTP contains approximately 160 Pg of organic carbon (Mu et al., 2015), many thermokarst lakes, and wetlands (Niu et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2015). Thawing of the permafrost may lead to the drainage or growth of thermokarst lakes (Smith et al., 2005), which may affect greenhouse gas emissions and feedbacks to climate change (Tarnocai et al., 2009; Schuur et al. 2009; Schaefer et al., 2011; McCalley et al., 2014). Additionally, changes in thermokarst lakes may both accelerate and delay permafrost thawing (Westermann et al., 2016; You et al., 2017).
5 Conclusions

This study evaluates likely permafrost thermal degradation over the QTP from the 1960s to the 2000s based on the improved decadal means of the mean annual air temperatures (MAATs) data over the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) in the past 50 years obtained by integrating remote sensing-based mean annual land surface temperatures (MASTs), leaf area index (LAI), and fractional snow cover values, as well as decadal mean MAATs measured at 152 weather stations using a geographically weighted regression (GWR) model. Cross validation shows that the accuracy of the estimated permafrost extent is greater than that of previous maps.

The decadal mean MAATs reflect a continuous rise at a rate of approximately 0.04 °C a⁻¹ during the past half century. The warming rate increases with increasing elevation from approximately 0.33 °C per decade at 3600 m to 0.49 °C per decade at 5200 m and then decreases as elevation increases further. Climate warming has led to the thermal degradation of permafrost in the past half century. The area occupied by the cold permafrost types has continuously decreased, and the area occupied by the very warm types has continuously increased. The total degraded area is approximately 153.76×10⁴ km², which accounts for 87.98% of the permafrost area in the 1960s. The thermal condition for all permafrost types have degraded to lower levels. The extent of the very cold, cold, and cool types retreated from the south to the north, whereas the extent of the warm, very warm, and likely thawing types extended northward. The mean elevations of the very cold, cold, cool, warm, very warm, and likely thawing types increased by 88 m, 97 m, 155 m, 185 m, 161 m and 250 m, respectively. The degradation mainly occurred during the 1960s to the 1970s and the 1990s to the 2000s. The thermal degradation of permafrost in the QTP has important impacts on the safety of infrastructure, flood risks, ecosystem resilience, and climate feedbacks, as well as the well-being of millions of people and sustainable development at the Third Pole.

The uncertainties inherent in this analysis cannot be discounted. These uncertainties are due to asynchronous changes in near-surface air temperatures and deep soil layer temperatures, the missing geothermal flux, insufficient resolution, or the inaccuracies and sparseness of the surface station data employed. In order to reduce the uncertainties, a deep layers soil map, surficial geology, and ground ice map are required. All of this will benefit from the accumulation of field data in the future, especially from boreholes.
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Table 1. Classification system used to assess permafrost thermal degradation (Modified according to Cheng, 1984)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mean annual air temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean annual ground temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very cold</td>
<td>&lt;-8.5</td>
<td>&lt;-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>-6.5~-8.5</td>
<td>-3.0~-5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cool</td>
<td>-5.0~-6.5</td>
<td>-1.5~-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>-4.0~-5.0</td>
<td>-0.5~-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very warm</td>
<td>-2.0~-4.0</td>
<td>+0.5~-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely thawing</td>
<td>-1.0~-2.0</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The statistics of the stepwise linear regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAST</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MAST, LAI</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MAST, LAI, FSC</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MAST, LAI, FSC, Elevation</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MAST, LAI, FSC, Elevation, Longitude</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MAST, LAI, FSC, Elevation, Longitude, Latitude</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. The area statistics of the permafrost types over the QTP in the past 50 years (×10⁴ km²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permafrost type</th>
<th>1960s</th>
<th>1970s</th>
<th>1980s</th>
<th>1990s</th>
<th>2000s</th>
<th>Net change (1960s to 2000s)</th>
<th>2000s excluding glaciers</th>
<th>Change rate (×10⁴ km² decade⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Percent (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Percent (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very cold</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>-8.99</td>
<td>-72.79</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>38.59</td>
<td>28.30</td>
<td>27.64</td>
<td>20.91</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>-27.06</td>
<td>-70.12</td>
<td>10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cool</td>
<td>34.14</td>
<td>34.75</td>
<td>34.09</td>
<td>31.94</td>
<td>24.84</td>
<td>-9.30</td>
<td>-27.24</td>
<td>24.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>23.95</td>
<td>23.59</td>
<td>23.39</td>
<td>23.55</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>-4.77</td>
<td>23.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very warm</td>
<td>44.22</td>
<td>43.89</td>
<td>43.70</td>
<td>46.51</td>
<td>48.21</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>47.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely thawing</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>20.54</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area</td>
<td>174.76</td>
<td>160.50</td>
<td>158.32</td>
<td>148.57</td>
<td>133.10</td>
<td>-41.66</td>
<td>-23.84</td>
<td>128.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Transfer matrix of permafrost types from the 1960s to the 2000s in the QTP (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2000s</th>
<th>Very cold</th>
<th>Cold</th>
<th>Cool</th>
<th>Warm</th>
<th>Very warm</th>
<th>Likely thawing</th>
<th>Seasonally frozen ground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very cold</td>
<td>24.75</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>59.42</td>
<td>9.67</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cool</td>
<td>15.82</td>
<td>50.93</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>35.91</td>
<td>23.18</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very warm</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>67.07</td>
<td>66.82</td>
<td>17.19</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely thawing</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>25.49</td>
<td>32.80</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonally frozen ground</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>49.40</td>
<td>95.95</td>
<td>99.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class changes</td>
<td>75.25</td>
<td>90.34</td>
<td>91.63</td>
<td>93.84</td>
<td>82.81</td>
<td>96.61</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5. The mean elevation change of the permafrost types over the QTP in the past 50 years (unit: metre)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permafrost type</th>
<th>1960s</th>
<th>1970s</th>
<th>1980s</th>
<th>1990s</th>
<th>2000s</th>
<th>Rate (m decade⁻¹)</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very cold</td>
<td>5240</td>
<td>5161</td>
<td>5169</td>
<td>5232</td>
<td>5328</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>5050</td>
<td>5052</td>
<td>5055</td>
<td>5094</td>
<td>5147</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cool</td>
<td>4881</td>
<td>4932</td>
<td>4937</td>
<td>4985</td>
<td>5036</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>4756</td>
<td>4799</td>
<td>4804</td>
<td>4859</td>
<td>4941</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very warm</td>
<td>4614</td>
<td>4670</td>
<td>4675</td>
<td>4713</td>
<td>4775</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely thawing</td>
<td>4392</td>
<td>4503</td>
<td>4513</td>
<td>4565</td>
<td>4642</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. The distribution of in situ MAAT observation stations and MAGT boreholes over the QTP.
Figure 2. Spatial variability of MAAT warming rates over the QTP in the past 50 years.
Figure 3. Warming rates with increasing elevation. These rates are derived from MAATs estimated by integrating remote sensing-based mean annual land surface temperatures (MASTs), leaf area index (LAI) and fractional snow cover values, and decadal mean MAAT date from 152 weather stations with a geographically weighted regression. Error bars display the standard deviations.
Figure 4. The permafrost map in each decade (a-e) and its spatial changes from the 1960s to the 2000s (f-j) over the QTP during the past 50 years.
Figure 5. Comparison of the permafrost extent between the results of this study (a) and the permafrost map presented by Zou et al., (2016) (b).