Reviewer 1 (anonymous):

The manuscript presents new, recently exposed bathymetry data from the Pine Island Bay that show a former ice shelf pinning point and links this pinning point to changes in calving, riftting and movement of the Pine Island Ice Shelf over the last 10-20 years. This is an important and timely paper because it demonstrates the importance of such shallow pinning points for an ice shelf and is contributing to the ongoing debate of ice shelf stability. The paper is well written and structured, and I have only some minor comments:

When discussing the implication of the ridge to ocean circulation and the history of unpinning from the ridge it would be good to also discuss, and maybe compare, the effect of the major ridge to the SE discovered by Jenkins et al. 2010 (Nature Geoscience) and related unpinning there described by Smith et al. (2016; doi:10.1038/nature20136).

We added a sentence on the 1970s pinning point loss from this ridge and how this affected PIGs calving behavior in our discussion.

There is no discussion or analysis regarding the the impact of the unpinning on ice flow velocity of the ice shelf. This might be beyond the scope of this paper, but it might be interesting to see if there was a changes in ice flow velocities before and after the unpinning.

The focus of this paper is on the PIG calving dynamics related to the newly mapped bathymetric ridge and highs and are in agreement with the reviewer that a full investigation of ice-flow velocity changes would be out of the scope. Nevertheless, we have discussed in the manuscript that we expect further acceleration due to pinning point loss and calving line retreat.

Detailed comments:

page 2- line 15: rifts calving in 2015 occurred parallel to ‘R1’ and ‘R2’. Figure 1 only shows ‘R2’. Did the calving happen along rift ‘R1’, in which case the current ice front more or less represents ‘R1’? If yes, the text could state this. If not and ‘R1’ has not calved off yet, this should be mentioned as well and ‘R1’ should also be labeled in Fig 1.

We revised the manuscript text, as well as Fig. 1 and the caption for clarification.

page 3-line 12-16: Ice thickness data. An alternative or addition to using published ice thickness data could be to use Operation Icebridge data (https://nsidc.org/data/icebridge/). There seems to be ice thickness data for Pine Island Glacier and the ice shelf available from the ice bridge flights and they could help reducing the uncertainty mentioned in the text. The flights 2009 – 2016, although I am not sure, if for every year.

We have also checked these data if they were suitable for our manuscript. Operation IceBridge data were not available for the location of the former pinning point. We could not use these data with an offset in our study as the PIG ice shelf thickness varies a lot
within short distances (see e.g. Dutrieux, 2014). We added this information to the Methods.

page 4 - line 117: the text states that there is “no indication of ice contact”. However, the fact that the iceberg in 2015 did not move away with the initial break off and was then turning around the pinning point suggest that the ice still had some contact with the shallowest part of the ridge. This is described later in the text on page 5-line 25 and discussed on page 6 and 7. I suggest adding this here as well, e.g. along the line of “However, movement of a ground iceberg after the rift suggest light or partial grounding on the shallowest part of the ridge”.

We have added a sentence on this to the manuscript.

p6 - line 2/3 switch from ‘straight’ to ‘curved’ calving lines. It might be worth noting or stating more clearly that the most recent calving lines (2015, 2017) are straight again, but with a different orientation. The curved calving lines seem to be more a transitional stage as the calving front are reoriented.

We have now emphasized this in the revision.

p8-18: change “mat” to “m at”

Changed.

Figure 1 - The text mentions rift R1, R2, and R3, but only R2 is shown. I assume that R1 has already broken off and that R3 might not have formed yet (It’s hardly visible in Fig 5). Still, it would be useful to mark the approximate position of R3 on the map for orientation, e.g. with an arrow. It could say: R3 position is approximate since R3 isn’t really visible in the image yet. Some lighter/brighter colors for some of the ice front positions (e.g. the blue one) might make them more visible on the map.

We added the information that the calving lines 2015 and 2017 were produced by R1 and R2, respectively. Furthermore, we rephrased and added information to the figure caption. We also brightened up the blue colour of the 1947 calving line as suggested. We have not added an approximate location for R3 because this is not visible in this satellite image and most likely would confuse other readers.

Figure 2: I suggest adding the contour lines of the shoals to the legend.

Added.

Figure 3 Are the colors for the contours the same as in Fig 2 and 4?. The caption only states 400 m (red) and 450 m (blue), whereas the other figures have dark red, light red and blue. It would be good to have the same colors for all figures.

The 350 m contour line was missing, we changed this.

Figure 4: I suggest pointing out the curved calving line that is referred to in the text with an arrow and a label in fig 4b

We already mention the presence of the curved calving line in the caption of 4a and b. As there is only one calving line in each figure, we refrain in adding an additional label to retain a clear figure.
Reviewer 2 (Lauren Simkins):

Review summary This paper provides new bathymetry and satellite data for an area recently exposed due to PIG ice shelf calving line retreat, concluding that a seafloor ridge has been important for providing back stress in the ice shelf and iceberg calving. I find the paper well-written and only have minor comments, with the exception of their interpretation of lineations on the top of the ridge being formed recently by the ice shelf (see below).

Detailed comments:

Page 1, Line 20 – change to ‘current global mean sea-level rise’

*Changed.*

Page 2, Line 6 – mention how significant the buttressing effect of the PIG ice shelf to the upstream glacier (e.g. Fürst et al., 2016).

*We reworded this sentence to make clear that ice shelf buttressing is important for maintaining the upstream glacier stability and added a sentence with information from Fürst et al. showing that 87.9% of the PIG ice shelf is providing backstress to the upstream glacier.*

*Changed.*

Page 2, Line 24 – misspelled ‘features’

*Changed.*

Page 5, Lines 18-20 – I am not convinced the lineations were formed by an ice shelf that likely had intermittent contact with bathymetric high, as one might expect slight differences in flow direction. The second explanation of the features forming over multiple glacial cycles is favored, especially considering the ridge is most likely composed of resistant bedrock. A resistant bed is also supported by the lack of iceberg furrows on the high, which would be expected in un lithified sedimentary beds.

*The slight differences in orientation mentioned by Lauren Simkins most likely would not be detectable in this location due to the short length and the small number of the lineaments on the ridge. The subtle lineaments are only visible on the NW part of the ridge crest probably indicating a formation process similar to drumlins with sediments accumulated in the ice flow lee of bedrock bumps and not directly in bedrock. Hence, we agree that formation of such lineaments into bedrock would require multiple glacial cycles and we do not know which of these two processes formed the lineaments. We inserted a ‘probably’ to our discussion on their formation by the pinned ice shelf to balance out the probability of both discussed formation processes in the manuscript.*

Fig. 1 – Consider adding another panel with a cross-section profile of the bed with annotated grounding line and calving front migration. Of course, there are lateral changes in bathymetry and ice position; however, a profile would show the landward dipping bed and the bathymetric highs nicely. This would also allow you to remove some of the water depths in the introduction section, which currently reads a bit like a list when discussing observed position changes.

Fig. 2 – Add cross sections of the ridge and across the streamline landforms.
We added a figure with several cross-sections to the supplementary (Figure S3). We think these data are not essential for our manuscript as they only show (1) new data that are shown already in Fig. 1 and 2 in a different manner and (2) data from other published work that are already referenced in the manuscript and which are important for the relevance of our manuscript but not for our results and discussion.

Editor Comments:

[...] I would encourage the authors to submit a revised manuscript, ideally also considering my comments in the initial editorial review.

Initial Editor Comments:

Originality (Novelty): 2/3

This appears to be a novel study that presents new bathymetric data from in front of Pine Island Glacier, alongside remote sensing data of the ice front position. That said, this is one of the most-studied glaciers in the world and it would have been good to see a clearer articulation of how this work builds on and extends previous work. The second paragraph of the Introduction reviews some key papers on this topic and the third paragraph simply states that an opportunity was presented to survey the bathymetry. I would have liked to see a more explicit statement about the aims and objectives of the research and/or the outstanding research question it aims to address that previous work was unable to address. This might be obvious to the authors but it is not explicit from the Introduction.

Scientific Quality (Rigour): 2/3

As noted above, the purpose of the work could be more clearly articulated, especially as so much work has already been undertaken on this glacier. That said, the methods and techniques would appear to be appropriate and the results are clear and supported by some good figures. The interpretations and conclusions seem valid, but it is not always clear how this builds on and extends previous work.

We inserted some sentences to the last paragraph of the introduction on the limitations that previous studies were facing making a clearer statement why this study offers a unique opportunity to investigate the calving behavior of Pine Island Glacier.

[...]
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Abstract. Pine Island Glacier is the largest current Antarctic contributor to sea level rise. Its ice loss has substantially increased over the last 25 years through thinning, acceleration and grounding line retreat. However, the calving line positions of the stabilizing ice shelf did not show any trend within the observational record (last 70 years) until calving in 2015 led to unprecedented retreat and changed alignment of the calving front. Bathymetric surveying revealed a ridge below the former ice shelf and two shallower highs to the north. Satellite imagery shows that ice contact on the ridge likely was lost in 2006 but was followed by intermittent contact resulting in back stress fluctuations on the ice shelf. Continuing ice shelf flow also led to occasional ice shelf contact with the northern bathymetric highs, which initiated rift formation that led to calving. The observations show that bathymetry is an important factor in initiating calving events.

1 Introduction

Ice loss from Pine Island Glacier (PIG) is currently the largest contribution to sea-level rise from any single Antarctic glacial outlet (Shepherd et al., 2012). Ice losses from PIG and neighbouring outlets in the eastern Amundsen Sea Embayment, which collectively drain about a third of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, now account for about 5-10% of current global mean sea-level rise (Shepherd et al., 2012;Rignot et al., 2008;Lee et al., 2012). Remote sensing data show that ice loss in this sector has increased greatly over the past 25 years through ice thinning and flow acceleration that is triggered by thinning of the floating ice shelves at rates between 3.9 and 5.3 m yr⁻¹ (Rignot, 2008;Rignot et al., 2008;Rignot et al., 2014;Pritchard et al., 2009;Wingham et al., 2009). Integration of remote sensing data with oceanographic observations shows that this thinning results from enhanced basal melting driven by incursion of relatively warm Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) onto the continental shelf (Jacobs et al., 1996;Jacobs et al., 2011;Pritchard et al., 2012;Nakayama et al., 2013;Dutrieux et al., 2014a).

Precise dating of changes in sediment cores recovered beneath PIG ice shelf indicates that the present phase of thinning and retreat was initiated in the 1940s (Smith et al., 2017), which is consistent with a hypothesis that CDW incursion increased at this time driven by wind stress changes associated with a large El Niño event between 1939–42 (Steig et al., 2012). In the 1990s the grounding line of PIG was located on a ridge in the bed which rises to 700–800 m below sea level along its crest
Between 1992 and 2011 the grounding line retreated 31 km at the centre of PIG, migrating to a position on the bed 400 m deeper than in 1992 (Rignot et al., 2014) where retreat has since stagnated (Konrad et al., 2018). Upstream of this grounding line position the bed steadily increases in depth for more than 200 km (Fig. S3, Fretwell et al., 2013). Glaciological theory and ice sheet models imply that grounding lines are unstable on such upstream-deepening beds (Favier et al., 2014; Katz and Worster, 2010; Weertman, 1974; Schoof, 2007), raising the prospect of runaway retreat along the trunk of PIG. Ice shelves downstream of the grounding line have a buttressing effect on the grounded portion of such marine based ice streams and, therefore, the ice shelf at the downstream end of PIG is an important factor in maintaining the upstream glacier stability (Schoof, 2007; Fürst et al., 2016; Gudmundsson, 2013). Fürst et al. (2016) showed that 87.9 % of the ice shelf at the downstream end of PIG provide back stress to the upstream glacier, highlighting that even small changes in calving behaviour may affect the upstream glacier here.

Despite the thinning and flow acceleration of PIG, and sustained, rapid thinning of the ice shelf over at least the past 25 years the position of the ice front had not shown any clear trend over 68 years of observations prior to 2015 (Bindschadler, 2002; MacGregor et al., 2012; Rignot, 2002; Fig. 1). In 2011 a rift developed that two years later, in November 2013, resulted in calving of the 720 km² iceberg B-31 (Bigg et al., 2014). This calving front remained in an orientation similar to that of calving fronts through previous decades, which were consistently aligned 10–30° east of north (Rignot, 2002; MacGregor et al., 2012). Less than two years later, in August 2015, another major calving event (580 sq-km area) resulted in retreat of the northern part of the ice front to a position a further ~15 km upstream of where it had been after the 2013 calving, representing the most retreated ice front position observed up until that time (Jeong et al., 2016). The August 2015 event occurred along rift ‘R1’ which had first been identified together with another, parallel rift, ‘R2’, in 2013-14 (Jeong et al., 2016) and also resulted in a realignment of the calving front to 55° east of north, parallel to the trend of new rifts in the ice shelf, ‘R1’ and ‘R2’, that were first observed in 2013-14 (Jeong et al., 2016). This new retreated and realigned calving line location has been recently reconfirmed by a calving event in September 2017 (280 km² sq-km area) that resulted in a calving front location nearly identical to the one in 2015 (Fig. 1).

The recent retreat of the calving front presented an opportunity during research cruise PS104 on RV Polarstern in February 2017 to survey the bathymetry of a ~370 km² sq-km area that had previously been covered by ice shelf throughout the period for which observational records exist. This area included a bathymetric high on which the northern part of the PIG ice shelf was pinned in the past, as previously recognized (Bindschadler, 2002; Rignot, 2002). Although the existence of the pinning point was recognized, details of the bathymetry beneath the ice shelf remained poorly known, hampering investigation of the relations between ice shelf cavity bathymetry and calving. This is a more widespread problem as until recently the only reliable way of determining bathymetry beneath ice shelves was by seismic sounding, which requires considerable logistical investment even to obtain soundings at a spacing of several kilometres (e.g. Brisbourne et al., 2014). Two expeditions over the past decade have collected bathymetry data beneath Pine Island Glacier from autonomous underwater vehicles, but the data are limited to the vicinity of widely-spaced survey lines and only cover a small fraction of the cavity area (Jenkins et al., 2010; Davies et al., 2017). Here we present continuous multibeam bathymetry data from this newly exposed area at the front of PIG together with
Satellite Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) and optical imagery and use this unique combination of highly resolved data to investigate the role of this pinning point and other bathymetric features in the calving development of the PIG ice shelf.

2 Methods

2.1 Swath Bathymetry

Swath bathymetric data of RV Polarstern research cruise PS104 have been acquired with the hull-mounted Teledyne Hydrosweep DS3 system. This system uses a transmitting frequency of 15.5 kHz and has 0.2 % of the water depth vertical measurement accuracy in the centre beam and up to 0.5 % of the water depth for the outer beams. The data have been processed, sound-velocity corrected and edited for outliers with the CARIS HIPS and SIPS software. The sound velocity profile used was obtained from a conductivity-temperature-depth cast carried out nearby shortly before the survey. Data gridding was performed at 25 m resolution with a weighted moving average gridding algorithm in the software QPS Fledermaus.

2.2 Remote Sensing

Satellite data acquired with different Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) sensors on board of the European Remote Sensing Satellite 2 (ERS-2), RADARSAT-1, the Advanced Land Observing Satellite 1 (ALOS-1), Envisat, TerraSAR-X, TanDEM-X, and Sentinel-1A/B as well as data of the optical Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) were used in order to derive a time series of images from 2002 to 2017 over the region near the bathymetric ridge (Table 1). For the SAR data, intensity images were extracted from data acquired in Single Look Complex (SLC) format. In order to obtain roughly square pixels on the ground and to reduce speckle noise, multi-looking was performed by applying sensor dependent multi-look factors in range and azimuth direction (Table 1). The resulting Multi-Look Intensity (MLI) images were radiometrically calibrated, geocoded and orthorectified. For orthorectification the Bedmap2 Digital Elevation Model (Fretwell et al., 2013) resampled to a 100 m spatial resolution served as a source of topographic information.

2.3 Ice Thickness Data

We considered trying to estimate the time of ice shelf contact loss with the bathymetric high by comparing the bathymetry data to ice shelf thickness measurements. However, thickness estimates from radar surveys (Vaughan et al., 2012) and those calculated from the ice shelf freeboard measured by satellite altimetry (Chuter and Bamber, 2015; Griggs and Bamber, 2011) show differences up to ~100 m. These differences probably result largely from uncertainties in the appropriate firn depth corrections and flexural effects that cause some areas of the ice shelf to deviate from hydrostatic equilibrium, but regardless of their cause they show that ice shelf thickness estimates are too uncertain to determine the timing of ice contact loss. Furthermore, we checked the Operation IceBridge data base for ice thickness data at the location of the former pinning point.
but all available flight tracks were off for some kilometres. Hence, we have not used this data in our study as ice thicknesses of the PIG ice shelf are highly variable within short distances (Dutrieux et al., 2014b).

3 Results

3.1 Bathymetry

The high-resolution bathymetry of the previously ice-shelf covered area reveals an ENE to WSW trending ridge that extends 10 km from underneath the Northern Ice Shelf into Pine Island Bay (Fig. 2 and S3). While water depths in the bay are up to 1080 m in its centre, the shallowest point on the ridge is at a water depth of only 375 m. The deepest section of the crest of the ridge is at 440 m water depth. On its edges, the ridge drops abruptly within a few hundred meters down to more than 700-800 m water depth. Sets of streamlined seafloor landforms are visible in Pine Island Bay south of the ridge, north of the ridge and locally on the ridge itself. The orientations of these streamlined landforms are different in each of the locations. South of the ridge, their orientation is from ESE to WNW throughout the surveyed area. North of the ridge, their orientation is ENE to SWS changing to ESE to WNW farther to the west. The streamlined features on the ridge itself are oriented SE to NW, resembling the direction of modern-day satellite observed ice-shelf movement (Jeong et al., 2016; Rignot et al., 2011). Two other bathymetric highs are located 15 km north and 20 km northwest of the bathymetric ridge (Fig. 2a). The western bathymetric high A reaches depths shallower than 350 m. The eastern bathymetric high B has a lowest measured depth of less than 250 m and likely continues to the north where a grounded portion of the Northern Ice shelf is present.

3.2 Ice Shelf Contact to Bathymetric Ridge

Analysis of the satellite data shows that an ice rumple was present at the location of the shallowest part of the bathymetric ridge in the past (Fig. 3, see also Supplementary Movie S1). These rumples were previously observed in Landsat images between January 1973 and January 2001 by Bindschadler (2002) who also described rifts oriented perpendicular to ice-shelf flow forming immediately downstream of the rumple. Three of these ~3 km long rifts are still observed about 5 km downstream in an image collected in March 2002 (Fig. 3a), but since then no intense rifting is observed and only more subtle rifts are detected downstream of the rumple. However, in images collected over the following three years, the ice rumple continues to be observed above the bathymetric ridge and irregularities in the ice surface originating there are carried downstream, indicating continued ice-shelf contact. The ice rumple is observed for the last time in March 2005 (Fig. 3b). A rift oriented in NNW-SSE direction above the bathymetric ridge is visible in January 2006 (Fig. 3c). Similar rifting is not visible on satellite images of younger age. Therefore, this indicates that the ice shelf thinned sufficiently so that either ice contact became too light to produce imprints on the ice surface or that the ice shelf did not ground at all any more.

In the timespan since reduced ice contact is documented, ice rifting increasingly took place further to the east near Evans Knoll with rifts pre-dominantly being oriented in N-S direction. In the last years before the 2015 calving event, the rifted northern margin flowed across the bathymetric ridge with no indication of ice contact at the ice surface (Fig. 3d). However, an iceberg
grounded on top of the bathymetric ridge after the calving event indicates that at least weak or intermittent ice contact was active before the calving event. To the north, an ice mélange developed between PIG ice shelf and the Northern Ice Shelf, along the downstream projection of PIG’s lateral shear zone, and remained present until it disintegrated in 2014 and early 2015 immediately prior to the 2015 calving event (Jeong et al., 2016, see also Supplementary Movie S2).

3.3 Calving Events

Since the last evident ice contact between ice shelf and bathymetric ridge was visible on the ice surface in 2006, four major calving events took place at PIG (Fig. 4 and 5). In 2007, the calving front was located approximately 8 km west of the pinning point and produced a curved calving line (Fig. 4a). At the time of rift initiation, the northern front of the PIG ice shelf moved above bathymetric high A. Four years later, in 2011, a similar rift with curved shape appeared further to the east, this time only 2 km west of the pinning point (Fig. 4b). At this time, very dense ice mélange consisting of thick fragments of the rifted PIG margin and of the Northern Ice Shelf was present northwest of the rift between bathymetric high B and PIG. The rift further opened from north to south and led to calving of iceberg B-31 in 2013. In the same year, an ice mélange developed north of the bathymetric ridge between PIG ice shelf and the Northern Ice Shelf, along the downstream projection of PIG’s lateral shear zone, and remained present until it disintegrated in 2014 and early 2015 immediately prior to the 2015 calving event (Jeong et al., 2016, see also Supplementary Movie S2). In 2015, the calving occurred along one of the pair of rifts (‘R1’) that had appeared in the ice shelf 1-2 years earlier (Jeong et al., 2016), and produced a calving front located east of the former pinning point that was linear and aligned 55° east of north (Fig. 4c). The calved iceberg rapidly broke apart at least within a month. Icebergs originating from the southern part of the ice shelf immediately drifted westward out of the region. The northern iceberg (~115 km²), however, remained above the bathymetric ridge for a year slowly rotating 90° in clockwise direction around a point on the high during this time (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Movie S2). Two years later, in September 2017, calving occurred along rift ‘R2’ (Fig. 5). The new calving front varied by less than 1 km from the calving line position of the 2015 event. Immediately before the calving, the ice shelf front was still >4 km southeast of the bathymetric ridge. The calved iceberg broke apart into >15 parts (each ≤50 km²) within only 2 months. A new rift ‘R3’ located approximately 4 km upstream of the calving line with similar orientation as ‘R1’ and ‘R2’ became visible the first time in a satellite image taken on the 13th of October 2017 (inset of Fig.5).

4 Discussion

The streamlined morphology visible in the new bathymetry data resembles drumlins and glacial lineations as discovered in other formerly glaciated areas. These features were produced by past ice movement with their orientation indicating the direction of ice-flow at the time when they were produced (Graham et al., 2009). The drumlins and lineations located in the deeper parts adjacent to the ridge show a converging flow of ice west of the ridge (Fig. 2a). In the southern part of the newly-surveyed area ice originated from PIG. In the northern part ice originated from a tributary located north of Evans Knoll. Dated
sediment cores located ~100 km downstream of the modern grounding line in inner Pine Island Bay suggests that the grounding line retreated from this position before at least 11.7 cal kyrs B.P. (Hillenbrand et al., 2013). Rapid thinning of PIG at around 8 cal kyrs B.P has been documented by investigation of cosmogenic exposure ages of rocks from the hinterland (Johnson et al., 2014). Hence, a grounded ice stream setting forming drumlins and lineations in the deep sections of the trough was likely last active before about 8 ka. The lineaments on the bathymetric ridge, however, were likely formed at a later stage when the ice sheet had further thinned and retreated as indicated by their slightly different orientation. This angle coincides with the modern-day ice flow direction of PIG ice shelf and implies that the lineaments were probably formed by the ice shelf while it remained grounded on the ridge until the ice shelf sufficiently thinned or retreated. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out that multiple glacial cycles were needed to form these lineaments as the ridge crest probably consists of resistant bedrock. Therefore, the lineaments alternatively may represent the average ice flow direction during these glacial cycles.

Pinning point loss probably resulted from rapid thinning of the ice shelf that has occurred over the past two decades, exceeding 5 m yr\(^{-1}\) in recent years (Pritchard et al., 2012; Rignot et al., 2013). The time series of satellite imagery suggests that the ice shelf lost contact with the shallowest part of the bathymetric ridge at some time in 2006, or at least contact was too weak since then to produce a surface expression (Fig. 3). At least weak or intermittent ice contact was also still present until the 2015 calving event when a calved iceberg remained stationary on top of the ridge for about a year (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Movie S2). These circumstances provide a relatively good timing constraint for the loss of ice shelf contact. Hence, the glacial lineations located on top of the bathymetric ridge were formed, or at least ice covered, until the last decade, making them some of the youngest submarine glacial landforms mapped in Antarctica. In addition, this detailed chronological information makes the bathymetric ridge a possible target to study benthic biological recolonization (Gutt et al., 2011) in the Pacific realm of West Antarctica.

Since 2006 four major calving events took place at PIG in 2007, 2013, 2015 (Fig. 4), and 2017 (Fig. 5). These events were different in comparison to calving events before. The 2007 and 2013 calving events for the first time occurred along curved calving lines, which had not been observed before (Fig. 1). The 2015 event resulted in the most retreated calving position observed and reorientation of the calving front to 55° east of north, which is 25° to 45° clockwise in comparison to previous calving fronts (Fig. 1). The calving front also reverted to being straight, and this reorientation and straight shape of the calving front was reconfirmed by the 2017 event. Pinning point loss results in reduced back stress to the ice shelf through reduction of basal drag (Favier et al., 2014) and the topography of an ice-shelf cavity is important for internal water exchange (De Rydt et al., 2014). Therefore, in the following we evaluate how the bathymetric ridge and the two highs A and B affected the development of the PIG ice shelf.

Less than a year after imprints of ice contact with the bathymetric ridge had been imaged in satellite data for the last time (Fig. 3), a calving event led to an exceptional calving front geometry in 2007 (Fig. 1 and 4a). The satellite images do not reveal a direct link between ice contact loss at the bathymetric ridge and this calving event. However, the coincidence in the timing of arrival of the northernmost part of the PIG ice shelf front at bathymetric high A and the propagation of the calving rift SSE from the high is evident (Supplementary Movie S1). The contact of the ice shelf front with bathymetric high A in the northwest
imparted back stress to the northernmost part of the ice shelf and, hence, rift propagation occurred between ice to the SE of the high that was subject to this back stress and ice to its south that was not restrained (Fig. 4a).

In the following years, ice shelf rifting increasingly took place further to the east (Fig. 3) where it ceases to be constrained by topography around Evans Knoll (Fig. 1), indicating that stresses were increasingly being released here rather than at the bathymetric ridge. The northern part of the large rift that formed in 2011 coincides with the N-S direction of the rifts forming near Evans Knoll (Fig. 3b and 4b). The rift propagated southwards and formed the 2013 calving front indicating that the stress release played a role for this calving event. In addition, before rift formation the very dense mélange of icebergs north of the bathymetric ridge became trapped between the PIG and the Northern Ice Shelf that partly rested on bathymetric high B. In a similar way to direct ice shelf contact with a bathymetric high observed in 2007, this configuration imposed back stress on the northern section of the PIG ice shelf and influenced the position of the 2011 rift. Hence, the bathymetric highs A and B played an important role in both calving events that resulted in a curved calving front by inducing sudden back stress to the PIG ice shelf, either directly via ice shelf contact to the ridge in 2007, or indirectly via trapping a dense ice mélange in 2011.

Before the 2015 calving event, change of ice-flow velocity and direction from 2013-2014 to 2014-2015 (Jeong et al., 2016) shows that the northern section that later on became the stationary iceberg behaved differently compared to the calved central and southern part. In the northern section, (1) ice flow velocity stayed nearly the same instead of increasing and (2) ice flow direction rotated 2–3° clockwise instead of more or less remaining the same. This observation could indicate slight contact of ice with the bathymetric ridge in Fig. 2b, which was located on the northernmost edge of the ice shelf by then. This is supported by the observation of the stationary northern iceberg (Fig. 4c) that can only be explained by bottom contact. Ice contact even before the calving event, therefore, would explain the observed reduced flow speed in the northern ice shelf section and the general westward flow direction of the ice shelf would have resulted in clockwise rotation with an anchor point in the north. Radar and upward-looking sonar data showed that the base of PIG ice shelf is highly irregular (Vaughan et al., 2012; Dutrieux et al., 2014b) and, therefore, suggest that the initial loss of ice contact likely would be followed by a phase of intermittent contact. Hence, the irregular ice shelf thickness likely led to re-grounding on the bathymetric ridge and triggered the different ice flow behaviour in the northern part. Furthermore, this asymmetry probably explains the rapid break-up of the calved ice due to the different rotation and forcing in the northern section.

The resulting re-orientated calving line of the 2015 event, however, was pre-dominantly controlled by rift ‘R1’ and by the disintegration of ice mélange between PIG and the Northern Ice Shelf as described by Jeong et al. (2016). This is supported by the 2017 calving event that was controlled by rift ‘R2’ (Fig. 1) with the calved part being more than 4 km away from the former pinning point (Supplementary Fig. S3). The R1 and R2 rifts were hypothesized by Jeong et al. (2016) to originate from basal crevasses filled by warm ocean waters. Nevertheless, the pinning point loss could have contributed to the formation of these rifts through back stress changes following the initial pinning point loss around 2006 and during the period of intermittent ice contact that we have showed continued until the 2015 calving event. The alignment of these rifts between the new outermost pinning point in the north, near Evans Knoll, and the position where a tributary glacier flows into the ice shelf in the south, is
consistent with this hypothesis. This observation will be an important consideration for improved understanding and modelling of the rift formation process.

Due to its morphology, in addition, the bathymetric ridge possibly played a role in clearing the ice mélange. Apart of an open marine gateway for ice into Pine Island Bay, a force is needed to push the ice mélange into the bay. Iceberg trajectories are predominantly steered by currents and wind (Death et al., 2006). Therefore, a water exchange from the ice shelf cavity across the bathymetric ridge would support flushing the trapped icebergs westward. The ridge, being continuously shallower than 450 m, in combination with the ice shelf and icebergs trapped in the mélange (reaching drafts of hundreds of meters), however, would have limited this kind of water exchange. After sufficient thinning took place, the water column possibly reached a threshold that enabled sufficient circulation of water to allow ice mélange disintegration.

The current calving front aligns between the new downstream-most pinning point in the north, near Evans Knoll, and where the ice shelf is under pressure from a tributary ice stream flowing into it in the south. In the decades before, when other pinning points further downstream, pinning points including the discovered bathymetric ridge, were active in the north, this was also the case (Fig. 1). Even unpinning of PIG in the 1970s from the crest of a ridge located 30 km upstream of the former calving line that rises to ~700 m below sea level in the centre of the ice shelf (Jenkins et al., 2010, Smith et al., 2017) did not result in calving line retreat in the following decades (MacGregor et al., 2012) as pinning points further downstream remained active. This correlation suggests that the final pinning/constriction points are an important control on the orientation of the calving line of PIG. However, in a thinner ice shelf, crevasses that developed in the glacier upstream of the grounding line will penetrate a greater fraction of the total thickness, and therefore they are more likely to control calving positions (Yu et al., 2017;Bassis and Jacobs, 2013;Bassis and Walker, 2011). Hence, we cannot exclude that the change in calving line orientation is a result of thinning and ocean melting of basal crevasses as suggested by Jeong et al. (2016), possibly enhanced by backstress variations due to intermittent ice contact to the bathymetric ridge between 2006 and 2015, and is not solely constrained by outermost pinning points.

Konrad et al. (2017) show how changes to the ice shelf and grounding line region of PIG and other Amundsen Sea Embayment glaciers propagate upstream on a timescale of a few years. Thus, the recent reduction of back stress can be expected to propagate to the grounded trunk of PIG, causing further acceleration of flow, and thus further dynamic thinning. Accordingly, a re-stabilization of the ice shelf due to re-pinning at a ridge e.g. by a very rapid advance or thickening of the ice shelf cannot be expected in the foreseeable future, at least for as long as rapid basal melting driven by continued CDW incursion continues. Instead, the ice shelf calving line seems to have made an irreversible step to a new position and orientation in 2015, which has been confirmed in 2017, following progressive detachment from the pinning point over the previous decade. We do not expect further significant rapid calving line retreat in the next few years. The northern margin is now stabilized by a pinning point near Evans Knoll, which rises above sea level and where the nearby ice-shelf thickness is about 450 m, and the southern margin is stabilized by thick tributary ice inflow (Fretwell et al., 2013). Nevertheless, continued rapid ice shelf thinning as observed in other studies (Pritchard et al., 2012;Rignot et al., 2013) and as confirmed by our observation of pinning point loss (Fig. 3) will further destabilise the PIG ice shelf in the future and at some stage is expected to lead to calving occurring even further
upstream. Rapid iceberg disintegration after the two most recent calving events within 1-2 months into smaller sized icebergs (maximum size ~115 \text{sq.-km}^2 in 2015 and ~50 \text{km}^2\text{-sq.-km} in 2017, Fig. 4 and 5) in comparison to previous calving events that resulted in stable large icebergs, e.g. B-31 (720 \text{km}^2\text{-sq.-km}, Bigg et al., 2014), possibly reflect this destabilizing trend. The newly discovered rift R3 (inset of Fig. 5) likely will trigger the next calving event. In comparison to the 2015 (10-20 km) and 2017 (8 km) calving event R3 is located only 4 km upstream of the current calving front therefore indicating that the trend to smaller sized icebergs will persist.

5 Conclusions

- Multibeam bathymetry data from a previously ice shelf covered area revealed a bathymetric ridge that rises to 375 m at its shallowest point and two shallower bathymetric highs A and B to the north.
- The bathymetric ridge acted as a pinning point to the PIG until 2006. Between 2006 and 2015 ice contact likely persisted at least intermittently and induced variable back stress to PIG.
- Four calving events occurred since 2006. The 2007 event is a result of back stress induced by bathymetric high A to the north-eastern part of PIG after ice front advance to the high. The 2013 event resulted from back stress induced by a dense ice mélange trapped between PIG and bathymetric high B. The 2015 event was triggered by clearing of a northern ice mélange and rift R1 as suggested by Jeong et al. (2016), the 2017 event was triggered by rift R2 and the next calving likely will occur along the newly identified rift R3. These rifts align between the terminal ice-shelf stabilising points to the north and south and calving occurred when they migrated past the stabilising points. We suggest that (a) reduced and variable back stress after 2006 favoured the formation of these rifts, (b) the clearing of the ice mélange was constrained by water flux over the bathymetric ridge and (c) that light ice shelf contact to the ridge initiated the final breakup in 2015.
- In the last decade, the size of calved icebergs has shrank and their speed of disintegration accelerated possibly due to ice-shelf destabilization by continuous rapid ice-shelf thinning.
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Figure 1: Study area at the time of bathymetric data acquisition and past calving front positions. Satellite image (TanDEM-X ScanSAR L1b product (© DLR2017)) of Pine Island Bay from 17th Feb 2017 at 09:36 UTC and calving front positions over the last 70 years (1947-2001 taken from Rignot (2002), later positions are from this study). Note the reoriented calving fronts in 2015 and 2017 that were produced along rifts R1 (not visible in the satellite image) and R2 previously described by Jeong et al. (2016). The dot denotes the location of the former pinning point where a bathymetry survey during RV Polarstern expedition PS104 revealed a ridge (see Figure 2). R2 is a rift previously described by Jeong et al. (2016). Inset map marks the location in Antarctica.
Figure 2: Bathymetry of submarine ridge and adjacent seafloor at 25 m grid resolution. (a) Hill-shaded plan view with 350 m (dark red), 400 m (light red) and 450 m (blue) contours. Note the shoal areas in the northwest, labelled A and B. Paleo-ice flow inferred from drumlins and lineations shown by white arrows and direction of recent ice-shelf flow shown by dashed arrows. Semi-transparent blue area delineates ice covered area at time of data acquisition as shown in Figure 1. (b) 3D view of submarine ridge showing subtle lineaments oriented in the same direction as recent ice-shelf flow on its crest.
Figure 3: Time series of ASTER satellite images taken from the area of the submarine ridge. Lines indicate depth contours of 350 m (dark red), 400 m (light red) and 450 m (blue). (a) Taken on 28th of March 2002, note the ice rumple on top of the ridge. (b) Taken on 1st of March 2005, note continued ice rumple and increased rifting in the east. (c) Taken on 18th of January 2006, note the rift forming on top of the ridge. (d) Taken on 10th of February 2013, note the absence of ice irregularities on top of the ridge, continuous intense rifting to its east, and ice mélange to its north.
Figure 4: Time series of satellite images taken shortly before or after iceberg calving events of Pine Island Glacier that took place after 2006 when last imprints of ice contact to the bathymetric ridge were observed. Lines indicate depth contours of 350 m (dark red), 400 m (light red) and 450 m (blue). (a) ERS image taken on 6th of October 2007, note the curved calving line that is induced by back stress affecting the northern part of PIG ice shelf as a result of ice contact with the shallow bathymetry at A. (b) Aster image taken on 22nd of December 2011, note the curved calving line that is probably induced by dense iceberg mélange being trapped between the Northern Ice Shelf near bathymetric high B and Pine Island Glacier. (c) TanDEM-X-SAR image (© DLR2015) taken on 30th of August 2015, note the 1-2 km sized icebergs stuck on shallow bathymetry in top left corner and the stationary large iceberg located on top of the bathymetric ridge.
Figure 5: Sentinel S1 image taken on 11th November 2017 showing the calved and subsequently fast disintegrated iceberg after the 2017 calving event that confirmed the 2015 calving front location and orientation (dashed red line), lines indicate depth contours of 350 m (dark red), 400 m (light red) and 450 m (blue). Inset shows Sentinel S2A image taken at low Sun angle on 13th October 2017 revealing rift R3, for location see black box in main figure.
Table 1: SAR and optical satellite imagery used in this study

### SAR imagery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>SAR band</th>
<th>Time interval [yyyy-mm-dd]</th>
<th>Multi-look factors [range x azimuth]</th>
<th>MLI spatial resolution [m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERS-2</td>
<td>AMI SAR</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>C-band</td>
<td>2004-10-16 2010-12-04</td>
<td>1x5</td>
<td>~20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envisat</td>
<td>ASAR</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>C-band</td>
<td>2006-02-05 2009-10-18</td>
<td>1x5</td>
<td>~20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALOS</td>
<td>PALSAR</td>
<td>FBS</td>
<td>L-band</td>
<td>2006-11-04 2007-12-29</td>
<td>1x3</td>
<td>~10 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADARSAT-1</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>C-band</td>
<td>2007-03-01 2008-04-29</td>
<td>1x4</td>
<td>~20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TerraSAR-X/</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>X-band</td>
<td>2011-09-21 2014-08-28</td>
<td>5x5</td>
<td>~10 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TanDEM-X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel-1A/B</td>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>IW</td>
<td>C-band</td>
<td>2014-10-10 2017-09-24</td>
<td>5x1</td>
<td>~20 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Optical imagery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Time interval [yyyy-mm-dd]</th>
<th>Spatial resolution [m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>ASTER (VNIR)</td>
<td>2005-01-03 2013-02-17</td>
<td>15 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>