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In this paper the authors show the impact of recent climate changes on the mass balance and internal temperature of an ice body at very high elevation above 4000 m in the Alps. There have been many papers published about the development of glaciers with rapid shrinking mass in the Alps during the last 50 years, but very few have looked into the snow and ice at very high elevations were surface melt is very small and permafrost conditions exists. There have been papers published about the permafrost conditions in the mountains and the gradual warming of the permafrost.

However, very little has been done on snow and ice at this very high elevation. The permafrost conditions in rock is mainly affected by heat conduction while the snow and ice also is affected by the release of latent heat when surface melt refreeze in the snow. This is nicely shown in this paper. It is a very interesting paper complementing studies at lower elevations and is a valuable contribution. The conclusions are substantial and well documented from the presented data. The paper is well written and structured. It is easy to follow and read. The language is clear. In my opinion it can be published almost as it is.

I only have a few very minor comments on details listed below. l. 16. They could write: This is due to less snow accumulation and is consistent ... l. 18. ... reaching 1.5 C increase at a depth ... (add: increase) l. 61. It would be good to indicate how deep the boreholes are, maybe just say: ... four deep boreholes down to a maximum of 126 m have been drilled ... l. 85 “Twenty stakes were set up in 1997 (Fig. 1)” However, no stake locations are shown in Fig. 1. They could refer to Fig. 4 or say that twenty stakes were distributed in the area shown in Fig. 1. l. 91. theodolite should be theodolite l. 183 “the density of firm.” They could indicate this density: “the density of the firm which is about xx kg m-3” l. 204. “Englacial temperatures were measured down to the bedrock at 126 m depth at the same ... so add “at 126 m depth” l.334. “due to a change in snow accumulation and ...” Rather: “due to less snow accumulation and ... l. 341 “... propagated down to the glacier and...” Rather: “... propagated down through the glacier and...” or “...down into the glacier and...”